

The descent spectral sequence for topological modular forms

Christian Carrick*, Jack Morgan Davies† and Sven van Nigtevecht‡

10th June 2026

Abstract

We prove the Gap Theorem for the spectrum of topological modular forms Tmf . This removes a longstanding circularity in the literature, thereby confirming the computation of $\pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$ from over two decades ago by Hopkins and Mahowald. Our approach is crucially a modern one, developing and refining many techniques in synthetic spectra.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	History	4
1.2	The descent spectral sequence	6
1.3	Synthetic methods	9
1.4	Outline	15
1.5	Notation and terminology	15
1.6	Acknowledgements	16
2	Synthetic differentials and the Omnibus Theorem	17
2.1	Filtered spectra and deformations	17
2.2	Intermezzo: the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence	21
2.3	Total differentials	23
2.4	The truncated Omnibus Theorem	25
2.5	The synthetic Leibniz rule	31
3	Toda brackets	33
3.1	Toda brackets and iterated cones	33

*carrick@math.uni-bonn.de

†daves@uni-wuppertal.de

‡nigtevec@math.uni-bonn.de

3.2	Moss' theorem	39
4	Relationship to the cubic Hopf algebroid	43
4.1	The connective region	43
4.2	Synthetic transfer maps	44
5	Information from the sphere and detection	48
5.1	Height one detection results	48
5.2	The classes κ and $\bar{\kappa}$	50
5.3	Toda bracket relations from the sphere	52
6	Computations at the prime 2	53
6.1	Meta-arguments	54
6.2	Page 3	56
6.3	Page 5	59
6.4	Page 7	63
6.5	Page 9	68
6.6	Page 11	71
6.7	Page 13	72
6.8	Page 23	74
6.9	Stems below -21	75
6.10	Rainchecked four-fold Toda brackets in Smf	80
7	Computations away from the prime 2	83
7.1	Computations at the prime 3	84
7.2	Computations away from 6	85
8	Proofs of main theorems	85
A	Tables and charts	90
A.1	Tables	90
A.2	Descent spectral sequence charts	94
	References	100

1 Introduction

Elliptic cohomology and topological modular forms (tmf) play an essential role in modern stable homotopy theory. Aside from its connections to physics and number theory, tmf is a vital approximation to the stable homotopy groups of spheres $\pi_*\mathbf{S}$; see [GHMR05] and [WX17]. The work of Isaksen–Wang–Xu [IWX23], the state of the art in computations of stable homotopy groups of spheres, uses tmf as a necessary tool. A thorough understanding of the homotopy groups of tmf is therefore indispensable to our knowledge of the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

The computation of $\pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$ was first announced by Hopkins–Mahowald in [DFHH, Section 15], and details of this computation have appeared in many sources; see [Bau08], [BR], [Isa+24], [Kon12], [Rez07]. Shockingly, a complete proof has never appeared in the literature: all sources take either $\mathrm{MU}_*(\mathrm{tmf})$ or $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{tmf}; \mathbf{F}_2)$ as input. This circularity in the literature was pointed out by Meier [Mei16]; see Section 1.1 below for a more detailed discussion. Mathew [Mat16] has shown that computing $\mathrm{MU}_*(\mathrm{tmf})$ or $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{tmf}; \mathbf{F}_2)$ requires the Gap Theorem. Giving an independent proof of the Gap Theorem would therefore fix the circularity. This is what we do in this paper.

Theorem A. *The homotopy groups $\pi_n \mathrm{Tmf}$ vanish for $-21 < n < 0$.*

The spectrum tmf is by definition the connective cover of Tmf, and the Gap Theorem allows one to deduce $\mathrm{MU}_*(\mathrm{tmf})$ from $\mathrm{MU}_*(\mathrm{Tmf})$. The latter of these homologies follows directly from the definition of Tmf as the global sections of a spectral stack.

As a consequence of our techniques, we also obtain an independent computation of the homotopy groups of both Tmf and tmf; see Corollary C.

The difficulty in proving the Gap Theorem lies in running a spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*\mathrm{Tmf}$ that does not depend on the Adams (ASS) or Adams–Novikov (ANSS) spectral sequences for tmf. In work of Konter [Kon12] for example, the descent spectral sequence (DSS) for Tmf is computed, but only by assuming the ANSS for tmf. Running the DSS without this input is difficult for at least two reasons: the DSS is not *a priori* an algebra over the ANSS for \mathbf{S} , and the DSS is much less sparse than the ANSS for tmf. The former makes it difficult to deduce differentials using low-degree information from $\pi_*\mathbf{S}$, and the latter means there are many possible differentials that need to be ruled out. On the other hand, directly computing the ANSS for Tmf would be extremely difficult; we detail the problems in Section 1.2.1.

However, in [CDN25] the authors constructed the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring Smf of *synthetic modular forms*, which implements the DSS for Tmf as an algebra over the ANSS for \mathbf{S} in the strongest possible sense. Exploiting this structure is the key idea of this paper.

Using the \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra Smf in MU-synthetic spectra in tandem with modern techniques, we carefully compute the DSS, leading to the Gap Theorem.

The map of synthetic E_∞ -rings $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}mf$ allows us to prove detection statements for $\mathbf{T}mf$, using low-degree information about $\pi_*\mathbf{S}$ and the naturality of Toda brackets along E_∞ -ring maps. These detection statements force certain differentials in low degrees that we propagate to all further differentials using many techniques in synthetic spectra, such as generalized versions of the Leibniz rule, synthetic transfer maps, synthetic Toda brackets, and a version of Moss’ theorem.

The variants of the Leibniz rule allow one to make sophisticated differential stretching arguments, and we use this technique in particular to deduce a critical d_7 on Δ^4 , solving a problem posed by Isaksen–Kong–Li–Ruan–Zhu [Isa+24, Problem 1.2]. One notable use of synthetic Toda brackets is our proof of the d_{23} -differential killing $\bar{\kappa}^6$. Previous sources have sketched a proof of this differential using a 6-fold Toda bracket; using synthetic brackets, we give a much simpler argument using a 4-fold bracket.

Below, we detail the history of this circularity and our approach to $\mathbf{T}mf$ using the descent spectral sequence. We then describe our techniques and highlight some key steps in the computation.

1.1 History

The discovery of *topological modular forms* $\mathbf{t}mf$ was announced in Hopkins’ 1994 ICM address [Hop95]. Many of the insights to its construction were years ahead of their time, and it took a while for sufficient technology to appear. The push towards these new techniques, such as model categories, obstruction theories for operadic structures, and eventually higher categories and derived algebraic geometry, launched several threads of homotopy theory still occupying mathematicians to this day. We would like to give a short summary of the history of $\mathbf{t}mf$, some of which appears in print and some that does not.

1.1.1 Original construction

Hopkins’ original construction of $\mathbf{t}mf$ (completed at the prime 2), was done in several chromatic layers. First, he considered the G_{48} -homotopy fixed-points spectrum of height 2 Morava E-theory $E_2^{hG_{48}}$, where G_{48} is a rank 48 subgroup of the height 2 extended Morava stabiliser group \mathbf{G}_2 acting on the height 2 Morava E-theory spectrum E_2 . It was only after computing with the associated homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence, that it became clear that there was strong connection between $\pi_*E_2^{hG_{48}}$ and modular forms. In hindsight, these fixed-points are precisely $L_{K(2)}\mathbf{t}mf$, the localisation of $\mathbf{t}mf$ at the height 2 Morava K-theory spectrum $K(2)$.

Classically, one would use the Landweber exact functor theorem to show that the height n extended Morava stabiliser group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the cohomology theory associated with E_n for any n . This action on E_n is not sufficient to construct the homotopy fixed-point spectrum above though, as it is confined to the homotopy category of spectra. Inspired

by Robinson’s E_1 -obstruction theory, and its applications to the Morava K-theories $K(n)$ [Rob89] and variants of Morava E-theories by Baker [Bak91], Hopkins–Miller refined this G_n -action on E_n to one of $A_\infty = E_1$ -rings, with a proof written down by Rezk [Rez98]. Roughly speaking, one proves that the spaces of E_1 -endomorphisms of E_n is discrete. The same techniques also show that the spaces of maps from $E_n^{\otimes d}$ to E_n is discrete, which suggests that E_n is a commutative monoid in E_1 -rings, so an E_∞ -ring.

This suspicion was confirmed by Goerss–Hopkins [GH04] using their E_∞ -obstruction theory, and lead to the first Goerss–Hopkins–Miller theorem, recognising E_n as an E_∞ -ring with an E_∞ -action by G_n ; a mild correction to the original proof appears in [PV22, Section 7]. An alternative approach using derived algebraic geometry was given by Lurie in [Lur09; Lur18] as well as his generalisation appearing in [BL10, Section 8.1] and [Dav25]. Crucially, this E_∞ -action of G_n on E_n yields an E_∞ -ring $E_2^{hG_{48}}$. The E_2 -page of the associated homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence is hard to compute, as G_{48} is a complicated group, but more so because the action of G_n on π_*E_n is notoriously mysterious to compute with. Nevertheless, Hopkins–Mahowald computed this G_{48} -homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence. This particular computation has never appeared in print, although it can be recovered from this article; also see [Dua+24] for more on this connection.

The next step in constructing tmf was to understand its $K(1)$ -local piece: *initial E_∞ -K(1)-local elliptic cohomology theory* T . This E_∞ -ring is described in [DFHH, Section 16], originally written in 1998, and a full proof computing the homotopy groups of T was given by Laures in [Lau04]. Of course, this T is simply $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{tmf}$ in disguise. The universality of this T produces a map $T \rightarrow L_{K(1)}E_2^{hG_{48}}$, whose pullback along the localisation map $E_2^{hG_{48}} \rightarrow L_{K(1)}E_2^{hG_{48}}$ gives a definition of $L_2\mathrm{tmf} = \mathrm{Tmf}_2^\wedge$, the localisation of tmf at E_2 and the 2-completion of *projective topological modular forms* Tmf . A similar construction of an integral Tmf using these chromatic techniques can be found in Behrens’ chapter [DFHH, Section 12]. Again, Lurie provides an alternative construction of TMF in [Lur09; Lur18, Section 7] using derived algebraic geometry, with extensions to Tmf also given by the second named author with Linskens in [DL25]. The unpublished Hopkins–Mahowald computation, together with a further computation of $\pi_*L_{K(1)}E_2^{hG_{48}}$ and Laures’ work on T , could now be combined to give the homotopy groups of $L_2\mathrm{tmf}$ together with a version of the Gap Theorem (Theorem A).

1.1.2 A series of computations

In the absence of all of the details of the Hopkins–Mahowald computation of $\pi_*E_2^{hG_{48}}$, multiple authors have provided various new insights into the computations of $\pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$.

- ◆ In [DFHH, Section 15], originally written in 1998, Hopkins–Mahowald sketch how $\pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$ can be computed by either an Adams spectral sequence (ASS) or an Adams–Novikov spectral sequence (ANSS). The E_2 -pages of both spectral sequences are

assumed here and most details are omitted.

- ◆ In [Rez07], originally written in 2001, Rezk assumes the MU-homology of tmf and outlines how one can compute the homotopy groups of tmf .
- ◆ In [Bau08], Bauer assumes the MU-homology of tmf , and gives many subsequent details in the ANSS for tmf .
- ◆ In [Kon12], Konter uses Bauer’s work to compute the DSS for Tmf .
- ◆ Much later, in [BR], Bruner–Rognes produce the most thorough computation of $\pi_* \mathrm{tmf}$ using the ASS for tmf . They assume the \mathbf{F}_p -homology of tmf as their starting point.
- ◆ Recently, in [Isa+24], Isaksen–Kong–Li–Ruan–Zhu compute the ANSS for tmf in the context of \mathbf{C} -motivic homotopy theory, using the E_2 -pages of the ASS and ANSS for tmf as input.

A logical flowchart connecting these computations appears below.

In all of these examples, there is a reliance on either the MU- or \mathbf{F}_p -homology of tmf , or on the E_2 -page of the ASS or ANSS for tmf , and no reason is given why one might know these *a priori*. Indeed, the definition of tmf as the connective cover of Tmf does not make it clear how to compute its homology from first principles.

Mathew [Mat16] suggests a path to close this hole in the literature. He shows that if Tmf satisfies the Gap Theorem (Theorem A), then one can deduce the MU-homology of tmf from $\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{Tmf}$, which itself follows from the algebro-geometric definition of Tmf . The \mathbf{F}_p -homology of tmf follows from its MU-homology by a careful analysis of the map $\mathrm{MU} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$. (Bear in mind, however, that the \mathbf{F}_p -homology of Tmf vanishes for all p .)

In [Mat16], Mathew implies that a proof of the Gap Theorem can be found in [Kon12], but obtaining the Gap Theorem in this way would be circular, as it relies on Bauer’s work which assumes a computation of the MU-homology of tmf . The first time this circularity is explicitly brought up in the literature is in Meier’s review of [Mat16]; see [Mei16].

This left the literature in a precarious situation. Many groundbreaking papers in topology, such as [WX17; IWX23], rely on the homotopy groups of tmf as well as its ASS and ANSS. To fix this circularity, we prove the Gap Theorem using the *descent spectral sequence* for Tmf ; see Theorem B. In addition, this yields the ANSS for tmf ; see Corollary D.

1.2 The descent spectral sequence

The \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring Tmf of (*projective*) *topological modular forms* is defined as the global sections of the Goerss–Hopkins–Miller sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}$ on the moduli stack of generalised elliptic curves $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}}$; see [DFHH, Section 12] for a construction and [Dav23] for an axiomatic characterisation. This sheaf has the property that $\pi_{2d} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}$ is isomorphic to the d -fold

tensor power of the canonical line bundle ω . Immediately from this property, one obtains a *descent spectral sequence* (DSS) converging to the homotopy groups of Tmf :

$$E_2^{n,s} \cong H^s(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}}, \omega^{\otimes(n+s)/2}) \implies \pi_n \mathrm{Tmf}. \quad (1.1)$$

The E_2 -page is computed purely algebraically; see [Del75] for the computation where $s = 0$ and $n \geq 0$, and [Kon12, Figures 10 and 25] for the general pictures.

The main computation of this article is to determine all of the differentials in this spectral sequence.

Theorem B. *The DSS for Tmf of (1.1) takes the form depicted in Figures A.2 to A.6 at the prime 2, depicted in Figure A.1 at the prime 3, and collapses otherwise as detailed in Theorem 7.4.*

The Gap Theorem (Theorem A) immediately follows. In fact, we do not know how to prove the Gap Theorem without computing essentially the whole DSS.

Computing this spectral sequence leads us immediately to the homotopy groups of Tmf , and hence also to the homotopy groups of its connective cover tmf , which we call *connective topological modular forms*.

Corollary C. *The homotopy groups of Tmf , and hence also those of $\mathrm{tmf} = \tau_{\geq 0} \mathrm{Tmf}$, are computed; see Theorem 7.4 away from 6, Figure A.1 at the prime 3, and Figures A.3 to A.6 at the prime 2.*

Not only do we obtain the homotopy groups of tmf , but also its ANSS.

Corollary D. *There is an inclusion of the ANSS for tmf into the DSS for Tmf as a retract of spectral sequences. In particular, the ANSS for tmf is the region under the blue line of Figures A.3 to A.6 at the prime 2 from the E_5 -page, the region under the blue line of Figure A.1 and 3, and the connective part of Theorem 7.4 away from 6.*


The ANSS and homotopy groups of periodic topological modular forms, denoted TMF , also follow from the DSS for Tmf .

Corollary E. *The ANSS for TMF is obtained from the DSS for Tmf by inverting Δ^{24} . Specifically, at the prime 2 it is obtained by inverting Δ^8 in Figures A.2 to A.6, at the prime 3 by inverting Δ^3 in Figure A.1, and away from 6 by inverting Δ in Theorem 7.4.*

Theorems A and B and their corollaries above are proven in Section 8.

The following flowchart indicates the relationship between these results and the literature

on topological modular forms.



As the goal of this article is to find a geodesic route from the definition of Tmf to its homotopy groups, we do not compute all of the structure of tmf . For instance, we do not compute all hidden extensions in the ANSS for tmf , nor do we give a comprehensive record of Toda brackets in tmf . We only determine the information needed to deduce Theorems A and B; in particular, the tables of Section A.1 only contain the information we need to establish these results. Generalisations of the techniques to deduce hidden extensions are discussed in Remark 8.3.

A more thorough record of the multiplicative structures on tmf can be found in [BR] and [Isa+24]. We find the techniques of [Isa+24], comparing the ANSS and the ASS of tmf , to be the more methodical and efficient path, once the Gap Theorem has been proven. In addition, we should mention that the multiplicative structure of π_*tmf at odd primes is discussed in [BR, Section 13], and that Marek [Mar24] has computed the bigraded homotopy groups of the \mathbf{F}_2 -synthetic analogue of tmf based on [BR].

Remark 1.2. It may be possible to deduce the Gap Theorem from the homotopy groups of $L_{K(2)}tmf$ using an open-closed decomposition of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{ell}$ via the j -invariant. The homotopy groups of $L_{K(2)}tmf$ are essentially computed by [Dua+24] using techniques from equivariant homotopy such as restrictions, transfers, norms, and vanishing lines coming from Real bordism theory. However, they do not prove the Gap Theorem, and we do not pursue this approach in this paper. Our approach proceeds more from first principles using the DSS, and our methods apply much more broadly in contexts outside the homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence.

1.2.1 The DSS versus the ANSS

We do not use the ANSS for Tmf in any of our computations, and this is a very deliberate choice. While its E_2 -page is readily computed using the definition of Tmf (see [Mat16, Proposition 5.1]), the resulting spectral sequence is incredibly hard to compute. Part of the E_2 -page is the same as that of the DSS, which we call the *connective region*; this is the area under the line $5s \leq n + 12$. The ANSS differs outside of this region, as elements

in negative stems are moved down by one filtration. We refer to this region as the *nonconnective region*, although it also lives in stems $n \geq 0$, but only in high filtration. This filtration shift in the nonconnective region causes many of the techniques of this article to utterly fail for the ANSS of Tmf .

- ◆ The differentials in the DSS are propagated from explicit atomic differentials using the meta-arguments of Section 6.1. These meta-arguments fail for the ANSS for Tmf , as all elements outside of the connective region are Δ -power torsion.
- ◆ The class c_4 is seen to be a d_{11} -cycle by arguing that the target of the potential d_{11} is one that supports a d_{11} ; see Proposition 6.52. Not only do we not know how to justify this second nonzero d_{11} due to the first problem above, but now c_4 could *a priori* support a d_{10} in the ANSS. The same issue holds for other classes such as $h_1\Delta$.
- ◆ One way of stating the essential difference between Smf and $\nu\mathrm{Tmf}$ is that the former is an *even* MU-synthetic spectrum, and the latter is not. Concretely, this means that the DSS for Tmf satisfies a checkerboard phenomenon, and the ANSS for Tmf does not, and this causes the difficulties mentioned above; see Remark 1.6 for more details.

We do not know how to work around these problems without appealing to the computation of the DSS.

As mentioned above, the advantage of the ANSS over the DSS for Tmf is that the former naturally receives a map from the ANSS for \mathbf{S} . Using synthetic spectra, we are able to define a similar map for the DSS, so that the ANSS has no advantage over the DSS.

1.3 Synthetic methods

The first technical step necessary for our computation is to put the DSS on good footing. In particular, we would like it to receive a map from the ANSS for \mathbf{S} . A map out of the ANSS for \mathbf{S} can be constructed by moving to a setting where it has a universal property. The ∞ -category $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ of MU-synthetic spectra defined by Pstragowski [Pst22] provides such a setting. It functions as a type of ∞ -category of modified Adams–Novikov spectral sequences; see [BHS23, Section 9] or [CD26, Section 1]. There is a functor $\nu: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ called the *synthetic analogue functor*, which sends X to the synthetic spectrum encoding the ANSS for X . Importantly, this functor is not essentially surjective; synthetic spectra outside its essential image act as modified Adams–Novikov spectral sequences. The ∞ -category $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ is also naturally symmetric monoidal, with $\nu\mathbf{S}$ being the monoidal unit. This means $\nu\mathbf{S}$ is initial in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}})$, which gives the ANSS for \mathbf{S} the universal property we need.

To obtain the desired map, one has to implement the DSS for Tmf as an object in this ∞ -category. In previous work [CDN25], we construct an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra in MU-synthetic spectra that does exactly this. It is constructed by imitating the definition of Tmf as global

sections of a sheaf of spectra; accordingly, we call it *synthetic modular forms*.

Construction 1.3 ([CDN25]). Write $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$ for the moduli stack of generalised elliptic curves. The Goerss–Hopkins–Miller sheaf of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings \mathcal{O}^{top} is a sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}: (\text{Aff}_{/\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}}^{\text{ét}})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$$

on the small étale site of affine schemes with an étale map to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$. The \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring Tmf is defined as the global sections of \mathcal{O}^{top} over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$. By [CDN25, Proposition 2.8], the composition of \mathcal{O}^{top} with $\nu: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}$ is an étale sheaf valued in Syn_{MU} . The synthetic spectrum of **(projective) synthetic modular forms**, denoted by Smf , is defined as the global sections of this sheaf. Since ν is lax symmetric monoidal, Smf is naturally an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra in Syn_{MU} .

Implementing the DSS as a homotopy ring in synthetic spectra is enough to give a map of multiplicative spectral sequences

$$\text{ANSS}(\mathbf{S}) \longrightarrow \text{DSS}(\text{Tmf}).$$

However, the \mathbf{E}_∞ -structure on Smf ensures that this map also respects all coherent multiplicative structure; see [CDN25, Theorem B]. We use this structure for example to show that the elements η, ν, κ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are detected along the \mathbf{E}_∞ -map $\nu\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$; see Section 5. This is crucial also to our use of tools such as the synthetic Leibniz rule and synthetic Toda brackets.

Remark 1.4. We would like to emphasize that implementing the DSS as a synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring in this way is not simply a matter of taste. Both the \mathbf{E}_∞ -structure and the use of the ∞ -category of synthetic spectra are crucial to almost all aspects of our computation. We do not know how one could carry out this computation without them.

Remark 1.5. There is also a synthetic version of the periodic TMF , denoted by SMF , but this turns out to be equivalent to νTMF as an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra; see [CDN25, Theorem C]. For the connective version tmf , it is not clear how to give a purely synthetic definition that would help us carry out this computation. For instance, when phrased in terms of MU -synthetic spectra, the \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra mmf of [GIKR21] is by definition given by νtmf . As such, the synthetic spectrum νtmf does not give a new way to compute the (E_2 -page of the) ANSS for tmf . See also the discussion in [CDN25, Remark 4.9].

Remark 1.6. The synthetic spectrum Smf is not equivalent to νTmf , stemming from the fact that the DSS and ANSS for Tmf are not isomorphic. The homological (a.k.a. canonical) t -structure on Syn_{MU} allows one to see this: Smf is (-1) -connective but not connective, and there is an \mathbf{E}_∞ -map $\nu\text{Tmf} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$ that exhibits νTmf as the connective cover of Smf ; see [CDN25, Corollary 4.15]. Another essential difference between Smf and νTmf is that the former is an *even* MU -synthetic spectrum (in the sense of [Pst22, Section 5.2]), while the latter is not. In particular, after p -completion, Smf is a \mathbf{C} -motivic spectrum.

1.3.1 Truncated synthetic spectra

The key to the computation of the DSS is not to apply our techniques to Smf directly, but rather to synthetic truncations of it. Let X be a synthetic spectrum. For every $k \geq 1$, there is a synthetic spectrum X/τ^k , and these fit into a tower

$$X \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X/\tau^2 \longrightarrow X/\tau.$$

If X is an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra, then this tower is naturally one of \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebras. The bottom object X/τ encodes exactly the E_2 -page of the underlying spectral sequence. For larger k , the object X/τ^k can be thought of as encoding a designer spectral sequence that artificially terminates on the E_{k+1} -page, while still being a highly structured object. In particular, Toda brackets in it make sense; these can be thought of as Toda brackets that are only “temporarily” defined, in the sense that they may involve elements that do not survive past a certain page. Similarly, we can speak of hidden extensions that are only “temporarily” defined. In addition to these features, the homotopy group $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$ captures information about the n -stem, but does not see phenomena that occur in filtrations $s+k$ and above. Applied to $X = \text{Smf}$, these truncated objects therefore allow one to work with the DSS as if it did have a vanishing line.

Carrying this out requires a description of the homotopy groups of $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$ for $k \geq 1$. The *Omnibus Theorem* of Section 2.4 carefully expresses how the bigraded homotopy groups of a synthetic spectrum capture its underlying spectral sequence. The version we describe is a modification and generalisation of the original theorem of the same name of Burklund–Hahn–Senger [BHS23, Theorem 9.19]. It is modified in that it describes synthetic spectra of the form X/τ^k , and more general in that it applies to an arbitrary synthetic spectrum X , not just a synthetic analogue. The notion of the underlying spectral sequence is made precise by the *signature spectral sequence*; we recall this in Section 2.1, but this has appeared before in [CD26, Definition 1.5] and [CDN25, Definition 1.10].

1.3.2 Total differentials and the synthetic Leibniz rule

A major concrete advantage of working in synthetic spectra is that the differentials in the spectral sequence that a synthetic spectrum X implements may be understood via the boundary map δ_1^∞ in the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{0,-1} X \xrightarrow{\tau} X \longrightarrow X/\tau \xrightarrow{\delta_1^\infty} \Sigma^{1,-2} X.$$

This boundary map is called the *total differential*, and it has been used by many authors in both synthetic and motivic spectra; see [Chu22] and [Isa+24], for example.

The total differential captures all differentials in the following way. If $x \in \pi_{*,*} X/\tau = E_2^{*,*}$, then $d_2(x)$ can be computed as the mod τ reduction of $\delta_1^\infty(x)$. If $\delta_1^\infty(x)$ is τ -divisible, then this vanishes, in which case $d_3(x)$ can be computed as the mod τ reduction of any choice

of τ -division of $\delta_1^\infty(x)$, and so on. There are also truncated versions δ_n^N coming from the cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{0,-n} X/\tau^{N-n} \xrightarrow{\tau^n} X/\tau^N \longrightarrow X/\tau^n \xrightarrow{\delta_n^N} \Sigma^{1,-n-1} X/\tau^{N-n},$$

which capture the differentials d_{n+1}, \dots, d_N in a similar manner.

As an immediate consequence, if X is a homotopy ring in synthetic spectra, then δ_1^∞ is $\pi_{*,*} X$ -linear, and δ_n^N is $\pi_{*,*}(X/\tau^N)$ -linear. This leads to a direct correspondence between stretched differentials and hidden extensions, which has been studied also in [Chu22] and [Isa+24]. We give an example of this that appears in our computation.

Example 1.7 (Linearity of the total differential). In Proposition 6.19, we use information from the sphere to deduce a crucial differential $d_5(\Delta) = h_2g$. This results in a total differential $\delta_4^8(\Delta) = \nu\bar{\kappa}$, where Δ is the unique lift of $\Delta \in \pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau$ to $\pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$; see Proposition 6.29. We import the hidden 2-extension from 2ν to η^3 in the ANSS for \mathbf{S} to deduce the relation $4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$ in $\pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}$. Using that δ_4^8 is $\pi_{*,*} \text{Smf}$ -linear, we have

$$\delta_4^8(4\Delta) = 4\nu\bar{\kappa} = \tau^2\eta^3\bar{\kappa},$$

which results in the “stretched” differential $d_7(4\Delta) = h_1^3g$ of Proposition 6.30. ▲

The most useful aspect of the truncated total differential δ_n^N is a theorem of Burklund that describes a *synthetic Leibniz rule*, which is a major improvement of the ordinary Leibniz rule in a multiplicative spectral sequence. We give a proof of his result in Section 2.5.

In a multiplicative spectral sequence, the *Leibniz rule* says that for $x, y \in E_r^{*,*}$, we have

$$d_r(xy) = d_r(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d_r(y),$$

where $|x|$ refers to the stem of x . A limiting factor of this rule however is that it can only deduce nontrivial differentials of the *same length* as the ones that we started with. The synthetic Leibniz rule on the other hand can deduce a differential on xy of length $\leq 2r - 2$, even if x and y both support d_r -differentials. We prove this below as Theorem 2.34. Note that it only requires a homotopy-commutative structure.

Theorem 1.8 (Burklund). *Let R be a homotopy ring in Syn_E . For any $r \geq 1$, the map*

$$\delta_r^{2r}: \pi_{*,*} R/\tau^r \longrightarrow \pi_{*-1, *+r+1} R/\tau^r$$

is a derivation. In particular, for any two classes $x, y \in \pi_{,*} R/\tau^r$, we have the equality*

$$\delta_r^{2r}(xy) = \delta_r^{2r}(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot \delta_r^{2r}(y).$$

Using the synthetic Leibniz rule, we solve a question posed by Isaksen–Kong–Li–Ruan–Zhu [Isa+24, Problem 1.2]. There is a differential $d_7(\Delta^4) = h_1^3g\Delta^3$ in the DSS that does not

follow from the ordinary Leibniz rule. This first appeared in the context of the ANSS for tmf without proof in [DFHH, Section 15]. It appeared again in Bauer’s account of ANSS for tmf [Bau08]; however, it only appears in his charts and is not mentioned in the text. The difficulty of this differential was pointed out by [Isa+24], who showed that it follows from information in the ASS for tmf , which requires the Gap Theorem. We show that it follows quite easily from the total differential $\delta_4^8(\Delta)$ along with the synthetic Leibniz rule. For the full proof, see Proposition 6.30.

Theorem 1.9. *In the DSS for Tmf , there is a differential $d_7(\Delta^4) = h_1^3 g \Delta^3$.*

Indeed, applying the synthetic Leibniz rule to the total differential $\delta_4^8(\Delta) = \nu \bar{\kappa}$ yields

$$\delta_4^8(\Delta^4) = 4\Delta^3 \delta_4^8(\Delta) = 4\nu \bar{\kappa} \Delta^3 = \tau^2 \eta^3 \bar{\kappa} \Delta^3.$$

We are not aware of another way to deduce this differential that does not depend on the Gap theorem.

1.3.3 Synthetic Toda brackets and Moss’ Theorem

We require the extensive use of Toda brackets to deduce hidden extensions and nonzero differentials, as well as to rule out possible differentials. Toda brackets in synthetic spectra often have advantages over their non-synthetic counterparts. For example, it is often easier in this setting to apply versions of Moss’ theorem, which gives conditions for when a Toda bracket is detected by a Massey product formed on some page of a spectral sequence. Moreover, synthetic Toda brackets often have smaller indeterminacy than their non-synthetic counterparts.

We give a treatment of Toda brackets formed in the Picard-graded homotopy groups of the unit in a monoidal stable ∞ -category and various bracket shuffling formulas; see Section 3. This is far from the most general context in which one can speak of Toda brackets, but is sufficient for our applications, in particular in the bigraded homotopy of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings like Smf/τ^k . Our approach generalises what is already in the literature by allowing for brackets of arbitrary length and the flexibility of working in a stable monoidal ∞ -category. This approach applies quite broadly, for example to motivic and equivariant spectra.

We also describe a general approach to determining where synthetic Toda brackets (of arbitrary length) are detected in their associated spectral sequences. Here, we closely follow ideas of Burklund in [Bur22]. In particular, we prove a general form of Moss’ theorem that applies to 3-fold synthetic Toda brackets; see Theorem 3.16. We apply this extensively to 3-fold brackets, and we apply our approach to some crucial 4-fold brackets as well.

One such example is the classical bracket $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ from the sphere. In Smf , one has $\tau^2 \bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$; see Section 5.2. As the class $\bar{\kappa}$ comes from the synthetic sphere, the

Nishida nilpotence theorem tells us that some power of $\bar{\kappa}$ is τ -power torsion in the synthetic sphere, and hence also in Smf . Using the 4-fold Toda bracket containing $\bar{\kappa}$ and the shuffling formula for 4-fold Toda brackets in Smf/τ^{2^4} , we find

$$\tau^{22}\bar{\kappa}^6 \in \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4 \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \tau^4 \bar{\kappa} = \langle \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4, \kappa, 2, \eta \rangle \tau^4 \nu \bar{\kappa} = 0,$$

using that $\tau^4 \nu \bar{\kappa} = 0$, a consequence of the key d_5 -differential of Proposition 6.19. The truncated Omnibus Theorem (Theorem 2.21) now yields the key d_{23} -differential. For the full proof, see Proposition 6.58.

Theorem 1.10. *In the DSS for Tmf , there is a differential $d_{23}(h_1\Delta^5) = g^6$.*

In [Bau08], Bauer produces this d_{23} using various shuffling formulas for 6-fold Toda brackets. The use of 6-fold brackets requires subtle indeterminacy arguments and some version of Moss' theorem, which Bauer does not discuss. Using our treatment of Toda brackets along with the approach to Moss' theorem, it seems plausible one could verify the 6-fold shuffling arguments that Bauer gives for this d_{23} . This would involve delicate indeterminacy checks however, and our approach is much more direct.

1.3.4 A synthetic transfer argument

At several points in our computation, we need additional input to verify that a certain class does not support a differential. In many cases, this follows for degree reasons or because the class comes from a permanent cycle in the ANSS for \mathbf{S} , and in other cases we can show the class is a cycle because it detects a Toda bracket in Smf/τ^k for a large enough k . In one crucial case, these methods are not sufficient, and we need to apply a transfer map coming from spectral algebraic geometry.

The map of stacks

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3) \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_{(2)} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$$

determines a *synthetic transfer map*

$$\text{Smf}_1(3) \longrightarrow \text{Smf}$$

which induces mod τ the algebraic transfer map on sheaf cohomology; see Theorem 4.4. The DSS for $\text{Tmf}_1(3)$ has no differentials, hence anything in the image of this transfer map is a permanent cycle in the DSS for Tmf . The following is part of Corollary 4.9.

Theorem 1.11. *The class $2c_6 \in \pi_{12,0}\text{Smf}/\tau$ is a permanent cycle in the DSS.*

Remark 1.12. If one assumes the Gap Theorem, the corresponding claim in the ANSS for tmf follows easily for degree reasons. In the DSS however, there is a potential d_7 on $2c_6$ that we see no other way of ruling out.

1.4 Outline

The core of this paper is Section 6, where the 2-local descent spectral sequence for Tmf is computed in its entirety. We recommend the reader who is interested in learning the techniques of Sections 2 and 3 to start there, and refer back to the precise statements in previous sections as needed. Tables and figures summarising these computations may be found in Appendix A.

In Section 2, we discuss the total differentials (Section 2.3), and we prove the the Omnibus Theorem (Theorems 2.21 and 2.28) and Burklund’s synthetic Leibniz rule (Theorem 2.34). We do this by proving the analogous statements for filtered spectra, and then translating these results to synthetic spectra. Sections 2.1 and 2.2 provide the necessary background on filtered spectra, the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence, and their relationship to synthetic spectra for this. In Section 3, we give a general setup of Toda brackets, prove general shuffling formulas, and prove a synthetic version of Moss’ theorem. For both Sections 2 and 3, when referring to E -synthetic spectra, we work with an arbitrary homotopy-associative ring spectrum E of Adams type. After these sections, we will always work in the special case $E = \mathrm{MU}$.

Sections 4 and 5 form the setup for the computation. In Section 4, we show how part of the E_2 -page of the descent spectral sequence is computed by the cubic Hopf algebroid. In Section 5, we show that certain low-dimensional classes from the sphere are detected in Smf , and use this to import a few relations and Toda brackets.

After our 2-primary computations in Section 6, we follow up with a computation away from 2 in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8 we use these computations to prove the main theorems and corollaries mentioned above.

1.5 Notation and terminology

Roman letters are used for names of elements on the E_2 -page, while elements in the homotopy groups of Smf/τ^k for $k \geq 2$ or Tmf itself are denoted by Greek letters.

The symbol \pm means an ambiguous sign, in the sense that $\pm x$ and $-(\pm x)$ have the same meaning. In particular, we do not write $\mp x$.

The term *synthetic spectrum* refers to an object of E -based synthetic spectra in the sense of [Pst22], where E is a (fixed) homotopy-associative ring spectrum of Adams type. In Sections 2 and 3, the spectrum E can be an arbitrary such spectrum, while in later sections we always work in the specific case where $E = \mathrm{MU}$. When E is clear from the context, we write Syn instead of Syn_E .

All spectral sequences are indexed with Adams grading. The bigraded synthetic sphere $\mathbf{S}^{n,s}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{S}^{n,s} := \Sigma^{-s} \nu(\mathbf{S}^{n+s}).$$

This differs from the convention used in [Pst22]. Practically, it means that (n, s) refers to an (x, y) -coordinate in an Adams chart. In particular, the combination of these conventions means that $\pi_{n,s}(\mathrm{Smf}/\tau) = E_2^{n,s}$. We may write \mathbf{S} for $\mathbf{S}^{0,0}$; we then let context determine whether we mean the sphere spectrum or the synthetic sphere.

We use the term *hidden extension* in a simple way, referring to a relation $\alpha\beta = \tau^r\gamma$, where α , β and γ have nonzero projections mod τ and where $r > 0$. In particular, these are relations that hold in the homotopy groups of a synthetic spectrum (or a truncation thereof), without reference to the E_2 -page.

The synthetic E_∞ -ring Smf is the one defined in [CDN25, Definition 4.8]; see also the recollection above in Construction 1.3. We have analogously defined synthetic E_∞ -rings SMF and Smf_Γ , where Γ denotes a type of level structure; see [CDN25, Definition 4.8, Variant 4.11], respectively.

1.6 Acknowledgements

We regard the computation of $\pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$ as a theorem of Hopkins–Mahowald, as announced in [DFHH, Section 15], and we are grateful to them for such an inspiring computation. Our paper is meant to give a complete proof of their theorem, and we are grateful to Akhil Mathew for observing that this could be done by proving our Theorem A. It would have been a different task entirely to carry out this computation without the prior work of many authors on this topic, especially the work of Rezk [Rez07], Bauer [Bau08], Konter [Kon12], Henriques [DFHH, Section 13], Bruner–Rognes [BR], and Isaksen–Kong–Li–Ruan–Zhu [Isa+24].

We are grateful to Lennart Meier for pointing out the circularity in the literature almost ten years ago, and for continuing to insist that it be corrected.

We thank Robert Burklund for helpful conversations. His work in synthetic spectra inspired some of this work.

The spectral sequence charts were produced using Hood Chatham’s `spectralsequences` package, and we thank him for answering questions about his package.

Thank you as well to Tilman Bauer, Mark Behrens, Paul Goerss, Gerd Laures, Guchuan Li, Lennart Meier, Haynes Miller, Itamar Mor, Marius Nielsen, Charles Rezk, and John Rognes for comments on a draft, helpful conversations, and insights into the history of topological modular forms.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-2401918. The first and third author were supported by the NWO grant VI.Vidi.193.111. The second author is an associate member of the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics at the University of Bonn (DFG GZ 2047/1, project ID 390685813).

2 Synthetic differentials and the Omnibus Theorem

The goal of this section is to fill our synthetic toolbox with everything we need in our computations. The main players are the *total differentials* of Section 2.3 and the *Omnibus Theorem* of Section 2.4. All results in this section can be, and are, proved in the setting of *filtered spectra* rather than synthetic spectra; we discuss this connection in Section 2.1. The ∞ -category of filtered spectra is regarded as a homotopical version of the category of spectral sequences; see, e.g., [Ant24], [Hed20], [HA, Section 1.2.2], or [CD26; CDN25] for sources using the same notation as here. The formalism of τ naturally arises in the setting of filtered spectra too, and one can rephrase the yoga of spectral sequences in terms of τ . In this way, results like the Omnibus Theorem become theorems in any good enough deformation, although for the sake of simplicity we have decided not to phrase the results in that generality. The tool used to prove these results is the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence, which we set up in the filtered setting in Section 2.2.

On the whole, we are economic in what we prove. We do not intend to here give a full expository account of the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence. We also do not prove the full Omnibus Theorem here, but only the version for the truncated synthetic spectra X/τ^k for $k \geq 1$. Nevertheless, such results are straightforward to prove given the methods and perspective we describe here. These details, as well as a more in-depth explanation of the ideas involved here, are worked out in expository work [Nig25] of the third named author.

2.1 Filtered spectra and deformations

In this section, we briefly set up the theory of filtered spectra. This is done for two purposes: to fix indexing conventions, and to allow ourselves to later reduce statements about synthetic spectra to filtered spectra. We will be brief; for more information and references, we refer to [CD26, Section 1] (which in particular uses the same indexing conventions), or [Bar23, Section 2], [Hed20, Section II.1], and [Nig25].

Definition 2.1. A **filtered spectrum** is a functor $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$. We write $\text{FilSp} := \text{Fun}(\mathbf{Z}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp})$ for the ∞ -category of filtered spectra. We regard this as a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category under Day convolution, where \mathbf{Z}^{op} carries addition as symmetric monoidal structure.

If X is a filtered spectrum, then we write $X(-\infty)$ for its colimit. The **associated graded** of X is the graded spectrum given by $\text{Gr}^s X := \text{cofib}(X(s+1) \rightarrow X(s))$. A filtered spectrum X naturally leads to a spectral sequence, indexed here as

$$E_2^{n,s} := \pi_n(\text{Gr}^{s+n} X) \implies \pi_n(X(-\infty))$$

with differential d_r of bidegree $(-1, r)$ for $r \geq 2$. This converges conditionally to $\pi_*(X(-\infty))$ if and only if the limit spectrum $X(\infty)$ vanishes.

To make filtered spectra interface better with other categories (most notably, synthetic spectra), it is convenient to reformulate this spectral sequence in terms of an endomorphism τ of the filtered spectrum. It is not truly an endomorphism, but only up to a twist, leading to the definition of the bigraded filtered spheres.

Definition 2.2.

- (1) For $n, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, we write $\mathbf{S}^{n,s}$ for the filtered spectrum given by

$$(\mathbf{S}^{n,s})(k) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{S}^n & \text{if } k \leq s + n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > s + n \end{cases}$$

and whose transition maps are given by the identities between the n -spheres, and zero else.

- (2) We write $\pi_{n,s}(-)$ for $[\mathbf{S}^{n,s}, -]$, and $\Sigma^{n,s}$ for $\mathbf{S}^{n,s} \otimes -$.
 (3) We write $\tau: \mathbf{S}^{0,-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ for the map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S} = \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S} & = \cdots, \end{array}$$

and we write $C\tau$ for the cofibre of this map.

In this notation, suspension is given by $\Sigma^{1,-1}$, while shifting to the left is given by $\Sigma^{0,1}$.

If X is a filtered spectrum, then we will also write $\tau: \Sigma^{0,-1} X \rightarrow X$ for the map $\tau \otimes X$. By [Lur15, Proposition 3.2.5], there exists a canonical \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra structure on $C\tau$, and by [Lur15, Proposition 3.2.7], there exists an equivalence $\text{grSp} \simeq \text{Mod}_{C\tau}(\text{FilSp})$ fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{FilSp} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \text{grSp} \\ & \searrow_{C\tau \otimes -} & \downarrow \simeq \\ & & \text{Mod}_{C\tau}(\text{FilSp}). \end{array}$$

We say that a filtered spectrum is **τ -invertible** if the endomorphism τ on it is an equivalence. It is straightforward to see that the colimit functor $X \mapsto X(-\infty)$ restricts to an equivalence from τ -invertible spectra to spectra, with inverse equivalence given by the constant filtered spectrum functor. As such, we will also write $X \mapsto X[\tau^{-1}]$ for the colimit functor.

In terms of these identifications, the spectral sequence associated to X takes the form

$$E_2^{n,s} := \pi_{n,s}(C\tau \otimes X) \implies \pi_n X[\tau^{-1}],$$

and the convergence condition reads that it converges conditionally if and only if X is τ -complete.

Phrased in this way, we can use filtered spectra to study other categories. The structure we need on an ∞ -category to do this is that of a *deformation*. We refer to [Bar23, Section 2] and [BHS22, Appendix C] for a discussion of these ideas. For our purposes, we could work in the context of a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} receiving a symmetric monoidal colimit-preserving functor $\text{FilSp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Such a functor has a (lax symmetric monoidal) right adjoint $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{FilSp}$, which we then think of as an “underlying spectral sequence” functor. Rather than working in this generality however, we restrict ourselves to the deformation given by Pstragowski’s ∞ -category of synthetic spectra [Pst22]. This is only for simplicity: much of what we write generalises to any deformation arising in the above fashion.

Henceforth we fix a homotopy-associative ring spectrum E of Adams type, and we write Syn for Syn_E .

Construction 2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category. The ∞ -category FilSp is the stabilisation of the ∞ -category $\text{PSh}(\mathbf{Z})$ of (space-valued) presheaves on \mathbf{Z} . As a result, it has a universal property: a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a symmetric monoidal colimit-preserving functor $\text{FilSp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

We think of a functor $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as a description of what the map τ should be in \mathcal{C} . In Syn , we have a pre-established notion of what τ should be, leading us to the following definition.

Lemma 2.4. *There is a natural symmetric monoidal structure on the functor $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{Syn}$ given by the diagram in E -synthetic spectra*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{S}^{0,-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{S} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{S}^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\tau} \dots$$

Proof. See the proof of Corollary 6.1 in [Law24]. ■

Notation 2.5. We write $\rho: \text{FilSp} \rightarrow \text{Syn}$ for the symmetric monoidal left adjoint induced by Lemma 2.4 via Construction 2.3. We write $\sigma: \text{Syn} \rightarrow \text{FilSp}$ for its right adjoint, and refer to it as the **signature functor**.

Concretely, if X is a synthetic spectrum, then σX is given by the filtered spectrum

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{map}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-1}X) \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{map}(\mathbf{S}, X) \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{map}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,1}X) \xrightarrow{\tau} \dots \quad (2.6)$$

The following definition already occurred in [CD26, Definition 1.5] and [CDN25, Definition 1.10].

Definition 2.7. Let X be a synthetic spectrum. We refer to the spectral sequence underlying σX as the **signature spectral sequence** of X .

The adjunction between ρ and σ lets us understand the bigraded homotopy groups of a synthetic spectrum in terms of a spectral sequence. The basis for this is the following result, which says that σ preserves the relevant structure for a spectral sequence interpretation. In what follows, if X is a synthetic spectrum, we write τ_X for the endomorphism τ on X , and likewise if X is a filtered spectrum.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a synthetic spectrum.*

- (1) *The functor σ sends τ_X to $\tau_{\sigma X}$.*
- (2) *We have natural isomorphisms of $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ -modules*

$$\pi_{n,*}(X) \cong \pi_{n,*}(\sigma X).$$

- (3) *The functor σ preserves filtered colimits.*

Proof. The functor ρ is characterised by preserving small colimits, by sending the filtered spectrum $\mathbf{S}^{0,s}$ to the synthetic sphere $\mathbf{S}^{0,s}$, and by sending the filtered map τ to the synthetic map τ . It follows that $\rho(\mathbf{S}^{n,s}) \simeq \mathbf{S}^{n,s}$, which by adjunction implies that for $X \in \text{Syn}$,

$$\pi_{n,s}(X) \cong \pi_{n,s}(\sigma X).$$

To see that this assembles to an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ -modules, one uses the expression (2.6) for σX to see that σ sends the synthetic map τ on X to the filtered map τ on σX .

As the filtered and synthetic spheres are compact, it follows that ρ preserves compact objects. This implies that σ preserves filtered colimits. ■

Remark 2.9. If Syn is *cellular* in the sense that it is generated under colimits by the bigraded spheres, then σ is also a conservative functor.

In particular, the functor σ commutes with inverting τ . We find that the signature spectral sequence of $X \in \text{Syn}$ is of the form

$$E_2^{n,s} = \pi_{n,s}(C\tau \otimes X) \implies \pi_n X[\tau^{-1}]$$

with differentials d_r of bidegree $(-1, r)$ for $r \geq 2$, and it converges conditionally if and only if X is τ -complete. In many examples, one can identify this spectral sequence with a familiar one.

Example 2.10.

- (1) Let X be an E -nilpotent complete spectrum. Then the signature of νX can be identified with (the décalage of) the E -Adams spectral sequence of X ; see [CDN25, Section 1.4] for a further discussion.
- (2) The signature of Smf can be identified with (the décalage of) the descent spectral sequence of Tmf ; see [CDN25, Corollary 2.12]. More generally, this applies to the

global sections of a sheaf of synthetic E_∞ -rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{syn}}$ arising from an even-periodic refinement $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{top}})$, as discussed in [CDN25, Section 2.2].

- (3) In another direction, the first-named author constructs an MU-synthetic spectrum whose signature is the slice spectral sequence of a Borel G -spectrum with complex-oriented underlying spectrum; see [Car25]. \blacktriangle

The bigraded homotopy groups of a filtered spectrum capture the spectral sequence of the filtered spectrum. As a result, we learn that the bigraded homotopy groups of a filtered spectrum capture its signature spectral sequence. Our next objective is to make this relationship precise.

2.2 Intermezzo: the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence

We take a short detour to set up the (formal) τ -Bockstein spectral sequence of a filtered spectrum. The definitions can easily be interpreted for synthetic spectra as well, and applying σ retrieves the case of filtered spectra. This spectral sequence is the key to connecting the homotopy groups of a synthetic spectrum to its signature spectral sequence.

Definition 2.11. Let X be a filtered spectrum. The **τ -Bockstein filtration** of X is the bifiltered spectrum $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{FilSp}$ given by

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\tau} \Sigma^{0,-2} X \xrightarrow{\tau} \Sigma^{0,-1} X \xrightarrow{\tau} X = \dots$$

For $k \geq 1$, the **k -truncated τ -Bockstein filtration** of X is the bifiltered spectrum $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{FilSp}$ given by

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-k+1} X/\tau \xrightarrow{\tau} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau} \Sigma^{0,-1} X/\tau^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} X/\tau^k = \dots$$

We index the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence as

$$E_1^{n,w,s} \cong \begin{cases} \pi_{n,w+s} X/\tau & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

The τ -Bockstein spectral sequence

$$E_1^{n,w,s} \implies \pi_{n,w} X$$

has differentials d_r^{τ} of tridegree $(-1, 1, r)$ for $r \geq 1$, and converges conditionally to the τ -adic filtration on $\pi_{*,*} X$ if and only if X is τ -complete.

An element in filtration s in the τ -BSS can be thought of as a formal τ^s -multiple of the corresponding element in filtration 0. For degree reasons, the differential d_r^{τ} only hits elements in filtration $\geq r$. Similarly, d_r^{τ} -differential can be thought of as killing formal τ^r -multiples, thereby recording τ^r -torsion in $\pi_{*,*} X$.

Remark 2.13. It is slightly awkward to index this spectral sequence as starting on the E_1 -page, while our earlier convention for filtered spectra was to start these on the E_2 -page. We do this because of the interpretation of the τ -Bockstein differentials d_r^τ as killing formal τ -multiples. One of the main purposes of this spectral sequence is to analyse τ -power torsion in bigraded homotopy groups, so we find this indexing to be more convenient.

The k -truncated τ -Bockstein spectral sequence has

$${}^k E_1^{n,w,s} \cong \begin{cases} \pi_{n,w+s} X/\tau & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq k-1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

and the spectral sequence

$${}^k E_1^{n,w,s} \implies \pi_{n,w} X/\tau^k$$

converges strongly to the τ -adic filtration on $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$. As we will see shortly, the differentials turn out to coincide with the differentials in the non-truncated τ -BSS.

In this article, we only require the truncated Bockstein spectral sequence. Nevertheless, the non-truncated version is a helpful device to use in proofs.

The differentials in the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence of X are exactly the differentials in the underlying spectral sequence of X . This requires some care to make precise, due to the fact that the differentials in the τ -BSS raise filtration, so that the classes below filtration r cannot be hit by differentials of length larger than r . For this reason, we momentarily view a d_r -differential as a map from r -cycles to the E_r -page.

Lemma 2.15. *Let X be a filtered spectrum.*

- (1) *The differentials in the τ -BSS are linear with respect to formal τ -multiplication: using (2.12), the differential*

$$d_r^\tau: Z_r^{n,w,s} \longrightarrow E_r^{n-1,w+1,s+r}$$

can be identified with

$$d_r^\tau: Z_r^{n,w-1,s+1} \longrightarrow E_r^{n-1,w,s+r+1}.$$

- (2) *Under the identification of (2.12), the differential*

$$d_r^\tau: Z_r^{n,w,s} \longrightarrow E_r^{n-1,w+1,s+r}$$

is identified with

$$d_{r+1}: Z_{r+1}^{n,w+s} \longrightarrow E_{r+1}^{n-1,w+s+r+1}.$$

- (3) *For every $k \geq 1$, there is a morphism of spectral sequences*

$$E_r^{n,w,s} \longrightarrow {}^k E_r^{n,w,s}$$

that is an isomorphism in the range $0 \leq s \leq k-1$. In particular, this captures all the differentials in the k -truncated τ -Bockstein spectral sequence.

One should read items (1) and (2) inductively. For instance, (2.12) provides an identification of the E_1 - τ -BSS page with the E_2 -page, so that a comparison between the d_1^τ and d_2 -differentials makes sense. Then (2) for $r = 1$ says that (2.12) induces an isomorphism $Z_1^{n,w,s} \cong Z_2^{n,w+s}$ for all s , and $B_1^{n,w,s} \cong B_2^{n,w+s}$ if $s \geq 1$. This in particular results in an isomorphism $E_2^{n,w,s} \cong E_3^{n,w+s}$ for $s \geq 1$. As a result, the comparison between the d_2^τ and d_3 -differentials makes sense, as d_2^τ takes values in groups $E_2^{n,w,s}$ with $s \geq 2$. This pattern continues for the higher differentials.

Proof. The first claim is an elementary diagram chase. For the second, note that evaluation of the τ -Bockstein filtration at level s results in the filtered spectrum

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X(s+2) \longrightarrow X(s+1) \longrightarrow X(s) \longleftarrow \cdots .$$

This is the filtered spectrum used to define the differentials going out of $E_2^{*,s}$, so the result follows.

For the last claim, we use the morphism of bifiltered spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Sigma^{0,-k} X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Sigma^{0,-k+1} X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Sigma^{0,-k+2} X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{0,-k+1} X/\tau & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Sigma^{0,-k+2} X/\tau^2 & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X/\tau^k \end{array}$$

which clearly induces an equivalence on associated graded in the range $0 \leq s \leq k-1$. This proves the claim. \blacksquare

2.3 Total differentials

The *total differential* is a map of synthetic spectra that in a precise sense captures information about differentials of all lengths. One can think of this as a generalisation of the following situation in chain complexes.

Example 2.16. Let C_* be a cdga, and let $\tau \in C_*$ be a cycle such that C_* is τ -torsion free and τ -adically complete. The τ -adic filtration on C_* gives rise to a spectral sequence of the form

$$E_1^{*,*} = H_*(C_*/\tau)[\tau] \implies H_*C_*.$$

Let $x \in H_*(C_*/\tau)$. By τ -adic completeness, we may write the boundary of x as a power series

$$d(x) = y_n \tau^n + y_{n+1} \tau^{n+1} + \cdots \in C_*, \quad (2.17)$$

where each y_i is not divisible by τ , and where $n > 0$ since x is a cycle in C_*/τ . It follows from this formula that there is a differential $d_n(x) = y_n \tau^n$, so we see that the first term of this power series determines differentials.

The higher terms in (2.17) are also very important for deducing differentials. Suppose for instance one has that $2y_n = xy_{n+1} = 0$ in $H_*(C_*/\tau)$ but $2y_{n+1}$ and $2xy_{n+2}$ are nonzero. It

follows then from the Leibniz rule for the d_n -differential that $2x$ and x^2 are $d_{\leq n}$ and $d_{\leq n+1}$ cycles respectively. Applying the Leibniz rule for d however, one has the differentials

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n+1}(2x) &= 2y_{n+1}\tau^{n+1} \\ d_{n+2}(x^2) &= (2x \cdot y_{n+2})\tau^{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the use of (2.17) allows us to deduce differentials of length *greater* than n . \blacktriangle

One of the key advantages of working in synthetic spectra (or even just filtered spectra) is that it allows us to view the spectral sequence as arising from a τ -Bockstein spectral sequence similar to the above. Moreover, this allows us to mimic the above arguments to deduce longer differentials, by providing a suitable replacement for the boundary map as in Example 2.16. We in fact do not have to modify this idea at all: we can use the boundary map in the cofibre sequence defining $C\tau$.

Remark 2.18. While we phrase everything for synthetic spectra, the results are actually about filtered spectra. One obtains the synthetic statement from the filtered ones by applying the signature functor σ from Notation 2.5. We have stated the results in terms of synthetic spectra because this is all we need in this paper.

Definition 2.19. Let X be a synthetic spectrum. For $n \geq 1$, we write δ_n^∞ for the boundary map in the cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^{0,-n} X \xrightarrow{\tau^n} X \longrightarrow X/\tau^n \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} \Sigma^{1,-n-1} X.$$

For $N \geq n$, we write δ_n^N for the boundary map in the cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^{0,-n} X/\tau^{N-n} \xrightarrow{\tau^n} X/\tau^N \longrightarrow X/\tau^n \xrightarrow{\delta_n^N} \Sigma^{1,-n-1} X/\tau^{N-n}.$$

We call δ_1^∞ the **total differential**, and δ_1^N the **N -truncated total differential**.

Using the long exact sequence, we see that for $x \in \pi_{*,*} X/\tau^n$, the element $\delta_n^N(x)$ is the obstruction to lifting x to $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^N$.

Informally, the map δ_n^N captures information about the d_{n+1}, \dots, d_N -differentials in the signature spectral sequence of X . While decreasing N results in a loss of information, one should think of increasing n as an increase of information: roughly speaking, δ_n^N is only defined on elements on which the differentials d_2, \dots, d_n vanish. This second intuition will be made precise by our later description of $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^n$ in Section 2.4.

The following codifies the relation between the various total differentials.

Proposition 2.20. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $n \leq N \leq \infty$, and let X be a synthetic spectrum.*

(1) We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X/\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} & \Sigma^{1,-n} X \\ & \searrow \delta_n^N & \downarrow \\ & & \Sigma^{1,-n} X/\tau^{N-n}. \end{array}$$

(2) For $n \geq k \geq 1$, we have $\tau^{n-k} \cdot \delta_n^\infty = \delta_k^\infty$.

(3) Suppose X is a (left) homotopy-module over a homotopy-associative ring R in Syn . Then the map $\pi_{*,*} \delta_n^N$ is $\pi_{*,*} R$ -linear. If $N < \infty$, then the map $\pi_{*,*} \delta_n^N$ is also $\pi_{*,*} R/\tau^N$ -linear.

Proof. For readability, we omit bigraded suspensions in this proof. The first claim follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tau^n} & X & \longrightarrow & X/\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ X/\tau^{N-n} & \xrightarrow{\tau^n} & X/\tau^N & \longrightarrow & X/\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^N} & X/\tau^{N-n}. \end{array}$$

The second follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X/\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} & X & \xrightarrow{\tau^n} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau^{n-k} & & \parallel \\ X/\tau^k & \xrightarrow{\delta_k^\infty} & X & \xrightarrow{\tau^k} & X. \end{array}$$

The last claim follows as δ_n^N is the boundary map of a cofibre sequence of $C\tau^N$ -modules. ■

Next, our goal is to relate the total differentials to the differentials in the signature spectral sequence. As the input to δ_n^N is X/τ^n , this requires an understanding of the homotopy groups $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^n$. For this reason, we postpone this discussion to the next section; see Proposition 2.24.

2.4 The truncated Omnibus Theorem

In this section we give a precise description of how the bigraded homotopy groups of a synthetic spectrum capture its signature spectral sequence (see Definition 2.7). The intuition is that if a class $x \in E_2^{n,s}$ is hit by a d_r -differential, then x gives rise to an element in $\pi_{*,*} X$ that is τ^{r-1} -torsion. While for $\pi_{*,*} X$ this roughly captures all behaviour, for X/τ^k , the situation is different: classes supporting differentials also contribute to the bigraded homotopy groups, but only in a limited fashion. Our later computations only need a description of these truncated homotopy groups, so we only prove the truncated

version. As before, all results are about filtered spectra, but we have stated them for synthetic spectra.

Both our formulation of and proof strategy for the truncated Omnibus Theorem are modelled on the one given by Burklund–Hahn–Senger in [BHS23, Appendix A]. The main difference in the proof is that the role played by the νE -ASS in their proof is played by the (truncated) τ -BSS in ours.

Theorem 2.21 (Truncated Omnibus, part 1). *Let X be a synthetic spectrum, and let $n, s \in \mathbf{Z}$ be integers. Let $x \in E_2^{n,s} = \pi_{n,s} X / \tau$ be a class. For every $k \geq 1$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1a) *The differentials $d_2(x), \dots, d_k(x)$ vanish.*
- (1b) *The element $x \in \pi_{n,s} X / \tau$ lifts to an element of $\pi_{n,s} X / \tau^k$.*

For any such lift α to $\pi_{n,s} X / \tau^k$, the following are true.

- (2a) *If x survives to page r for $r \leq k$, then $\tau^{r-2} \cdot \alpha$ is nonzero.*
- (2b) *The image of α under $\delta_{k-1}^k: \pi_{n,s} X / \tau^k \rightarrow \pi_{n-1,s+k} X / \tau$ is a representative for $d_k(x)$.*

Moreover, if x lifts, then there exists a lift α that also satisfies the following.

- (3) *If x is the target of a d_r -differential for $r < k$, then $\tau^{r-1} \cdot \alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Using the functor σ , we can translate the theorem into one for filtered spectra. We prove this version, so assume from now on that X is a filtered spectrum.

Consider x as an element of tridegree $(n, s, 0)$ (i.e., in filtration 0) in the k -truncated τ -BSS sequence for X . Observe that on the E_2 -pages of the truncated τ -BSSs, the map $X / \tau^k \rightarrow X / \tau$ is given by projecting onto the filtration 0 part. As a result, the equivalence of (1a) and (1b) follows from the identification of the differentials in the k -truncated τ -BSS from Lemma 2.15. This also tells us (2a), as a d_t^r -differential only hits elements in τ -Bockstein filtration t , so in particular it cannot kill elements of filtration below t .

Next, we prove (2b). Let $\alpha \in \pi_{n,s} X / \tau^k$ be a lift of x . Note that by Lemma 2.15, it is enough to show that $\delta_{k-1}^k(\alpha)$ is a representative for $d_{k-1}^k(x)$ in the non-truncated τ -BSS, where we regard x in tridegree $(n, s, 0)$. Recall how the d_{k-1} -differential on x in the non-truncated τ -BSS is computed: we apply the boundary map $\delta_1^\infty(x)$, choose a τ^{k-2} -division of this element (which exists precisely by the assumption that $d_1^k(x) = \dots = d_{k-2}^k(x)$), and reduce this mod τ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Sigma^{0, -k+1} X & \xrightarrow{\tau^{k-2}} & \Sigma^{0, -1} X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \swarrow \delta_1^\infty & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma^{0, -k+1} X / \tau & & & & X / \tau
 \end{array}$$

We have $\tau^{k-2} \cdot \delta_{k-1}^\infty(\alpha) = \delta_1^\infty(x)$. In other words, $\delta_{k-1}^\infty(\alpha)$ is a valid choice of τ^{k-2} -division

of $\delta_1^\infty(a)$, so that its projection to $\pi_{n,s+k} X/\tau$ is a representative for $d_{k-1}^\tau(x)$. But the mod τ reduction of δ_{k-1}^∞ is δ_{k-1}^k , proving (2b).

Lastly, we prove (3). Suppose that x is the target of a d_r -differential for $r < k$. Our goal is to find a lift $\alpha \in \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$ such that the relation $\tau^{r-1} \cdot \alpha = 0$ holds in $\pi_{n,s-r+1} X/\tau^k$. Let us consider x as an element of the k -truncated τ -Bockstein spectral sequence in tridegree $(n, s-r+1, r-1)$. Using Lemma 2.15, the d_r -differential hitting x translates to a d_{r-1}^τ -differential hitting the x in this tridegree.

When we unroll what this means, we learn the following. There exists a class $y \in \pi_{n+1,s-r} X/\tau$, and a lift β of $\delta_1^k(y)$ under $\tau^{r-2}: \Sigma^{0,-r+1} X/\tau^{k-r+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1} X/\tau^{k-1}$ such that β reduces to x under $X/\tau^{k-r+1} \rightarrow X/\tau$. By exactness, the element $\delta_1^k(y)$ maps to zero under $\tau: \Sigma^{0,-1} X/\tau^{k-1} \rightarrow X/\tau^k$, so any choice of β maps to zero under

$$\tau^{r-1}: \Sigma^{0,r-1} X/\tau^{k-r+1} \longrightarrow X/\tau^k.$$

As a result, it suffices to show that there is a choice of $\beta \in \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^{k-r+1}$ that lifts to an $\alpha \in \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$. Indeed, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{0,-r+1} X/\tau^k & \xrightarrow{\tau^{r-1}} & X/\tau^k, \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ \Sigma^{0,-r+1} X/\tau^{k-r+1} & & \end{array}$$

so if such an α exists, then $\tau^{r-1} \cdot \alpha = 0$.

To produce such an α , we first show that $y \in \pi_{n+1,s-r} X/\tau$ lifts to X/τ^{r-1} . This follows from (1) because y is a $d_{\leq r-1}$ -cycle. Write \tilde{y} for a lift. It then follows that $\delta_{r-1}^k(\tilde{y})$ is a valid choice for β as above. To show that this β lifts to X/τ^k , we need to show that $\delta_{k-r+1}^k(\beta) = 0$. Note that $\delta_{k-r+1}^k \circ \delta_{r-1}^k$ can be written as (omitting shifts for readability)

$$X/\tau^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-1}^\infty} X \longrightarrow X/\tau^{k-r+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-r+1}^\infty} X \longrightarrow X/\tau^{r-1}.$$

The middle two maps are part of a cofibre sequence, so in particular their composition is zero. This means that indeed $\delta_{k-r+1}^k(\beta) = 0$, showing that β lifts to the desired α , thus proving (3). ■

Warning 2.22. Suppose that $\alpha \in \pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$ is a lift of $x \in \pi_{*,*} X/\tau$, and that x is the target of a d_r -differential, where $r < k$. This does *not* imply that $\tau^{r-1} \cdot \alpha = 0$. Indeed, Theorem 2.21 (3) only tells us that there exists *some* lift of x that is τ^{r-1} -torsion.

We can now deliver on our promise to relate the total differentials to the differentials in the signature spectral sequence. Part of this is described by Theorem 2.21 (2b), which we now expand on.

Construction 2.23. Let X be a synthetic spectrum, let $n, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and let $r \geq 1$. The reduction map $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^r \rightarrow \pi_{n,s} X/\tau$ lands, by definition, in $E_2^{n,s}$ of the signature spectral sequence of X . By Theorem 2.21 (1), this map lands in the subgroup $Z_{r+1}^{n,s}$. Postcomposing this with the natural quotient, we obtain a map

$$\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^r \longrightarrow E_{r+1}^{n,s}.$$

These maps for different values of r are compatible in the obvious way.

Because our computations only require a description of the truncated total differentials, we state the comparison for these only. Unfortunately, this leads to a slightly more complicated indexing to keep track of.

Proposition 2.24. *Let X be a synthetic spectrum, and let $x \in \pi_{n,s} X/\tau$. Consider x as an element on the E_2 -page of the signature spectral sequence of X . Let $r \geq 2$.*

- (1) *If $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ reduces to zero mod τ^{r-1} , then x is a $d_{\leq r}$ -cycle.*
- (2) *If $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1,s+r+1} X/\tau$ is a preimage of $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ under the map*

$$\tau^{r-1}: \Sigma^{0,-r+1} X/\tau \longrightarrow X/\tau^r, \tag{2.25}$$

then the image of α under

$$\pi_{n-1,s+r+1} X/\tau \longrightarrow E_{r+1}^{n-1,s+r+1}$$

coincides with $d_{r+1}(x)$.

- (3) *Let $R \geq r$. Then we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{r-1}^R} & \pi_{n-1,s+r} X/\tau^{R-r+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_r^{n,s} & \xrightarrow{d_r} & Z_{R-r+1}^{n-1,s+r}/B_r^{n-1,s+r}, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is the map from Construction 2.23 composed with the natural projection $Z_{R-r+1} \rightarrow Z_{R-r+1}/B_r$.

Proof. We again reduce ourselves to filtered spectra by applying σ . If $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ reduces to zero mod τ^{r-1} , then it follows by unwinding the $(r+1)$ -truncated τ -Bockstein spectral sequence that $x \in E_1^{n,s,0}$ is a $d_{\leq r}^\tau$ -cycle. Via the identifications of Lemma 2.15, items (1) and (2) follow. To see item (3), note that δ_{r-1}^r is the mod τ reduction of δ_{r-1}^R . If now $\alpha \in \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^{r-1}$, then $\delta_{r-1}^r(\alpha)$ composed with (2.25) is $\delta_1^r(\alpha)$. This proves item (3). ■

Remark 2.26. The condition that $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ reduces to zero mod τ^{r-1} is equivalent to $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ being in the image of (2.25). This in particular happens if $\delta_1^{r+1}(x)$ is τ^{r-1} -divisible in $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^r$. In general however, the converse need not be true.

Whereas the first part of the Omnibus Theorem concerns finding lifts of elements from the spectral sequence, the second part is concerned with the question of how many lifts we need in order to generate $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$. This is where the truncated version deviates the most from the non-truncated version: elements in the signature spectral sequence that support differentials also determine nonzero elements in $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$. This is captured by the following phenomenon.

Remark 2.27. Let $y \in E_2^{n,w}$ such that $d_r(y) = x$, let $k \geq r$, and let α be a τ^{r-1} -torsion lift of x to X/τ^k . The class y does not contribute to X/τ^k in degree (n, w) , but it does contribute to it in degree $(n, w - k + r - 1)$. Indeed, the differential forces the Toda bracket

$$\langle \alpha, \tau^{r-1}, \tau^{k-r+1} \rangle \subseteq \pi_{n, w-k+r-1} X/\tau^k.$$

to be nonempty. However, elements in this Toda bracket will not lift to the non-truncated case unless τ^k acts by zero on X .

In general, the following criterion gives a very precise description of how large the group $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$ is.

Theorem 2.28 (Truncated Omnibus, part 2). *Let X be a synthetic spectrum, let $n, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and let $k \geq 1$. Write $E_r^{n,s}$ for the r -th page of the signature spectral sequence of X . Write $B_r^{n,s} \subseteq E_r^{n,s}$ for the r -boundaries, and write $Z_r^{n,s} \subseteq E_r^{n,s}$ for the r -cycles. By convention, we write $Z_1 = E_2$ and $B_1 = 0$.*

(1) *Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed. Suppose that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have a collection of elements*

$$\{\beta_j^i\}_j \subseteq \pi_{n, s+k-i} X/\tau^i$$

whose images under $X/\tau^i \rightarrow X/\tau$ generate the abelian group

$$Z_i^{n, s+k-i} / B_{k+1-i}^{n, s+k-i}. \quad (2.29)$$

(Note that by Theorem 2.21 (1), such a collection exists for every i .) Let $\alpha_j^i \in \pi_{n, s} X/\tau^k$ denote the image of β_j^i under the map

$$\tau^{k-i}: \Sigma^{0, -k+i} X/\tau^i \longrightarrow X/\tau^k.$$

Then $\{\alpha_j^i\}_{i,j}$ is a set of generators for the abelian group $\pi_{n, s} X/\tau^k$.

(2) *Let $1 \leq m \leq k$ be fixed. Suppose that for every $1 \leq i \leq k - m$, we have a collection of elements*

$$\{\beta_j^i\}_j \subseteq \pi_{n, s+k-i} X/\tau^i$$

whose images under $X/\tau^i \rightarrow X/\tau$ generate the abelian group (2.29). Let $\alpha_j^i \in \pi_{n, s} X/\tau^k$ denote the image of β_j^i under the map τ^{k-i} . Then $\{\alpha_j^i\}_{i,j}$ is a set of generators for the abelian group

$$\ker(\pi_{n, s} X/\tau^k \longrightarrow \pi_{n, s} X/\tau^m).$$

Proof. Using the isomorphism $\pi_{n,s} X \cong \pi_{n,s} \sigma X$ of Proposition 2.8, we are reduced to proving the same statement for filtered spectra. The k -truncated Bockstein spectral sequence converges strongly to $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$, because the filtration giving rise to it is zero for $s \gg 0$. The first result therefore follows from Lemma 2.15. The second follows analogously by considering the natural map from the k -truncated τ -BSS to the m -truncated τ -BSS for X , defined in the same way as the map in the proof of Lemma 2.15. ■

Warning 2.30. In general, the element a_j^i as in Theorem 2.28 need not be a τ^{k-i} -multiple in the $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ -module $\pi_{*,*} X/\tau^k$; cf. Remark 2.26.

Sometimes, the following simplified criterion is sufficient.

Corollary 2.31. *Let X be a synthetic spectrum, let $n, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and let $k \geq m \geq 1$.*

- (1) *If $\pi_{n,s+d} X/\tau$ vanishes for $0 \leq d \leq k-1$, then $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k$ vanishes.*
- (2) *If $\pi_{n,s+d} X/\tau$ vanishes for $m \leq d \leq k-1$, then the reduction map $\pi_{n,s} X/\tau^k \rightarrow \pi_{n,s} X/\tau^m$ is injective.*

Proof. In the notation of Theorem 2.28, we have $E_2^{n,s} = \pi_{n,s} X/\tau$, and $Z_r^{n,s}$ is a subgroup of this. It follows that the relevant groups in (2.29) vanish, so the claim follows. ■

We will also need a non-truncated version of the Omnibus Theorem at one point in our computation; see Lemma 8.1. For the sake of brevity, we only prove what we need.

Proposition 2.32. *Let X be a synthetic spectrum. Suppose that X is τ -complete and that the signature spectral sequence converges strongly. Let $x \in E_2^{n,s}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *The class x is a permanent cycle, i.e., all differentials on x vanish.*
- (b) *The class x lifts to $\pi_{n,s} X$.*

Proof. We may again apply σ using that σ preserves limits, so for the rest of the proof we work with filtered spectra. The strong convergence of the underlying spectral sequence is equivalent to the strong convergence of the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence. Consider x as an element of $E_1^{n,s,0}$. Note that the map from the τ -BSS to the 1-truncated τ -BSS for X is given on E_1 -pages by the projection onto filtration 0. As a result, x lifts to $\pi_{n,s} X$ if and only if x as an element in $E_1^{n,s,0}$ is a permanent cycle. Using the identifications of Lemma 2.15, we find that x defines a permanent cycle in the τ -BSS if and only if it does in the underlying spectral sequence. This proves the claim. ■

Remark 2.33. As noted in the proofs, the theorems of this section are actually results about filtered spectra. In particular, one can apply these results much more generally than just synthetic spectra: the exact same arguments go through in the setting of an adjunction $\text{FilSp} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}$ as discussed in Section 2.1.

2.5 The synthetic Leibniz rule

In this section we prove a theorem of Burklund describing a synthetic version of the Leibniz rule. This is an incredibly powerful tool. For example, in the context of the Tmf -computations at the prime 2 in Section 6, we use this synthetic Leibniz rule to compute $d_7(\Delta^4)$ in Proposition 6.30. This provides the first unconditional proof of this differential, and answers a question of Isaksen–Kong–Li–Ruan–Zhu [Isa+24, Problem 1.2].

Unlike Bruner’s power operations in the Adams spectral sequence [BMMS, Chapter VI] (interpreted synthetically by Burklund–Xu [BX25, Section 7.1.5]), which requires an \mathbf{H}_∞ -structure, this result holds for any homotopy-commutative synthetic ring (or even a homotopy-commutative ring in filtered spectra).

Theorem 2.34 (Synthetic Leibniz rule, Burklund). *Let R be a homotopy ring in Syn . For any $n \geq 1$, the map*

$$\delta_n^{2n} : \pi_{*,*} R/\tau^n \longrightarrow \pi_{*-1, *+n+1} R/\tau^n$$

is a derivation. In particular, for any two classes $x, y \in \pi_{,*} R/\tau^n$, we have the equality*

$$\delta_n^{2n}(xy) = \delta_n^{2n}(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot \delta_n^{2n}(y).$$

Proof. By applying σ , we reduce to proving the same in the context of filtered spectra. We first prove the claim in the absolute case $R = \mathbf{S}$. Since $C\tau^n$ is a ring spectrum, the left unit $\eta_L : C\tau^n \rightarrow C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n$ is split by the multiplication map $\mu : C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n \rightarrow C\tau^n$, resulting in a splitting of $C\tau^n$ -modules

$$C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n \simeq C\tau^n \oplus \Sigma^{1, -n-1} C\tau^n,$$

which determines a splitting

$$\pi_{*,*}(C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n) \cong \pi_{*,*}(C\tau^n) \oplus \pi_{*,*}(\Sigma^{1, -n-1} C\tau^n) \quad (2.35)$$

of $\pi_{*,*} C\tau^n$ -modules. The theorem follows from a pair of claims: the projection onto the right hand factor in (2.35) coincides with $\mathrm{id}_{C\tau^n} \otimes \delta_n^\infty$, and (2.35) is an isomorphism of rings, where we consider the right-hand side as a trivial square-zero extension. Indeed, given these, it follows that the composite

$$\pi_{*,*} C\tau^n \xrightarrow{\eta_R} \pi_{*,*}(C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{C\tau^n} \otimes \delta_n^\infty} \pi_{*,*} \Sigma^{1, -n-1}(C\tau^n)$$

is a derivation, and the composite is identified with δ_n^{2n} via the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{C\tau^n} \otimes \delta_n^\infty} & \Sigma^{1, -n-1} C\tau^n \\ \eta_R \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ C\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} & \Sigma^{1, -n-1} \mathbf{S} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ C\tau^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^{2n}} & \Sigma^{1, -n-1} C\tau^n \end{array}$$

To establish this pair of claims, we need to unravel the splitting of (2.35). First we tensor the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{0,-n} \mathbf{S} \xrightarrow{\tau^n} \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow C\tau^n \xrightarrow{\delta_n^\infty} \Sigma^{1,-n-1} \mathbf{S}$$

on the left with $C\tau^n$ and, examining the corresponding long exact sequence, one sees that there is a unique class $\hat{\sigma} \in \pi_{1,-n-1}(C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n)$ such that $(\text{id}_{C\tau^n} \otimes \delta_n^\infty)(\hat{\sigma}) = 1 \in \pi_{0,0} C\tau^n$. We let

$$\sigma: \Sigma^{1,-n-1} C\tau^n \longrightarrow C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n$$

be the map of $C\tau^n$ -modules adjoint to $\hat{\sigma}$. It now follows by definition of σ that the above splitting of $C\tau^n$ -modules takes the form

$$C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n \xrightleftharpoons[\left(\eta_L \sigma\right)]{\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \text{id}_{C\tau^n} \otimes \delta_n^\infty \end{array}\right)} C\tau^n \oplus \Sigma^{1,-n-1} C\tau^n,$$

which establishes the first of the above claims.

For the second claim, we examine a product of elements

$$(\eta_L(x) + \sigma(y)) \cdot (\eta_L(x') + \sigma(y')) = \eta_L(x)\eta_L(x') + \sigma(y)\eta_L(y') + (-1)^{|x|}\eta_L(x)\sigma(y') + \sigma(y)\sigma(y')$$

in $\pi_{*,*}(C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n)$. Assuming the last term vanishes, applying the upper map in the above splitting yields the class

$$(xx', yx' + (-1)^{|x|}xy') \in \pi_{*,*}(C\tau^n) \oplus \pi_{*,*}(\Sigma^{1,-n-1} C\tau^n),$$

completing the proof. To see that $\sigma(y)\sigma(y') = 0$, note that by $C\tau^n$ -linearity, this product factors through a map of $C\tau^n$ -modules

$$\Sigma^{1,-n-1} C\tau^n \otimes_{C\tau^n} \Sigma^{1,-n-1} C\tau^n \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \sigma} (C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n)^{\otimes_{C\tau^n} 2} \xrightarrow{\mu} C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n$$

which is adjoint to a map in $\pi_{2,-2n-2}(C\tau^n \otimes C\tau^n)$. This group is seen to be zero by a long exact sequence argument.

The proof for general R goes through without change by applying $R \otimes -$, with the exception of the last step. The map $R \otimes (\mu \circ (\sigma \otimes \sigma))$ is not automatically zero for degree reasons as in the case $R = \mathbf{S}$, but since the map is obtained by applying $R \otimes -$ to the universal case, the resulting map remains zero. ■

Remark 2.36. It is stated in Claim 3.3.3 of Burklund's Cookware [Bur22] that, in addition, the map $C\tau^{2n} \rightarrow C\tau^n$ is a square zero extension of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings. We will not need such a generalisation in this article.

3 Toda brackets

In this section we discuss Toda brackets. These were invented by Toda in [Tod62] in the category of topological spaces, and were used extensively in [Koc] in the category of spectra. It has long been known to experts that one can define Toda brackets in any sufficiently coherent homotopical context, for example, in an ∞ -category. For simplicity and with our eyes towards applications to synthetic, equivariant, and motivic spectra, as well as the associated categories of modules, we restrict our attention to the Picard-graded homotopy groups of the unit in a monoidal stable ∞ -category.

First, we set up this abstract theory, including proving shuffling formulas for Toda brackets of arbitrary length, and afterwards discuss a synthetic version of Moss' theorem for evaluating Toda brackets. Let us reiterate that for the second part, we closely follow ideas of Burklund from [Bur22].

3.1 Toda brackets and iterated cones

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a stably monoidal ∞ -category.

Definition 3.1. We let a **shift** of an object or morphism in \mathcal{C} refer to applying $X \otimes -$ or $- \otimes X$ for some $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$.

We will abuse notation slightly and use the same name for a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ for $X, Y \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ and its shift $f \otimes Z : X \otimes Z \rightarrow Y \otimes Z$ for $Z \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$. One important example is the dual map $Y^\vee \rightarrow X^\vee$, as this can be identified with the shift of $Y^{-1} \otimes f \otimes X^{-1}$ of f up to a sign, in the following sense.

Definition 3.2. We say that (sets of) morphisms agree up to a **sign** when they become homotopic after multiplying by a unit of the ring $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]$ of endomorphisms of the unit of \mathcal{C} .

All of the formulas given below only hold up to a sign, and we do not make these signs explicit.

Definition 3.3. Let $X_0, \dots, X_n \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ and

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} X_0$$

a composable sequence of arrows in \mathcal{C} . We define the data of a **form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$** in \mathcal{C} inductively as follows:

- ◆ A form of $C(a_1)$ is a cofiber of a_1 together with the canonical projection $C(a_1) \rightarrow \Sigma X_1$. There is a contractible space of forms of $C(a_1)$.
- ◆ Suppose that forms of $C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ have been defined so that each such form comes with a canonical "projection to the top cell"

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n-1} X_{n-1}$$

A form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is then a cofiber of a morphism

$$\Sigma^{n-1}X_n \longrightarrow C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

such that the composite

$$\Sigma^{n-1}X_n \longrightarrow C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n-1}X_{n-1}$$

is homotopic to $\Sigma^{n-1}a_n$, for some form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Rotating the cofiber sequence, one obtains a canonical map

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow \Sigma^n X_n$$

Remark 3.4. A form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a cell complex built out of X_0, \dots, X_n which may be depicted as follows

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\Sigma^n X_n} \\ \downarrow a_n \\ \boxed{\Sigma^{n-1} X_{n-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{\Sigma X_1} \\ \downarrow a_1 \\ \boxed{X_0} \end{array}$$

By definition such a form fits into a canonical cofiber sequence

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \longrightarrow C(a_1, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow \Sigma^n X_n$$

for some form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Except in the case $n = 1$, these do not always exist and are not in general unique when they do.

Example 3.5. The dual of a form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a shift of a form of $C(a_n, \dots, a_1)$, since taking duals preserves cofiber sequences. ▲

Definition 3.6. Let K be a form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. For maps $a: X \rightarrow X_n$ and $b: X_0 \rightarrow X$, we use the notation

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma^n X \xrightarrow{\bar{a}} K \\ K \xrightarrow{b} X \end{array}$$

to denote maps that make the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{b} & X \\ \iota \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ K & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^n X & \xrightarrow{a} & \Sigma^n X_n, \\ \bar{a} \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ K & & \end{array}$$

where ι and p are the canonical inclusions and projections respectively.

Definition 3.7. Let K be a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$, and suppose one has maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{n-2} X_n & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_n} & K \\ K & \xrightarrow{a_1} & X_0 \end{array}$$

as in Definition 3.6. The **Toda bracket**

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq [\Sigma^{n-2} X_n, X_0]$$

is the set of all composites $\underline{a}_1 \circ \bar{a}_n$ formed in this way, by running over all forms K of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and all possible choices of \underline{a}_1 and \bar{a}_n .

Remark 3.8. In a diagram, this is the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{\Sigma^{n-2} X_n} & \xrightarrow{a_n} & \boxed{\Sigma^{n-2} X_{n-1}} \\ & & \downarrow a_{n-1} \\ & & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow a_2 \\ \boxed{X_1} & \xrightarrow{a_1} & \boxed{X_0} \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.9. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a unital exact functor between symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -categories. For any morphisms $a_i \in \mathcal{C}$ as above, there is a containment

$$F(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) \subseteq \langle F(a_1), \dots, F(a_n) \rangle.$$

Proof. The assumptions guarantee that F preserves forms of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. ■

Lemma 3.10. Up to signs, one has

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_n, \dots, a_1 \rangle.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition using Example 3.5. ■

The set $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ may be empty. Indeed, a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ need not exist when $n > 3$, nor the maps \underline{a}_1 and \overline{a}_n . We therefore give some general existence statements for forms of iterated cones and non-emptiness of Toda brackets. In the following, a *sub-bracket* of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ refers to any bracket of the form $\langle a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i+k} \rangle$.

Proposition 3.11.

- (1) A form of $C(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ exists if and only if $a_1a_2 = a_2a_3 = 0$ and $0 \in \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$. The Toda bracket $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ is nonempty if and only if $a_1a_2 = a_2a_3 = 0$.
- (2) Let $n > 3$. A form of $C(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ exists if and only if all sub-brackets of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ contain zero.
- (3) Let $n > 3$. If $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle = \{0\}$ for all $k < n$, and $0 \in \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$, then $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is nonempty. Conversely, if $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is nonempty, then all sub-brackets of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ of length $< n$ contain zero.

Proof. For item (1), if a form of $C(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ exists, then a form of $C(a_1, a_2)$ exists, and taking the fiber of $C(a_1) \rightarrow C(a_1, a_2)$ gives a map $\Sigma X_2 \rightarrow C(a_1)$ whose projection onto the top cell gives a_2 . A simple long exact sequence argument using the cofiber sequence

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} X_0 \longrightarrow C(a_1)$$

shows that this implies $a_1a_2 = 0$, and similarly for a_2a_3 . To see that $0 \in \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$, consider the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^2 X_3 \longrightarrow C(a_1, a_2) \longrightarrow C(a_1, a_2, a_3)$$

Taking the dual of the first map gives, up to a shift, a map $C(a_2, a_1) \rightarrow X_3$ whose restriction to the bottom cell is a_3 . Since the restriction of this map to $C(a_2)$ extends over $C(a_2, a_1)$, its precomposition with the attaching map $\Sigma X_0 \rightarrow C(a_2)$ of the top cell in $C(a_2, a_1)$ defines an element of $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ that is nullhomotopic.

Conversely, if $a_1a_2 = a_2a_3 = 0$, we have diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{a_1} & X_0 \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \underline{a}_1 & \\ C(a_2) & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma X_3 & \xrightarrow{a_3} & \Sigma X_2 \\ \overline{a}_3 \downarrow & \nearrow p & \\ C(a_2) & & \end{array} \qquad (3.12)$$

Moreover, for some choices of \underline{a}_1 and \overline{a}_3 , there is a nullhomotopy of the composite $\underline{a}_1 \circ \overline{a}_3$, which provides an extension of \underline{a}_1 over the cofiber of \overline{a}_3 , which is a form of $C(a_2, a_3)$. Taking the fiber of this extension $C(a_2, a_3) \rightarrow X_0$ gives (up to a shift) a form of $C(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

The Toda bracket $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ is nonempty if and only if we have diagrams as in Equation (3.12), which exist if and only if $a_1a_2 = a_2a_3 = 0$.

Item (2) is proved via a straightforward induction argument with base case the $n = 3$ case just proved.

For item (3), suppose $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle = \{0\}$ and $0 \in \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$. Using item (2), we have a cofiber sequence $K \rightarrow K' \rightarrow \Sigma^{n-1}X_n$, where K is a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and K' is a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Rotating gives a map $\bar{a}_n: \Sigma^{n-2}X_n \rightarrow K$. Consider the question of extending the map $a_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ over K inductively by providing nullhomotopies of each of the attaching maps of K . The postcomposition of the attaching map of the k -th cell of K with an extension of a_1 over a $(k-1)$ -skeleton defines an element of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k+2} \rangle$. If these brackets are equal to $\{0\}$ for $k+2 < n$, then we may extend over K and precomposing with \bar{a}_n defines an element of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$.

Conversely, if $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is nonempty, then a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ exists. From item (2), it follows all sub-brackets of $\langle a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ contain zero. Moreover, there is an extension of a_1 over a form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$, which by analyzing attaching maps in $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ as above shows that each of the brackets $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ contains zero for $k < n$. Dualizing the argument yields the same for the brackets $\langle a_k, \dots, a_n \rangle$ for $k > 1$. ■

Proposition 3.13. *The following shuffling formulas hold up to sign.*

(1) *If $\langle a_i, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle = \{0\}$ for all $3 \leq i < n-1$, then*

$$a_1 \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle a_n.$$

(2) *Let $a: X \rightarrow X_n$ be a map. Then $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle a \subseteq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n a \rangle$.*

(3) *Let $a: X \rightarrow X_n$ be a map. Then*

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n a \rangle \subseteq \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} a, a_n \rangle.$$

(4) *Let $2 < k < n$ and $a: X_{k-1} \rightarrow X$ be a map. If all sub-brackets of $\langle a_2, \dots, a_{k-1} a, a_k, \dots, a_n \rangle$ contain zero and all sub-brackets of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a a_k, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ are equal to $\{0\}$, then*

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} a, a_k, \dots, a_n \rangle \cap \langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a a_k, \dots, a_n \rangle \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. For item (1), we will show that $a_1 \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \supseteq \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle a_n$ up to signs; dualizing the argument gives the other inclusion. Suppose one is given an element

$$\Sigma^{n-3}X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{n-1}} C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}) \xrightarrow{a_1} X_0$$

in $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$. One forms a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{n-3}X_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-2}) \\ \downarrow \bar{a}_2 & & \downarrow \bar{a}_{n-1} & & \parallel \\ X_1 & \longrightarrow & C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-2}) \end{array}$$

by taking fibers horizontally in the right square, so that the rows are cofiber sequences. By Proposition 3.11, if $\langle a_i, \dots, a_n \rangle = \{0\}$ for all $3 \leq i < n-1$, then there exists a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Sigma^{n-3} X_n \\ & \swarrow \bar{a}_n & \downarrow a_n \\ C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{n-3} X_{n-1} \end{array}$$

for the form of $C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1})$ constructed above. Pasting the two diagrams above, one forms a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{n-3} X_n & \xrightarrow{a_n} & \Sigma^{n-3} X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{n-1}} & C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \xrightarrow{a_1} & X_0 \\ & \searrow \bar{a}_n & & & \uparrow & \nearrow a_1 & \\ & & C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{a_2} & X_1 & & \end{array}$$

proving the inclusion.

Item (2) follows immediately from the definition.

For item (3), suppose we are given an element

$$\Sigma^{n-2} X \xrightarrow{\bar{a}a_n} C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{a_1} X_0$$

in $\langle a_1, \dots, aa_n \rangle$. By starting with the upper left-hand square and taking cofibers, one produces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X \otimes \Sigma^{n-3} X_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & X_n \otimes C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & X_n \otimes C(a_2, \dots, aa_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & X \otimes \Sigma^{n-2} X_{n-1} \\ \downarrow a & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ X_n \otimes \Sigma^{n-3} X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{n-1}} & X_n \otimes C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & X_n \otimes C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & X_n \otimes \Sigma^{n-2} X_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(a) \otimes \Sigma^{n-3} X_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C(a) \otimes \Sigma^{n-2} X_{n-1} \end{array}$$

where the rows and columns are cofiber sequences. This shows that to lift our map $\bar{a}a_n: \Sigma^{n-2} X \rightarrow C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ to $C(a_2, \dots, aa_{n-1})$, we need to show that postcomposing it with the projection to the top cell followed by inclusion into $C(a)$ gives zero. But the projection to the top cell is by definition aa_{n-1} , which is divisible by a . This yields a factorization similar to that of item (1).

For item (4), if all sub-brackets of $\langle a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}a, a_k, \dots, a_n \rangle$ contain zero, then by Proposition 3.11 (2), there exists a map $\bar{a}_n: \Sigma^{n-2} X_n \rightarrow C(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}a, a_k, \dots, a_{n-1})$. In a manner similar to the above, one can construct a map

$$C(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}a, a_k, \dots, a_{n-1}) \longrightarrow C(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, aa_k, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

that extends the inclusion of the bottom cell and projects to the identity on the top cell, for some form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, aa_k, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Using the arguments of Proposition 3.11, the map a_1 extends over the above form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, aa_k, \dots, a_{n-1})$ if all sub-brackets of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, aa_k, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ are equal to $\{0\}$. Composing these maps gives an element in the claimed intersection. ■

3.2 Moss' theorem

Moss' theorem gives conditions for Toda brackets in π_*R to be detected by Massey products in a spectral sequence converging to π_*R . The classical reference is [Mos70]; also see [BK24] for a modern reinterpretation. We will discuss a version of Moss' theorem in the setting of synthetic spectra. Throughout this section, we fix a homotopy-associative ring spectrum E of Adams type, and write Syn_E for Syn .

Let $R \in \text{Syn}$ be a synthetic \mathbf{E}_2 -ring, then we may work with Toda brackets in the monoidal ∞ -category of (left) modules over R . Given a Toda bracket

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq \pi_{*,*} R,$$

we will describe a general technique for determining the image of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ along the map $\text{pr}_r: \pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*R/\tau^r$, following ideas of Robert Burklund [Bur22].

There is one immediate observation.

Proposition 3.14. *There is an inclusion*

$$\text{pr}_r(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) \subseteq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq \pi_{*,*} R/\tau^r.$$

Proof. The map $R \rightarrow R/\tau^r$ is one of \mathbf{E}_2 -rings, so the claim follows from Lemma 3.9. ■

Suppose C_* is a dga in an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Recall that, when we regard C_* as an object of the derived ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, then Toda brackets in the homotopy groups of a dga C_* coincide with Massey products in C_* . This immediately implies the following.

Proposition 3.15. *If the \mathbf{E}_2 -ring R/τ is the underlying object in Stable of a dga C_* , then the set $\text{pr}_1(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle)$ is contained in the Massey product $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq H_*C_*$.*

However, this is not particularly useful if one of the a_i 's is divisible by τ^r for $r \geq 1$. In that case, the bracket in R/τ^r will contain zero and have large indeterminacy generically.

Instead of proving a version of Moss' theorem in this context, we describe a general approach to determining the image $\text{pr}_r(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle)$ that works well in most cases. We outline how this works in a simple situation that happens to cover all of our applications in this article; see Section 6.10.

Suppose that $\text{pr}_r(a_1)$ and $\text{pr}_r(a_n)$ are nonzero, and that a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} are all divisible by τ^r ; write $b_i \tau^r = a_i$. An element in $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is given by a composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bullet & \xrightarrow{\overline{a_n}} & \bullet \\
 & & \downarrow b_{n-1} \tau^r \\
 & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow b_2 \tau^r \\
 \bullet & \xrightarrow{\underline{a_1}} & \bullet
 \end{array}$$

where we suppress all degrees for simplicity. All primary attaching maps in this form of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ become zero after tensoring down to $C\tau^r$, and we assume for simplicity that the attaching maps themselves are divisible by τ^r . It follows that the complex splits as a sum of shifts of the unit after tensoring down to $C\tau^r$. The maps $\overline{a_n}$ and $\underline{a_1}$ are then represented by matrices

$$\overline{a_n} = \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{a_1} = (y_n \ \cdots \ y_1)$$

with entries in $\pi_{*,*} C\tau^r$, where $x_n = \text{pr}_r(a_n)$ and $y_1 = \text{pr}_r(a_1)$. Assuming for simplicity that $y_i = 0$ for all $i > 1$ (this happens for degree reasons in our examples), then this composite projects to the element $\text{pr}_r(a_1)x_1 \in \pi_{*,*} C\tau^r$.

We therefore reduce to understanding the component x_1 , which may be determined inductively using that $x_n = \text{pr}_r(a_n)$ and working our way down the cells of $C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Assuming the brackets $\langle b_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\langle a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ are both equal to $\{0\}$, the shuffling formula

$$\tau^r \langle b_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$$

allows us to form a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{a_2} & \mathbf{1} \\
 \downarrow b_2 & & \parallel \\
 \mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow{\tau^r} & \mathbf{1}
 \end{array}$$

where we suppress degrees as before. Taking fibers horizontally, we form a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & C(a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow b_2 \\
 C\tau^r & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{1}
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps collapse the respective bottom cells, and restricting the left-hand map to $C(a_2)$ gives a map $C(a_2) \rightarrow C\tau^r$ that is the identity on the bottom cell and b_1 on the top cell. The composite

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\overline{a_n}} C(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \longrightarrow C\tau^r$$

therefore induces a (2×1) -matrix after tensoring with $C\tau^r$ whose bottom component is x_1 , and whose top component is $\text{pr}_r(b_1)x_2$.

In other words, we have $\delta_r^{2r}(x_1) = \text{pr}_r(b_1)x_2$. Using our understanding of total differentials, this refers to a specific differential, hence x_1 may be determined up to indeterminacy in the kernel of this differential, once the class $\text{pr}_r(b_1)x_2$ is known. However, x_2 is the bottom component of the composite

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\overline{a_n}} C(a_2, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow C(a_3, \dots, a_n)$$

in the above diagram, which is of the form $\overline{a_n}$. The process may thus be repeated with this composite, and inductively we are reduced to understanding the composite

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\overline{a_n}} C(a_2, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}$$

where the right-hand map is projection to the top cell, and this composite is a_n by assumption.

For three-fold Toda brackets, this method works in a straightforward manner, and we can give the following synthetic version of Moss' theorem.

Theorem 3.16. *Let R be an \mathbf{E}_2 -ring in Syn , and let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \pi_{*,*} R$ such that $\tau^r a_1 a_2 = \tau^s a_2 a_3 = 0$ in $\pi_{*,*} R$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, and let r, s be minimal with respect to this property.*

(1) *Suppose that $r, s > 0$. Then there exist $H_0, H_1 \in \pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^s)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{r+1}(H_0) &= a_1 a_2 \\ d_{s+1}(H_1) &= a_2 a_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the Toda bracket $\langle a_1, \tau^r a_2, a_3 \rangle \subseteq \pi_{,*} R$ contains a lift of*

$$\tau^{r-s} a_1 H_1 \pm H_0 a_3 \in \pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^s).$$

Note that here we do not change the name of an element along either of the maps in the composite

$$R \longrightarrow R/\tau^n \longrightarrow R/\tau$$

for $n = r, s$.

In particular, if $r = s$, then the Toda bracket $\langle a_1, \tau^r a_2, a_3 \rangle$ contains a lift of the element $a_1 H_1 \pm H_0 a_3$ in $\pi_{,*}(R/\tau)$. If $r > s$, then the Toda bracket $\langle a_1, \tau^r a_2, a_3 \rangle$ contains a lift of the element $H_0 a_3$ in $\pi_{*,*}(R/\tau)$. (Note that in both cases, these linear combinations are contained in the Massey product $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ formed in the dga $(\mathbf{E}_{r+1}, d_{r+1})$ in σR .)*

(2) Suppose that $r > 0$ while $s = 0$. Then there exists $H_0 \in \pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^r)$ such that

$$d_{r+1}(H_0) = a_1 a_2$$

and the Toda bracket $\langle a_1, \tau^r a_2, a_3 \rangle \subseteq \pi_{*,*} R$ contains a lift of

$$H_0 a_3 \in \pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^r).$$

In particular, the Toda bracket contains a lift of an element in the Massey product $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ formed in the dga (E_{r+1}, d_{r+1}) in σR .

Proof. We give the proof of (1), as (2) is similar and easier. Since $\tau^r a_1 a_2 = \tau^s a_2 a_3 = 0$, we may choose maps $\bar{a}_3: R \rightarrow R/\tau^s a_2$ and $\tau^{r-s} a_1: R/\tau^s a_2 \rightarrow R$, and form the following R -linear composite (suppressing all shifts for ease of notation).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_3} & \bullet \\ & & \downarrow \tau^s a_2 \\ & & \bullet \xrightarrow{\tau^{r-s} a_1} \bullet \end{array}$$

Tensoring with $C\tau^s$, we have an R/τ^s -linear composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{a_3} & \bullet \\ & \searrow H_1 & \downarrow H_0 \\ & & \bullet \xrightarrow{\tau^{r-s} a_1} \bullet \end{array}$$

which gives the sum $\tau^{r-s} a_1 H_1 + H_0 a_3$. To determine H_1 , as in the above discussion, we may form an R -linear map $R/\tau^s a_2 \rightarrow R/\tau^s$ that is the identity on the bottom cell and a_2 on the top cell. The postcomposition of \bar{a}_3 with this map defines a map

$$R \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3} R/\tau^s,$$

which, after tensoring with $C\tau^s$, has component H_1 onto the bottom cell. The R/τ^s -linear map H_1 is therefore adjoint to a R -linear map $R \rightarrow R/\tau^s$ (which we also denote by H_1) with the property that $\delta_s^{2s}(H_1) = a_2 a_3$. Since s is minimal with respect to the property that $\tau^s a_2 a_3 = 0$, by Proposition 2.24 this implies the differential $d_{s+1}(H_1) = a_2 a_3$. The analogous considerations apply to H_0 after taking R -linear duals and shifting, which introduces a sign depending on the degrees we have suppressed, so we do not attempt to determine this sign. \blacksquare

Remark 3.17. Usually, any version of Moss' theorem contains delicate assumptions about crossing differentials. This does not appear here because we are only working synthetically and we assume that we have elements $a_i \in \pi_{*,*} R$ such that $\tau^r a_1 a_2 = \tau^s a_2 a_3 = 0$. If, on the other hand, we started with elements $b_i \in \pi_* \tau^{-1} R$ such that $b_1 b_2 = b_2 b_3 = 0$, with $d_{r+1}(H_0) = b_1 b_2$ and $d_{s+1}(H_1) = b_2 b_3$ in the spectral sequence σR , then it is not automatic that there exists lifts a_i of b_i along τ^{-1} so that both $\tau^r a_1 a_2$ and $\tau^s a_2 a_3$ are zero.

Remark 3.18. The theorem above gives conditions for when certain Toda brackets in $\pi_{*,*} R$ contain lifts of certain elements in $\pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^s)$. We will also need similar statements about lifts along truncation maps of the form

$$\pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^{s+k}) \longrightarrow \pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^s)$$

The theorem does not apply verbatim to this case as $(R/\tau^{s+k})/\tau^s \not\cong R/\tau^s$. However, there is essentially no difference between these for the purposes of the theorem. Indeed, there is a canonical splitting

$$(R/\tau^{s+k})/\tau^s \simeq R/\tau^s \oplus \Sigma^{1, -s-k-1} R/\tau^s.$$

Moreover, the spectral sequence arising from the τ -adic tower that begins with the homotopy groups of $(R/\tau^{s+k})/\tau^s$ and converges to the homotopy groups of R/τ^{s+k} splits in an analogous way into two copies of the truncated Bockstein spectral sequence beginning with $\pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^s)$ and converging to $\pi_{*,*}(R/\tau^{s+k})$. In particular, the differentials in one of these two spectral sequences determine the other, hence the same is true of the Massey products formed in the spectral sequences.

4 Relationship to the cubic Hopf algebroid

The E_2 -page of the DSS for Tmf is computed via the sheaf cohomology of the line bundles $\omega^{\otimes k}$ over the compactification of the moduli stack of elliptic curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}}$. A small but crucial part of this E_2 -page is captured by the cohomology of the *cubic Hopf algebroid*.

4.1 The connective region

If we knew the Gap Theorem, then we could apply [Mat16, Corollary 5.3] to see that the cohomology of this Hopf algebroid is the E_2 -page of the ANSS for tmf , but it would be circular to do that here. Instead, we note that various localisations of the cubic Hopf algebroid can be identified with localisations of $\pi_{*,*} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau$. Gluing these identifications together gives us the desired comparison; see Proposition 4.3.

Definition 4.1. Define the (graded) **cubic Hopf algebroid** (A, Γ) as the data

$$A = \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6], \quad |a_i| = 2i,$$

$$\Gamma = A[r, s, t], \quad |r| = 4, \quad |s| = 2, \quad |t| = 6,$$

equipped with the left unit $\eta_L: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ given by the standard inclusion, the right unit $\eta_R: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ and comultiplication $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ defined as in [Bau08, Section 3].

Bauer gives simplifications of this Hopf algebroid when one inverts 2 or 3; see [Bau08, Section 4].

Recall the equivalence between Hopf algebroids and algebraic stacks, as given in [Nau07, Theorem 8] for example. Under this equivalence, the stack associated to the cubic Hopf algebroid is the *moduli stack of cubic curves* $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{cub}}$.

There is a map $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{cub}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fg}}$ arising from a map of the corresponding Hopf algebroids; see [Bau08, Section 3]. Taking the derived pushforward of the structure sheaf along this map allows us to view (A, Γ) as defining an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathcal{D}(\text{grComod}_{\text{MU}_* \text{MU}})$. Through the equivalence

$$L_{\nu \text{MU}} \text{Mod}_{C\tau}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{grComod}_{\text{MU}_* \text{MU}}) \quad (4.2)$$

from [Pst22, Theorem 4.5.4], we can thus regard (A, Γ) as an \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra in $C\tau$ -modules in Syn_{MU} . We will denote this by (A, Γ) again in this section.

Our goal now is to import Bauer's computations about (A, Γ) to Smf/τ . As we would also like to import Bauer's Massey products, we are interested in these objects not just as $C\tau$ -modules, but rather as \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebras over $C\tau$.

Through the aforementioned equivalence (4.2), our previous result [CDN25, Corollary 2.12] identifies the \mathbf{E}_∞ - $C\tau$ -algebra Smf/τ with the derived pushforward of the structure sheaf of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$ along $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fg}}$. As a result, the map of stacks $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{cub}}$ results in a map of \mathbf{E}_∞ - $C\tau$ -algebras

$$\Phi: (A, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau.$$

The works of Bauer [Bau08] and Konter [Kon12] explicitly computing the cohomology of these stacks may be summarised as follows.

Proposition 4.3 ([Bau08; Kon12]). *The morphism of \mathbf{E}_∞ - $C\tau$ -algebras*

$$\Phi: (A, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$$

induces a split injection on bigraded homotopy groups and an isomorphism on $\pi_{n,s}$ for $5s \leq n + 12$.

If one inverts 2, then the map Φ is an isomorphism in all bidegrees where the cohomology of (A, Γ) is nonzero. This is not the case at the prime 2, due to the existence of h_1 -towers. We refer to either [Kon12, Figures 10 and 25] or Figures A.1 and A.2 below for a graphic demonstration of this at the primes 3 and 2, respectively.

This corollary is particularly useful, as we can now interpret the Massey product computations of Bauer in the cohomology of (A, Γ) as happening in the bigraded homotopy groups of Smf/τ . We can also use Hopf algebroid computations of *transfer maps*.

4.2 Synthetic transfer maps

The main theorem of [HL16] states that there exists a log étale^[1] sheaf of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}: (\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{log-ét}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}$ whose global sections are Tmf . If a map of stacks $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ in

^[1]The use of the adjective *log étale* is only to accommodate the map of stacks $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$, which is not finite log étale, but is finite log étale. This family of examples is the reason for Hill–Lawson's extension of \mathcal{O}^{top} from the small étale site of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$ to its small log étale site found in [HL16].

this site is *finite log-étale*, there exists a transfer map

$$f_! : \mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{X}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{Y})$$

in the ∞ -category of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{Y})$ -modules. The existence of such maps can be found in [Dav24, Remark 1.16]. We would like a synthetic version of these maps.

Theorem 4.4. *Write \mathcal{O}^{syn} for the sheafification of the composite*

$$(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{log-ét}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}^{\text{top}}} \text{CAlg} \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{CAlg}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}).$$

The functor \mathcal{O}^{syn} post-composed with the forgetful functor to Syn_{MU} admits a unique factorisation

$$\mathbf{O}^{\text{syn}} : \text{Span}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{log-ét}}, \text{all}, \text{fin}) \longrightarrow \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}$$

through the span ∞ -category where the backwards maps are all maps of stacks in the small log étale site for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}$, and the forward maps are only the finite log étale maps of stacks. Moreover, given a finite étale morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ in this site, then the map $\mathbf{O}^{\text{syn}}(f) = f_! : \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{Y})$ induces the algebraic transfer map (see [Stacks, Tag 03SH]) on the E_2 -page of synthetic DSS (see [CDN25, Section 3.1]). In particular, the effect of $f_!$ on $\text{mod } \tau$ bigraded homotopy groups is the algebraic transfer map on the E_2 -page of DSS.

As with the proof of [Dav24, Theorem 1.14], we obtain the above result by directly applying [BH21, Corollary C.13].

Proof. Consider the composite

$$(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{log-ét}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}} \text{CAlg}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}) \longrightarrow \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}$$

equipped with the wide subcategory of the domain spanned by finite log étale morphisms. Here we consider Syn_{MU} as the ∞ -category of \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebras in Syn_{MU} with respect to the cartesian monoidal structure (a.k.a. \mathbf{E}_∞ -monoids in Syn_{MU}); as Syn_{MU} is stable, this is indeed equivalent to Syn_{MU} . We claim this data satisfies the conditions of [BH21, Corollary C.13]. Indeed, the domain category \mathcal{C} is extensive, meaning it admits finite coproducts, coproducts are disjoint, and finite coproducts decompositions are preserved under base-change, as this is true for any slice category of stacks over a base stack which is closed under coproducts and summands. The other hypotheses hold due to the fact that finite log étale morphisms are log étale locally finite disjoint unions. By [BH21, Corollary C.13], we obtain a unique lifting of the above composite to the desired functor

$$\mathbf{O}^{\text{syn}} : \text{Span}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{log-ét}}, \text{all}, \text{fin}) \longrightarrow \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}.$$

Given an object X in the above site, the E_2 -page of the DSS for $\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{X})$ takes the form

$$E_2^{k,*,s} \cong H^s(\mathfrak{X}, \omega_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\otimes(k+s)/2}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\tau]$$

by [CDN25, Construction 3.1]. (Although [CDN25] deals primarily with the étale topology, the same arguments hold in our log étale case here; see [CDN25, Variant 4.11].) Copying the proof of [Dav24, Proposition 1.18], which proves that these spectral transfer maps induce the algebraic transfer maps on classical DSSs, we can identify the effect of the synthetic transfer maps on the E_2 -page of the synthetic DSS with the algebraic transfer maps base changed over $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$. ■

Using the finite log étale map $p: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3) \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$, we obtain a synthetic transfer map $p_!: \mathrm{Smf}_1(3)_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}$, which in turn induces the algebraic transfer map on mod τ bigraded homotopy groups

$$p_!: H^s(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3) \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}, \omega^*) \longrightarrow H^s(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}, \omega^*).$$

To compute this map in a specific range, we will compare this to a transfer map defined using Hopf algebroids.

Definition 4.5. Let (A', Γ') be the **2-primary cubic Hopf algebroid**, defined by the data

$$A' = \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}[a_1, a_3], \quad \Gamma' = A'[s, t] / \sim,$$

where the latter denotes the quotient by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s^4 - 6st + a_1s^3 - 3a_1t - 3a_3s &= 0, \\ s^6 - 27t^2 + 3a_1s^5 - 9a_1s^2t + 3a_1^2s^4 - 9a_1^2st + a_1^3s^3 - 27a_3t &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and with left unit, right unit, and comultiplication defined such that the evident quotient

$$(A_{(2)}, \Gamma_{(2)}) \longrightarrow (A', \Gamma')$$

is a map of Hopf algebroids.

By [Bau08, Section 7], the above quotient map of Hopf algebroids induces an equivalence of stacks, and hence induces an isomorphism on cohomology groups. The left A' -module Γ' corresponds to the pushforward of the structure sheaf of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3) \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ along the map p above; see [Mat16, Section 3]. In particular, our computations of the algebraic transfer along f are equivalent to that of the transfer $\mathrm{Tr}: \Gamma' \rightarrow A'$.

In more detail, first note that the left A' -module Γ' is free of rank 8 with basis

$$\{ 1, s, s^2, s^3, t, st, s^2t, s^3t \}.$$

For $x \in \Gamma'$, multiplication by x on Γ' is an A' -linear endomorphism. The associated trace map $\mathrm{Tr}: \Gamma' \rightarrow A'$ agrees with the algebraic transfer associated to $p_!$, as these are determined by their effect on a finite flat cover, where they are both given by taking sums. One can compute the traces of multiplication by s and t with respect to the above basis, resulting in the following.

Proposition 4.6. *The transfer map $\text{Tr}: \Gamma' \rightarrow A'$ is the map of left A' -modules determined by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(1) &= 8 & \text{Tr}(t) &= \frac{1}{3}a_1^3 - 4a_3 \\ \text{Tr}(s) &= -4a_1 & \text{Tr}(st) &= -2a_1a_3 \\ \text{Tr}(s^2) &= 2a_1^2 & \text{Tr}(s^2t) &= -\frac{1}{3}a_1^5 + 9a_1^2a_3 \\ \text{Tr}(s^3) &= -a_1^3 & \text{Tr}(s^3t) &= \frac{1}{3}a_1^6 - 7a_1^3a_3 - 27a_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

At the prime 2, the formulas for c_4 , c_6 and Δ simplify to

$$c_4 = a_1^4 - 24a_1a_3, \quad c_6 = -a_1^6 + 36a_1^3a_3 - 216a_3^2, \quad \Delta = a_3^3(a_1^3 - 27a_3). \quad (4.7)$$

The formulas for η_R also simplify: we have

$$\eta_R(a_1) = a_1 + 2s \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_R(a_3) = a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_1s^2 + \frac{1}{3}a_1^2s + 2t. \quad (4.8)$$

This immediately implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.9. *The ideal*

$$(8, 2c_4, 2c_6) \subseteq H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}, \omega^{\otimes*})$$

consists of permanent cycles in the DSS for $\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$.

Proof. The map of synthetic spectra $p_!: \text{Smf}_1(3)_{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Smf}_{(2)}$ induces a natural map of DSSs, which by Proposition 4.3 can be computed in the connective region of the target using Proposition 4.6. As $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3)$ has cohomological dimension 1, the DSS for $\text{Tmf}_1(3)_{(2)}$ collapses on the E_2 -page, so all classes are permanent cycles. In particular, any class in the image of the algebraic transfer map is a permanent cycle. The formulas from Proposition 4.6 together with (4.7) and (4.8) then show that the elements 8 , $2c_4$, and c_6 lie in the image of the transfer map:

$$\text{Tr}(1) = 8, \quad \text{Tr}(3\eta_R(a_1a_3)) = 2c_4, \quad \text{Tr}(-\eta_R(a_3^2)) = 2c_6. \quad \blacksquare$$

A similar analysis at the prime 3 for the DSS for TMF can be found in [Mei20, Section 5.6]. We only need the 2-local argument for this article.

Remark 4.10. The precomposition of the transfer $\text{Tr}: \Gamma' \rightarrow A'$ with the right unit $\eta_R: A' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a kind of $\Gamma_1(3)$ -Hecke operator. In other words, the computations above are a computation of the effect of the spectral Hecke operator $T_{\Gamma_1(3)}$ on $\text{TMF}_{(2)}$ defined in [Dav24, Definition 2.6] on the E_2 -page of its DSS on the submodule of holomorphic modular forms.

5 Information from the sphere and detection

By construction, the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring $\mathbf{S}mf$ comes with a map $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}mf$, which in particular gives us a map from the ANSS for \mathbf{S} to the DSS for $\mathbf{T}mf$. In this subsection, we prove that various elements in the ANSS for \mathbf{S} are detected in the DSS for $\mathbf{T}mf$ via this map.

In what follows, we will implicitly use the fact that we know the bigraded homotopy groups of $\mathbf{S}mf/\tau$: this is the E_2 -page of the DSS for $\mathbf{T}mf$, which is computed by Konter [Kon12].

5.1 Height one detection results

We begin by identifying v_1 .

Proposition 5.1.

(1) *The map $\mathbf{S}/\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{S}mf_1(3)/\tau$ detects the classes*

$$\tilde{v}_1 \in \pi_{2,0} \mathbf{S}/(\tau, 2) \cong \mathbf{F}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_1^2 \in \pi_{4,0} \mathbf{S}/(\tau, 4) \cong \mathbf{F}_2,$$

where \tilde{v}_1 and \tilde{v}_1^2 are generators.

(2) *The natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathbf{S}/\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{S}mf_1(2)/\tau$ detects the nonzero class $\tilde{v}_1 \in \pi_{4,0} \mathbf{S}/(\tau, 3)$.*

Proof. The DSS for $\mathbf{T}mf_1(3)$ collapses on the E_2 -page, as the stack $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_1(3)$ is a weighted projective stack (see [Mei22, Example 2.1]) so their DSSs are concentrated in filtrations 0 and 1. This yields the calculation $\pi_* \mathbf{T}mf_1(3) \cong A \oplus \hat{A}$, where

$$A = \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][a_1, a_3], \quad \text{where } |a_i| = 2i,$$

and where $\hat{A} = A/(a_1^\infty, a_3^\infty)$ is the torsion A -module with $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -basis given by

$$\frac{1}{a_1^i a_3^j} \quad \text{with } i, j > 0, \quad \text{where } \left| \frac{1}{a_1^i a_3^j} \right| = -2i - 6j - 1;$$

this is essentially [MR09, Corollary 3.3]. In positive degrees, these elements admit a description in terms of modular forms of level $\Gamma_1(3)$. By [HL16, Theorem 6.2], evaluation at the cusp gives a map of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\mathbf{T}mf_1(3) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}U[\frac{1}{3}]$$

that sends $a_1 \in \pi_2 \mathbf{T}mf_1(3)$ to u , where u denotes the Bott periodicity generator of $\pi_2 \mathbf{K}U[\frac{1}{3}]$. Let a_1 denote the nonzero class in $\pi_{2,0} \mathbf{S}mf_1(3)/(\tau, 2) \cong \mathbf{F}_2$. Because the mod 2 reduction of u detects \tilde{v}_1 on Adams–Novikov E_2 -pages, see [CD26, Theorem 5.4] for example, the reduction of the synthetic lift of a_1 , must also detect \tilde{v}_1 . Similar arguments show that \tilde{v}_1^2 is detected by $\mathbf{S}mf_1(2)/(\tau, 4)$. The arguments at the prime 3 are the same. ■

Next, we have the corresponding v_1 -periodic elements in the divided α -family.

Proposition 5.2. *The natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathbf{S}/\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{Smf}/\tau$ detects the following classes:*

$$\alpha \in \pi_{3,1} \mathbf{S}_{(3)}/\tau, \quad \eta \in \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}/\tau, \quad \nu \in \pi_{3,1} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}/\tau, \quad \varepsilon \in \pi_{8,2} \mathbf{S}/\tau.$$

We assume the reader is familiar with this Adams–Novikov notation, as well as the ANSS for \mathbf{S} in low degrees; see, e.g., [Rav78, Table 2].

Proof. The class η can be defined as $\partial(\tilde{v}_1)$, where $\partial: \mathbf{S}/2 \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ is the boundary map associated to the mod 2 Moore spectrum, and $\tilde{v}_1 \in \pi_2 \mathbf{S}/2$ is the class inducing multiplication by v_1 on $K(1)$ -homology. The class \tilde{v}_1 is detected on the ANSS for \mathbf{S} in filtration 0, i.e., in the bigraded homotopy group $\pi_{2,0} \mathbf{S}/(\tau, 2)$.

We now consider the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \pi_{2,0} \mathbf{S}/(\tau, 2) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}/\tau & & \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow & & \\ \pi_{2,0} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau & \xrightarrow{q} & \pi_{2,0} \mathbf{Smf}/(\tau, 2) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau & \xrightarrow{2} & \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \pi_{2,0} \mathbf{Smf}_1(3)/(\tau, 2) & & & & \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the maps of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Smf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Smf}_1(3)$. First, notice that $h(v_1) \neq 0$ as the image of v_1 is nonzero in $\pi_{2,0} \mathbf{Smf}_1(3)/(\tau, 2)$ by Proposition 5.1.

Next, by Figure A.2 and the exactness of the rows above, we see that $\pi_{2,0} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ is zero and $\pi_{1,1} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ has order 2. In particular, the multiplication by 2 map in the diagram above is zero, and we see that ∂ in the middle row is an isomorphism. From the commutativity of the above square, this implies that the nonzero class in $\pi_{1,1} \mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ detects $\eta = \partial(\tilde{v}_1)$.

For ν , we use that $\nu = \partial(\tilde{v}_1^2)$ coming from $\mathbf{S}/4$, and in the η -case above. The α -case also follows *mutatis mutandis*. For the claim about ε , note that in $\mathbf{S}_{(2)}/\tau$, we have the relation $h_2^3 = h_1 \cdot c$. As both h_2^3 and h_1 are nonzero in $\mathbf{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$, the conclusion follows. \blacksquare

Remark 5.3. It follows immediately from the existence of a map of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathbf{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathbf{KO}$ that η is detected by \mathbf{Tmf} , and the detection of ν can also be eked out of this fact. This map is the composite of the topological q -expansion map $\mathbf{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathbf{KO}[[q]]$ and the maps $\mathbf{KO}[[q]] \rightarrow \mathbf{KO}$ sending q to 0. One can construct the topological q -expansion map either via obstruction theory [HL16, Section A] or spectral algebraic geometry [DL25, Theorem 6.18].

As Smf detects η and ν , it also detects Toda brackets formed from these elements. Note that the Toda bracket $\langle \nu, \eta, \nu \rangle \subseteq \pi_{8,2}\mathbf{S}$ is nonempty and has zero indeterminacy as $\nu\pi_{5,1}\mathbf{S} = 0$ by inspection of the ANSS for \mathbf{S} . By [Koc, Corollary 3.4.12], the τ -inversion of this Toda bracket detects the classical class $\varepsilon \in \pi_8\mathbf{S}$, sometimes written as $c_0 = c$ in Adams notation or β_2 in Adams–Novikov notation.

5.2 The classes κ and $\bar{\kappa}$

The height 2 classes κ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are more subtle than the height 1 elements encountered above.

Proposition 5.4. *The natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathbf{S}_{(2)}/\tau \rightarrow \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ detects the mod τ reduction of the class $\kappa \in \pi_{14,2}\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$. In particular, the image of the class $\kappa \in \pi_{14,2}\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$ is also nonzero.*

Proof. In [Isa19, Table 23], Isaksen shows that the nonzero class $\eta\kappa$ lies in $\langle 2\nu, \nu, \varepsilon \rangle$ in $\pi_{14}\mathbf{S}$. As $\pi_{14,2}\mathbf{S}$ is τ -torsion free, we can lift this containment to $\eta\kappa \in \langle 2\nu, \nu, \varepsilon \rangle$ in the synthetic sphere. Using Propositions 3.15 and 4.3, we see that modulo τ we have a nonzero class $h_1d \in \langle 2h_2, h_2, c \rangle$ in the cohomology of the 2-primary cubic Hopf algebroid; see [Bau08, Appendix A].

As $\pi_{12,2}\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ and $\pi_{7,1}\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ both vanish (see Figure A.2) the above Massey product has zero indeterminacy and hence cannot contain zero. In particular, we see that $\eta\kappa$ cannot vanish in $\pi_{15,3}\text{Smf}_{(2)}$. As η is detected in $\pi_{1,1}\text{Smf}_{(2)}$, this implies that $\kappa \in \pi_{14,2}\text{Smf}_{(2)}$ is also nonzero and reduces to the generator d in $\pi_{14,2}\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau \cong \mathbf{F}_2$. ■

Next, we turn to $\bar{\kappa}$. In the sphere, this class is only well defined up to a factor of $\nu^2\kappa$.

Definition 5.5. We write $\bar{\kappa}$ for any choice of element in the Toda bracket $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ in the (non-synthetic) $\pi_{20}\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$. Similarly, we write $\bar{\kappa}$ for any choice of element inside the synthetic Toda bracket $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ in $\pi_{20,2}\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$.

A priori, it is not clear that either of these brackets are nonempty or nonzero. This follows from some classical facts.

Lemma 5.6. *The indeterminacy of the Toda bracket $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ in the non-synthetic sphere spectrum $\pi_{20}\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ is equal to the subgroup generated by $\nu^2\kappa$. Moreover, this bracket does not contain zero.*

Proof. Using the formula for the indeterminacy of a four-fold Toda bracket as presented by Kochman [Koc, Theorem 2.3.1 (b)], one can compute the desired indeterminacy. We verified this using the Massey product calculator of [ext-rs].

For the moreover statement, we use the computation of Kochman [Koc, Lemma 5.3.8 (e)], which states that $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ contains a generator of $\pi_{20}\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \cong \mathbf{Z}/8$. This, combined with the fact that $\nu^2\kappa$ is the nonzero 2-torsion element in this group [Koc, Theorem 5.3.1 (a)], shows that this bracket does not contain zero. ■

The naturality of Toda brackets as in Lemma 3.9 then implies that the synthetic Toda bracket $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ also cannot contain zero. Moreover, the fact that $\pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ is τ -power torsion free allows us to lift relations on any choice of $\bar{\kappa}$ too.

Lemma 5.7. *For any choice of $\bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \subseteq \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$, we have $4\bar{\kappa} = \tau^2 \nu^2 \kappa$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 5.6 shows that the equation $4\bar{\kappa} = \nu^2 \kappa$ holds in $\pi_{20} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$. As the τ -inversion map is injective in degree $(20, 2)$, we obtain the desired equation in $\pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$. ■

Lemma 5.8. *For any choice of $\bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \subseteq \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$, the class $\nu^3 \bar{\kappa} \in \pi_{29,5} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ is τ -power torsion.*

Proof. This follows from the classical fact that $\pi_{29} \mathbf{S}_{(2)} = 0$; see [Rav04, Figure A3.2]. ■

The ill-definedness of $\bar{\kappa}$ persists in Smf , where there is yet another issue. In the sphere, the class $\bar{\kappa}$ has Adams–Novikov filtration 2, while in Smf , it turns out to have filtration 4. We now make precise what we mean by this, which will allow us to pin down what we mean by $\bar{\kappa}$ as an element of $\pi_{*,*} \text{Smf}$ going forward.

Proposition 5.9. *For every choice of $\bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \subseteq \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$, there is a unique element y in $\pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}$ such that $\tau^2 \cdot y \in \pi_{20,2} \text{Smf}_{(2)}$ is the image of $\bar{\kappa} \in \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ under $\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Smf}_{(2)}$. Moreover, the reduction of y to Smf/τ is a generator for the group $\pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau \cong \mathbf{Z}/8$.*

Proof. We will implicitly localise at the prime 2 in this proof. Write $x \in \pi_{20,2} \text{Smf}$ for the image of $\bar{\kappa} \in \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}$ under the unit map $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$. We claim that x is uniquely τ^2 -divisible. Indeed, we look at the long exact sequence induced by the cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^{-1,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^2 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-2} \text{Smf} \xrightarrow{\tau^2} \text{Smf} \longrightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^2.$$

Part of this long exact sequence reads

$$\pi_{21,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^2 \longrightarrow \pi_{20,4} \text{Smf} \xrightarrow{\tau^2} \pi_{20,2} \text{Smf} \longrightarrow \pi_{20,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^2.$$

The homotopy of Smf/τ vanishes in bidegrees $(21, 1)$, $(21, 2)$, $(20, 2)$, and $(20, 3)$; see Figure A.2. Corollary 2.31 then implies that the homotopy of Smf/τ^2 vanishes in $(21, 1)$ and $(20, 2)$, so that multiplication by τ^2 induces an isomorphism

$$\tau^2: \pi_{20,4} \text{Smf} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{20,2} \text{Smf}.$$

Write y for the τ^2 -division of x . From Lemma 5.7, we learn that

$$4x = \tau^2 \cdot \kappa \nu^2.$$

Because multiplication by τ^2 in this bidegree in Smf is injective, we deduce that

$$4y = \kappa \nu^2.$$

From the multiplicative structure of Smf/τ , we see that $\kappa\nu^2$ reduces to 4 times a generator of $\pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}/\tau \cong \mathbf{Z}/8$. Write g for a choice of a generator, and write \bar{y} for the reduction mod τ of y . The relation above then tells us that $4g = 4\bar{y}$, i.e., $4(g - \bar{y}) = 0$. As such, $g - \bar{y}$ is an even multiple of g , i.e., \bar{y} is an odd multiple of g , which says that it is a unit in $\mathbf{Z}/8$ away from g . This means that \bar{y} is also a generator, as claimed. ■

Notation 5.10.

- ◆ From now on, we fix a choice of preferred element in $\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \subseteq \pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ We write $\bar{\kappa} \in \pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}$ for the element uniquely determined by this choice using Proposition 5.9. As a result, the chosen element in $\pi_{20,2} \mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ maps to $\tau^2 \bar{\kappa}$ in $\pi_{20,2} \text{Smf}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ We write $g \in \pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ for the reduction of $\bar{\kappa}$ to Smf/τ . By Proposition 5.9, this is a generator of $\pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau \cong \mathbf{Z}/8$.

From this definition of $\bar{\kappa}$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$, we immediately obtain the following facts.

Corollary 5.11.

- ◆ (20,2) $\tau^2 \bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ (20,4) $4\bar{\kappa} = \nu^2 \kappa$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ (29,7) $\nu^3 \bar{\kappa}$ is τ -power torsion in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.

All of these facts will be crucial in our computations.

5.3 Toda bracket relations from the sphere

There are also a handful of standard Toda bracket computations from the non-synthetic sphere which we would like to lift to Smf .

Proposition 5.12.

- ◆ (8,2) $\varepsilon \in \langle \nu, 2\nu, \eta \rangle$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ (15,3) $\eta\kappa \in \langle \nu, 2\nu, \varepsilon \rangle$ and $\eta\kappa \in \langle 2\nu, \nu, \varepsilon \rangle$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.
- ◆ (21,3) $\tau^2 \eta \bar{\kappa} \in \langle \nu, 2\nu, \kappa \rangle$ in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.

All of these relations will follow from the analogous relations in the sphere. We only state them in Smf to be consistent with our use of $\bar{\kappa}$ from Notation 5.10.

Proof. It suffices to prove these statements in the synthetic sphere. By [IWX23, Table 10], all of these statements hold in the non-synthetic sphere, except for those for $\eta\kappa$, which follow from [Isa19, Table 23].^[2] ■

^[2]Although [Isa19; IWX20] as a whole rely on the homotopy groups of tmf , this is only for computations in stems higher than those considered here. One can independently check these computations using the Massey

6 Computations at the prime 2

At last, we can begin to study the DSS for Tmf at the prime 2. In Appendix A, we provide spectral sequence charts that aid in reading the computation in this section. We also include several tables with information from this section, including relevant information from the sphere, important lifts of E_2 elements to Smf/τ^k for various k , relations and extensions in $\pi_{*,*}\mathrm{Smf}/\tau^k$ for various k , important values of the (truncated) total differential, and key Toda brackets.

Throughout this section, we are implicitly working 2-locally.

Theorem 6.1. *The signature spectral sequence of Smf , i.e., the DSS for Tmf , is determined below; see Figures A.3 to A.6.*

Another diagram of the same spectral sequence is given in [Kon12, Figures 26–27] with only minor typographical changes and omissions.

To prove Theorem 6.1, we work page by page through the DSS. We will only explicitly state those differentials which propagate all others by the Leibniz rule.

Definition 6.2. An **atomic differential** is a differential d_r in a multiplicative spectral sequence, of the form $d_r(x) = y$, where x is indecomposable in the dga E_r and $y \neq 0$.

It follows from the Leibniz rule that all of our atomic differentials yield all of the differentials in the *connective region* (Definition 6.4); this is the region of Figures A.3 to A.6 below the blue line.

The *meta-arguments* of Section 6.1 ensure both that all of the differentials above the blue line and to the right of the orange line follow from our atomic differentials, and that there are no differentials that cross this blue line.

Working page by page therefore amounts to ticking-off the following checklist:

- ◆ compute the atomic d_r -differentials;
- ◆ check the conditions of the meta-arguments to deduce all other differentials whose sources lie in stems $n \geq -20$;
- ◆ calculate as many lifts of elements, total differentials, extensions, and synthetic Toda brackets as will be necessary for future pages.

At the very end of the computation (6.9), we come back to the computation of differentials in stems $n \leq -21$, which amounts to a careful check of total differentials.

We begin with the E_2 -page, so the groups $\pi_{*,*}\mathrm{Smf}/\tau$, which is the classical computation of the 2-local cohomology of the compactification of the moduli stack of elliptic curves; see Figure A.2 or [Kon12, Figure 25]. There is also a connective region (Section 4.1) of this

product calculator of [ext-rs], for example.

diagram which can be found in [Bau08, Section 7] and within which we understand some of the higher multiplicative structure through Proposition 3.15 and Proposition 4.3.

We also import the following elements from the ANSS for \mathbf{S} .

Notation 6.3.

- ◆ We write $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \text{Smf}$ for the image of $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{S}$ under $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$.
- ◆ We write $\nu \in \pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}$ for the image of $\nu \in \pi_{3,1} \mathbf{S}$ under $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$.
- ◆ We write $\varepsilon \in \pi_{8,2} \text{Smf}$ for the image of $\varepsilon \in \pi_{8,2} \mathbf{S}$ under $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$.
- ◆ We write $\kappa \in \pi_{14,2} \text{Smf}$ for the image of $\kappa \in \pi_{14,2} \mathbf{S}$ under $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$.
- ◆ We write $\bar{\kappa} \in \pi_{20,4} \text{Smf}$ for the element defined in Notation 5.10.

Modulo τ , these elements reduce to h_1, h_2, c, d and g , respectively; see Proposition 5.2 and Section 5.2. In particular, these classes in Smf/τ are permanent cycles.

6.1 Meta-arguments

There are two steps in our computation that amount to a repeated check on each page, so we condense these checks into a pair of meta-arguments here. For clarity of exposition we separate these from the main page-by-page argument. However, we will need to make some forward references to the E_4 -page. We will therefore be explicit in computing the E_4 -page in our main argument without using the meta-arguments here in a circular way. This should not cause much confusion as the E_3 page is quite simple, with only one atomic differential.

In this section, we will frequently use terminology based on the way the relevant information appears in the spectral sequence chart, so we define these terms carefully first.

Definition 6.4. The **connective region** on the E_r -page of the descent spectral sequence for Tmf is the region in the plane consisting of those values of (n, s) on or below the line

$$s = \frac{1}{5}n + \frac{12}{5}.$$

The **nonconnective region** refers to the region above this line. A **line-crossing differential** is a differential $d_r(x) = y$ with the property that x is in the connective region and y is in the nonconnective region.

In the charts of Appendix A.2, we have drawn the line $s = \frac{1}{5}n + \frac{12}{5}$ in blue.

6.1.1 Line-crossing differentials

Our first meta-argument allows us to efficiently rule out the possibility of line-crossing differentials. There is a simple condition on atomic differentials we must check on each

page — this guarantees that we only have to rule out line-crossing differentials through a finite range on a given page, which we check on each page by hand.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $r > 4$. Suppose we know that for all $r' < r$ and all atomic differentials $d_{r'}(x) = y$ in the connective region that the implication*

$$gy = 0 \text{ in } E_{r'} \implies gx = 0 \text{ in } E_{r'}$$

holds. Then any element in the connective region on E_r in filtration ≥ 4 is divisible by g .

Proof. Every element in the connective region on E_4 in filtration ≥ 4 is divisible by g , as follows from Proposition 6.13. Inductively, an element $z \in E_r$ can be written as $z = gx$ on $E_{r'}$. If the element z fails to be divisible by g on E_r , there must be a differential $d_{r'}(x) = y$ with $gy = 0$, but the assumptions preclude this possibility. ■

Proposition 6.6. *Let $r > 4$. Suppose that any element in the connective region on E_r in filtration ≥ 4 is divisible by g . Let n be the largest stem on E_r such that the nonconnective region has a nonzero class in bidegrees (n, s) for $r \leq s \leq r + 3$. If there are no line-crossing d_r -differentials through the $(n + 1)$ -stem, then there are no line-crossing d_r -differentials.*

Proof. Any possible line-crossing differential whose source x is in filtration ≥ 4 has $x = gx'$, hence if $d_r(x') = 0$, then $d_r(x) = 0$. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that x is in filtration $0 \leq s \leq 3$, and the result follows. ■

6.1.2 Differentials in the S-region

Our second meta-argument allows us to conclude that all differentials in the S-region of Definition 6.8 are accounted for by application of the Leibniz rule. For this we will use the following.

Proposition 6.7. *If the E_r -page is Δ^8 -torsion free in stems $\geq n - 1$, and Δ^8 is a d_r -cycle, then all d_r -differentials in the nonconnective region with source in the n -stem are uniquely determined by the d_r -differentials in the connective region via the Leibniz rule.*

Proof. Let $x \in E_r$ be an element in the n -stem in the nonconnective region. For a suitable power k , the product $\Delta^{8k}x$ lies in the connective region, and one may divide a nonzero differential $d_r(\Delta^{8k}x)$ by Δ^{8k} to obtain a nonzero differential in the nonconnective region. Conversely, also by Δ^8 -torsion freeness, any nonzero differential with source in the n -stem in the nonconnective region determines a nonzero differential in the connective region. ■

The torsion-free condition of the previous proposition also follows from the checks in Propositions 6.5 and 6.6.

Definition 6.8. Let us denote by S the region consisting of stems $n > -21$, together with the (-21) -stem in filtration $s > 1$. In our spectral sequence charts of Appendix A.2, we indicate this region as the one to the right of the orange line.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $r > 4$. Suppose the conditions of Propositions 6.5 and 6.6 have been verified for $d_{\leq r}$ and suppose that Δ^8 is a d_{r+1} -cycle. Then E_{r+1} is Δ^8 -torsion free in the region S .*

Remark 6.10. The region S has been chosen precisely to yield Theorem A. Much of the nonconnective region is actually Δ^8 -torsion free, but this does not always hold. For example, 8 times the generator of $\pi_{-21,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$ is Δ -torsion; see Figure A.2. We will have to come back to these more subtle cases in Section 6.9, where we finish the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Proposition 6.9. We proceed by induction, noting that E_4 is Δ^8 -torsion free in S , that the conditions of Propositions 6.5 and 6.6 hold for $d_{\leq 4}$, and finally, that by Proposition 6.15 the following pair of conditions holds for $r \leq 4$.

- ◆ A class $a \in E_r^{n,s}$ satisfies

$$s < \frac{1}{5}(n - 192) + \frac{12}{5}$$

if and only if $a = \Delta^8 b$, where b is in the connective region.

- ◆ A class $a \in E_r^{n,s}$ in S satisfies $a = \Delta^8 b$ for b in the S -region if and only if $n \geq 171$.

We therefore assume by induction that E_r is Δ^8 -torsion free, and that the two conditions above hold for all $r' \leq r$. Since E_r is Δ^8 -torsion free, the E_{r+1} -page is Δ^8 -torsion free in S unless there is a d_r -cycle x in S such that $d_r(y) = \Delta^8 x$ for some y , and y is not divisible by Δ^8 . Given that the conditions of Propositions 6.5 and 6.6 hold for $d_{\leq r}$, such a differential cannot be line-crossing, so it has both source and target in either the connective or nonconnective region. In the former case, it follows that $\Delta^8 y$ lives in a bidegree (n, s) satisfying

$$s < \frac{1}{5}(n - 192) + \frac{12}{5} \tag{6.11}$$

and hence so must x . This leads to a contradiction as then x is also divisible by Δ^8 . In the latter case, it follows that $\Delta^8 y$ lives in a bidegree (n, s) satisfying $n \geq 192$, hence the same is true for x , showing again that x is Δ^8 -divisible.

Therefore E_{r+1} is Δ^8 -torsion free in S . To complete the induction, we need to establish the above two conditions for E_{r+1} . If b is in the connective region and $a = \Delta^8 b$, then it is clear from E_2 that (6.11) holds. Conversely, if $a \in E_{r+1}^{n,s}$ satisfies (6.11), then $a = \Delta^8 b$ on E_r by induction, and b is in the connective region for degree reasons. Since E_r is Δ^8 -torsion free in S , and a is a d_r -cycle, so is b , so the division $a = \Delta^8 b$ carries to the E_{r+1} -page unless b is hit by a differential. But since Δ^8 is a d_r -cycle, it would also follow that a is hit, a contradiction. The condition in the nonconnective region is established by an analogous argument. ■

6.2 Page 3

6.2.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.12 (3,1). $d_3(b) = h_1^4$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, the map

$$\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \text{Smf} \longrightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$$

sends η to the unique nonzero class $h_1 \in \pi_{1,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$. There is a differential in the ANSS for \mathbf{S} which hits η^4 , see [Rav78, Table 2] for example, so there also has to be a differential in the signature spectral sequence of Smf hitting η^4 . For degree reasons, the only things that can do this is a d_3 killing h_1^4 , and $b \in \pi_{5,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$ is the only potential source. ■

All other differentials follow from the Leibniz rule and the fact that η^4 is τ^2 -torsion. For example, we have $d_3(c_6) = \eta^3 c_4$.

6.2.2 Meta-arguments

Computing homology with respect to the d_3 -differentials, we have the following facts about the E_4 -page. These serve as base cases for the induction arguments used in the meta-arguments of Section 6.1.

Proposition 6.13. *Any element $x \in E_4$ in the connective region of filtration ≥ 4 is divisible by g .*

Proof. The computation of the connective region of the E_2 -page is done by Bauer in [Bau08, Section 7]. A straightforward consequence is that every d_3 -cycle x in the connective region of E_2 of filtration ≥ 4 is divisible by either g or h_1^4 . The claim then follows from the differential of Proposition 6.12. ■

Proposition 6.14. *There are no line-crossing d_3 differentials.*

Proof. For degree reasons, the only possible d_3 's crossing the line have source of the form $g^k h_1^3$, which is a permanent cycle. ■

Proposition 6.15. *The class Δ^8 is a d_3 -cycle, and E_4 is Δ^8 -torsion free in the region S of Proposition 6.9. Moreover, the following properties hold.*

- ◆ A class $a \in E_4^{n,s}$ satisfies

$$s < \frac{1}{5}(n - 192) + \frac{12}{5}$$

if and only if $a = \Delta^8 b$, where b is in the connective region.

- ◆ A class $a \in E_4^{n,s}$ in the S -region satisfies $a = \Delta^8 b$ for b in the S -region if and only if $n \geq 171$.

Proof. The class Δ^8 is a d_3 -cycle for degree reasons. It follows from [Kon12, Section 5.1] that the region S is Δ^8 -torsion free. The claim for E_4 then follows from the fact there is a single atomic d_3 that does not introduce Δ^8 -torsion.

For the latter claims, it helps to consult a chart Figure A.2; a larger version of this chart appears in [Kon12, Figure 25]. The E_4 -page is divided into g -periodic strips of width 24

and slope $1/5$. Multiplication by Δ maps one strip isomorphically to the next within the region S , which implies the above claims. ■

6.2.3 Hidden extensions

A crucial 2-extension is also generated on the E_3 -page.

Lemma 6.16 (3,1). *We have an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle \nu \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \tau^2 \eta^3 = 4\nu.$$

Proof. This follows from the sphere: we claim that

$$\pi_{3,1} \mathbf{S} \cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle \nu \rangle.$$

Indeed, using the \mathbf{F}_2 -Adams spectral sequence for the sphere, we learn that $4\nu = \eta^3$ in the non-synthetic homotopy group $\pi_3 \mathbf{S}$. Because the Adams–Novikov spectral sequence for the sphere has no differentials hitting the 3-stem, we learn that $\pi_{3,*} \mathbf{S}$ (referring to the MU-synthetic sphere) is τ -torsion free. For degree reasons, one therefore has the relation $4\nu = \tau^2 \eta^3$.

We defined the elements η and ν in Smf to be the images of these respective elements in $\nu \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Smf}$, so we learn that $4\nu = \tau^2 \eta^3$ holds in Smf . It remains to show that ν generates $\pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$. This follows from the fact that $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ induces an isomorphism on $\pi_{3,1}$ using the d_3 's already computed and Theorem 2.28. Since we know that ν reduces to h_2 by Proposition 5.2, this finishes the argument. ■

6.2.4 Lifts

On later pages, we will need to work with precisely defined lifts of elements from Smf/τ to higher Smf/τ^k . These will serve as the way to express hidden extensions and total differentials.

We begin by lifting Δ from Smf/τ to Smf/τ^4 . It does not lift to Smf/τ^5 , as Δ turns out to support a d_5 . As such, the existence of this lift to Smf/τ^4 is a purely synthetic phenomenon. This lift will be absolutely crucial to all of our computations going forward; see Proposition 6.30, for example.

Lemma 6.17 (24,0). *The reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^4 \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ is an isomorphism on homotopy groups in degree $(24, 0)$.*

Proof. The element Δ generates $\pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau$. We showed above that Δ is a d_3 -cycle, so by evenness, it is also a d_4 -cycle. This means that it lifts to $\pi_{24,4} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$, so that the reduction map is surjective. By Corollary 2.31, it is also injective: the homotopy groups of Smf/τ vanish in bidegrees $(24, 1)$, $(24, 2)$ and $(24, 3)$. ■

Notation 6.18. We write $\Delta \in \pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ for the unique lift of $\Delta \in \pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau$ guaranteed by Lemma 6.17.

Our abuse of notation is mild, due to the uniqueness of the lift. We will freely consider Δ as an element of $\pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ going forward.

6.3 Page 5

6.3.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.19 (24,0). $d_5(\Delta) = \pm h_2 g$.

Proof. We know that $\nu^3 \bar{\kappa}$ is τ -power torsion in Smf by Corollary 5.11. Since ν and $\bar{\kappa}$ project to h_2 and $g \bmod \tau$ respectively, and $h_2^3 g \neq 0$, it follows that $h_2^3 g$ must be the target of a differential. The only possibility is $d_5(\Delta h_2^2) = g h_2^3$. In particular, by the Leibniz rule, this gives us $d_5(\Delta) = \pm g h_2$. ■

6.3.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.20. *The condition of Proposition 6.5 holds for d_5 . Moreover, Δ^8 is a d_5 -cycle.*

Proof. The condition of Proposition 6.5 may be checked directly for the atomic d_5 's. Since $8d_5(\Delta) = 0$, the Leibniz rule implies that Δ^8 is a d_5 -cycle. ■

Proposition 6.21. *There are no line-crossing d_5 -differentials.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.15, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6, which implies that we only need to check this through the 17-stem. The only possible atomic d_5 's crossing the line in this range have source h_2 or d , which are permanent cycles. ■

6.3.3 Lifts

We now pick up our task of lifting elements with more vigour. Unlike the class Δ in Smf/τ^4 from Notation 6.18, most of the classes here turn out to lift all the way to Smf , but we will not need this.

Lemma 6.22 (25,1), (97,1), (121,1). *The reduction maps $\text{Smf}/\tau^8 \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ induces an isomorphism on bigraded homotopy groups in degrees (25, 1), (97, 1), and (121, 1).*

The argument is a synthetic version of the statement that $h_1 \Delta$ is a $d_{\leq 8}$ -cycle for degree reasons: all potential targets either support or are hit by a shorter differential.

Proof. We start with the first map. The target $\pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$ is generated by $h_1 \Delta$; we will first show that this generator lifts. This is equivalent to $\delta_1^8(h_1 \Delta) = 0$, so it suffices to show that $\pi_{24,3} \text{Smf}/\tau^7 = 0$. This follows from Theorem 2.28, as the class in (24, 4) supports a d_3 , while the classes in (24, 8) are hit by a d_3 .

It remains therefore only to show that the reduction map is injective. This too follows from an application of Theorem 2.28: the nonzero elements in $\pi_{25,5} \text{Smf}/\tau$ support a d_3 .

The other two cases follow in the exact same way. ■

Notation 6.23.

- ◆ (25,1) We write $\eta_1 \in \pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^8$ for the unique lift of $h_1\Delta \in \pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$.
- ◆ (97,1) We write $\eta_4 \in \pi_{97,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^8$ for the unique lift of $h_1\Delta^4 \in \pi_{97,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$.
- ◆ (121,1) We write $\eta_5 \in \pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^8$ for the unique lift of $h_1\Delta^5 \in \pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$.

Warning 6.24. The element η_5 does not lift beyond Smf/τ^{22} : as we will see later in Proposition 6.58, the element $h_1\Delta^5$ supports a d_{23} .

Next, we turn to Δ -multiples of h_2 . Here we run into two problems. First, the lift from Smf/τ to Smf/τ^{14} is not uniquely defined. Second, in order to describe the group structure, we need to take the relation $4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$ from Lemma 6.16 into account, but this is invisible to Smf/τ .

Both problems are solved by working with Smf/τ^4 instead of Smf/τ . For the first problem, we instead lift Δ -multiples of ν in Smf/τ^4 . As the class Δ in Smf/τ^4 is uniquely determined, this procedure also uniquely specifies these lifts. For the second problem, we note that the relation $4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$ is visible in Smf/τ^4 . We can transport this by multiplying by powers of Δ .

Lemma 6.25. *We have isomorphisms of abelian groups*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 &\cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle\nu\Delta\rangle, \\ \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 &\cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle\nu\Delta^2\rangle, \\ \pi_{123,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 &\cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle\nu\Delta^5\rangle, \\ \pi_{147,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 &\cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle\nu\Delta^6\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Lemma 6.16 implies that $\pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 \cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle\nu\rangle$. We claim that multiplication by $\Delta \in \pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Delta: \pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4.$$

To see this, note that multiplication by Δ induces an injection on Smf/τ in degrees $(3, 1 + m)$ for $0 \leq m \leq 3$. Moreover, there are no $d_{\leq 4}$ -differentials entering $\pi_{3,1+m} \text{Smf}/\tau$, and the Δ -multiples of these elements in $\pi_{27,1+m} \text{Smf}/\tau$ are also not hit by $d_{\leq 4}$ -differentials. It follows that multiplication by Δ induces an injection on the relevant groups appearing in (2.29) in Theorem 2.28. As a result, Theorem 2.28 implies that multiplication by Δ on Smf/τ^4 is an injection on degree $(3, 1)$. Using the same Theorem 2.28, we see that the target group is of the same size as the source, showing the map is indeed an isomorphism. The other cases follow similarly. ■

Lemma 6.26. *The reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective on homotopy groups in bidegrees $(27, 1)$, $(51, 1)$, $(91, 1)$, $(123, 1)$ and $(147, 1)$. Moreover, these maps can be identified, respectively, with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}/4\langle x \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\Delta \rangle, & x &\longmapsto 2v\Delta, \\ \mathbf{Z}/8\langle y \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\Delta^2 \rangle, & y &\longmapsto v\Delta^2, \\ \mathbf{Z}/8\langle z \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\Delta^2 \rangle, & z &\longmapsto v\Delta^4, \\ \mathbf{Z}/4\langle w \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\Delta^5 \rangle, & w &\longmapsto 2v\Delta^5, \\ \mathbf{Z}/8\langle t \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\Delta^6 \rangle, & t &\longmapsto v\Delta^6. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ is an isomorphism in bidegrees $(51, 1)$ and $(147, 1)$.

Proof. We study the case of the first of the five maps; the other ones are similar. We have an exact sequence

$$\pi_{27,5} \text{Smf}/\tau \xrightarrow{\tau^4} \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^5 \longrightarrow \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 \xrightarrow{\delta_4^5} \pi_{26,6} \text{Smf}/\tau.$$

The group on the left vanishes. The last map is equal to the d_5 -differential by Proposition 2.24 (3): indeed, there are no shorter differentials entering or leaving bidegree $(26, 6)$, so $E_5 = E_2$ in this bidegree. Since $d_5(2h_2\Delta) = 0$, this means that there is a unique lift of $2v\Delta$ from Smf/τ^4 to Smf/τ^5 . Since $d_5(h_2\Delta) \neq 0$, the element $v\Delta$ does not lift. This means that $\pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^5$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/4$ and is generated by this unique lift of $2v\Delta$.

Next, we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_{27,6} \text{Smf}/\tau^9 \xrightarrow{\tau^5} \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \longrightarrow \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^5 \xrightarrow{\delta_5^{14}} \pi_{26,7} \text{Smf}/\tau^9.$$

The outer two groups vanish by Theorem 2.28, so the middle map is an isomorphism.

Finally, the last claim is checked using Theorem 2.28. ■

As before, we use a subscript to denote the power of Δ present in its mod τ reduction. In some cases, the element cannot truly reduce to $h_2\Delta^i$, but has to differ by an element of small degree (in this case, 2). Note that our notation does not indicate this.

Notation 6.27.

- ◆ $(27,1)$ We write $\nu_1 \in \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ for the unique lift of $2v\Delta \in \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$.
- ◆ $(51,1)$ We write $\nu_2 \in \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ for the unique lift of $v\Delta^2 \in \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$.
- ◆ $(99,1)$ We write $\nu_4 \in \pi_{99,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ for the unique lift of $v\Delta^4 \in \pi_{99,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$.
- ◆ $(123,1)$ We write $\nu_5 \in \pi_{123,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ for the unique lift of $2v\Delta^5 \in \pi_{123,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$.
- ◆ $(147,1)$ We write $\nu_6 \in \pi_{147,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ for the unique lift of $v\Delta^6 \in \pi_{147,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$.

6.3.4 Relations

Lemma 6.28 (23,5). *Multiplication by $\bar{\kappa}$ induces an isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\kappa}: \pi_{3,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \\ \bar{\kappa}: \pi_{99,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{119,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^{14}\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the reduction maps $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ in degrees (23,5) and (119,5) can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}/8\langle v\bar{\kappa} \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/4\langle h_2g \rangle, & v\bar{\kappa} &\longmapsto h_2g, \\ \mathbf{Z}/8\langle v_4\bar{\kappa} \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/4\langle h_2g\Delta^4 \rangle, & v_4\bar{\kappa} &\longmapsto h_2g\Delta^4.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the same way as in Lemma 6.25, one can show that $\bar{\kappa}$ induces an isomorphism on Smf/τ^4 in degrees (3,1) and (99,1). Using Theorem 2.28, we see that the reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective in the relevant degrees, proving the claim. ■

6.3.5 Total differentials

For many of the later pages, it will be crucial to compute a truncated total differential on Δ . Given the d_5 of Proposition 6.19, a natural guess for $\delta_1^\infty(\Delta)$ would be $v\bar{\kappa}$. Because we at this point do not know the fate of the elements in very high filtration, we cannot compute the entire differential, but only a truncated version. While computing the 8-truncated version would be enough to deduce $d_7(\Delta^4)$, it is hardly any more work to at this point record the 14-truncated version, and this will be needed later on. As Δ lifts uniquely to Smf/τ^4 , we can work with δ_4^{14} instead. This is both easier to compute, taking values in Smf/τ^{10} rather than Smf/τ^{13} , and also gives more information, as we will see in our later computations.

In what follows, we think of $v\bar{\kappa}$ as defining an element in Smf/τ^{10} via the reduction map.

Proposition 6.29 (24,0). *We have $\delta_4^{14}(\Delta) = u \cdot v\bar{\kappa}$ in $\pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$, where $u \in (\mathbf{Z}/8)^\times$.*

Proof. First we show that

$$\delta_4^8(\Delta) = u \cdot v\bar{\kappa}$$

where $u \in (\mathbf{Z}/8)^\times$ is a unit. To show this, we first claim that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 & \xrightarrow{\delta_4^8} & \pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau & \xrightarrow{d_5} & \pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau,\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the reductions mod τ . Indeed, we use Proposition 2.24 together with the fact that the differentials d_2, d_3, d_4 vanish on (24,0) and hit no elements

in $(23, 5)$, so that E_5 is the same as E_2 in these bidegrees. The group $\pi_{24,0} \text{Smf}/\tau$ is the free (2-local) abelian group generated by Δ and c_4^3 . The element c_4 is a $d_{\leq 10}$ -cycle for degree reasons, so we can ignore this summand in further analysis.

The left vertical map is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.17, and the right vertical map is surjective by Lemma 6.28. In other words, restricting to the Δ -summand, the commutative diagram is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/8 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{Z}/4. \end{array}$$

This means the top horizontal map must send 1 to a unit in $\mathbf{Z}/8$, which is exactly the claim about $\delta_4^8(\Delta)$.

Using Theorem 2.28, we see that the reduction $\pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \pi_{23,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective. It is also surjective, as h_2g is a d_5 -boundary, so in particular a permanent cycle. The claim about $\delta_4^{14}(\Delta)$ therefore follows. ■

6.4 Page 7

6.4.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.30.

- ◆ $(24,0) d_7(4\Delta) = h_1^3 g.$
- ◆ $(48,0) d_7(2\Delta^2) = h_1^3 g \Delta.$
- ◆ $(72,0) d_7(4\Delta^3) = h_1^3 g \Delta^2.$
- ◆ $(96,0) d_7(\Delta^4) = h_1^3 g \Delta^3.$
- ◆ $(120,0) d_7(4\Delta^5) = h_1^3 g \Delta^4.$
- ◆ $(144,0) d_7(2\Delta^6) = h_1^3 g \Delta^5.$
- ◆ $(168,0) d_7(4\Delta^7) = h_1^3 g \Delta^6.$

The atomic d_7 -differentials on powers of Δ are difficult to deduce directly. Our approach essentially deduces these from the d_5 on Δ , through the use of the total differential on Δ from Proposition 6.29. In all of this, the lift of Δ to Smf/τ^4 (Notation 6.18) is the crucial input to make the following arguments work.

Proof. Let us start with $d_7(\Delta^4)$. First of all, from Proposition 6.29, we learn in particular that $\delta_4^8(\Delta) = u \cdot v\bar{\kappa}$. The synthetic Leibniz rule of Theorem 2.34 tells us that

$$\delta_4^8(\Delta^4) = 4\Delta^3 \cdot \delta_4^8(\Delta) = u \cdot 4v\bar{\kappa}\Delta^3.$$

Combining this with the relation $4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$ from Lemma 6.16, we learn that

$$\delta_4^8(\Delta^4) = u \cdot \tau^2\eta^3\bar{\kappa}\Delta^3 = \tau^2\eta^3\bar{\kappa}\Delta^3,$$

where we use that η is 2-torsion to ignore the unit. From this, the differential $d_7(\Delta^4) = h_1^3g\Delta^3$ follows by Proposition 2.24.

The remaining differentials follow similarly using the synthetic Leibniz rule for δ_4^8 and the same relation $4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$. ■

6.4.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.31. *The condition of Proposition 6.5 holds for d_7 . Moreover, Δ^8 is a d_7 -cycle.*

Proof. The condition of Proposition 6.5 is checked directly as before. Since $2d_7(\Delta^4) = 0$, the Leibniz rule implies that Δ^8 is a d_7 -cycle. ■

Proposition 6.32. *There are no line-crossing d_7 -differentials.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.20, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6, which implies we only need to check for line-crossing differentials through the 25-stem. The only possible atomic d_7 crossing the line in this range has source $2c_6$, which is a permanent cycle because it is a transfer; see Corollary 4.9. ■

6.4.3 Total differentials

In the proof of Proposition 6.30, we computed the total differentials δ_4^8 on powers of Δ using the synthetic Leibniz rule. The synthetic Leibniz rule does not apply to the higher truncations δ_4^N for $N > 8$, so we have to manually compute these total differentials.

Proposition 6.33. *There is a unit $u \in (\mathbf{Z}/8)^\times$ such that*

- ◆ $(48,0) \delta_4^{14}(\Delta^2) = u \cdot \nu_1\bar{\kappa}$,
- ◆ $(72,0) \delta_4^{14}(\Delta^3) = u \cdot 3\nu_2\bar{\kappa}$,
- ◆ $(144,0) \delta_4^{14}(\Delta^6) = u \cdot 3\nu_5\bar{\kappa}$,
- ◆ $(168,0) \delta_4^{14}(\Delta^7) = u \cdot 7\nu_6\bar{\kappa}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.28, we see that $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective in the relevant bidegrees. As δ_4^{14} reduces to δ_4^8 when taken mod τ^4 , we are reduced to the computation of δ_4^8 in these degrees. This now follows from Proposition 6.29 and the synthetic Leibniz rule Theorem 2.34. For example, we have

$$\delta_4^8(\Delta^2) = 2\Delta \cdot \delta_4^8(\Delta) = 2\Delta \cdot u \cdot \nu\bar{\kappa} = u \cdot 2\nu\Delta\bar{\kappa} \quad \text{in } \pi_{47,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^4,$$

and ν_1 is defined as a lift of $2\nu\Delta \in \pi_{27,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$. The other cases are proved in the exact same way. ■

6.4.4 Relations

To later be able to define Toda brackets, we will need relations like $\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$. Such a relation is plausible because h_2g is the target of a d_5 -differential. However, knowing this differential is not enough to deduce that $\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$ holds (see Warning 2.22), so we have to check this relation by hand.

Lemma 6.34.

- ◆ (23,1) $\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (119,1) $\tau^4\nu_4\bar{\kappa} = 0$ in Smf/τ^{12} .

Proof. Recall from Proposition 6.19 that $d_5(\Delta) = \pm h_2g$. By Omnibus Theorem 2.21 (3), this means that there exists a τ^4 -torsion lift of h_2g to $\pi_{23,5}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$. We claim that this must be $\nu\bar{\kappa}$ itself. To see this, we first use Lemma 6.28 to conclude that $\nu\bar{\kappa}$ and $5\nu\bar{\kappa}$ are the only lifts of h_2g . At least one of them is therefore τ^4 -torsion; we claim that this implies that both are τ^4 -torsion.

Since their difference is $4\nu\bar{\kappa} = \tau^2\eta^3\bar{\kappa}$, it suffices to establish that $\eta^3\bar{\kappa}$ in $\pi_{23,6}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ is τ^6 -torsion. The element h_1^3g is hit by a d_7 , so by Omnibus Theorem 2.21, there exists a τ^6 -torsion lift of it to Smf/τ^{12} . But $\eta^3\bar{\kappa}$ is the only element in $\pi_{23,7}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ that lifts h_1^3g : the mod τ reduction map is injective in this bidegree by Theorem 2.28. We learn that $\eta^3\bar{\kappa}$ must therefore be this τ^6 -torsion lift.

A similar argument applied to the differential $d_5(\Delta^5) = \pm h_2g\Delta^4$ yields $\tau^4\nu_4\bar{\kappa} = 0$. ■

Lemma 6.35.

- ◆ (27,1) $2\nu_1 = \tau^2\eta^2\eta_1$ in Smf/τ^8 .
- ◆ (123,1) $2\nu_5 = \tau^2\eta^2\eta_5$ in Smf/τ^8 .

Proof. Using Lemma 6.16, we have the relation $4\nu\Delta = \tau^2\eta^3\Delta$ in Smf/τ^4 . This means that $2\nu_1 \in \pi_{27,1}\text{Smf}/\tau^{14}$ and $\tau^2\eta^2\eta_1 \in \pi_{27,1}\text{Smf}/\tau^8$ reduce to the same element in Smf/τ^4 . It is therefore enough to establish that $\pi_{27,1}\text{Smf}/\tau^8 \rightarrow \pi_{27,1}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective, which follows from Theorem 2.28. The exact same arguments apply to the second relation. ■

Corollary 6.36.

- ◆ (47,1) $\tau^4\nu_1\bar{\kappa} = 0$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (143,1) $\tau^4\nu_5\bar{\kappa} = 0$ in Smf/τ^{12} .

Proof. It suffices to prove this statement in Smf/τ^6 , as the reduction map is injective in this bidegree by Theorem 2.28. Similar to the argument of Lemma 6.25, we see that multiplication by $\bar{\kappa}$ induces an isomorphism from $\pi_{27,1}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$ to $\pi_{47,5}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$, and that $\pi_{47,5}\text{Smf}/\tau^6 \rightarrow \pi_{47,5}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is injective. Since $\pi_{47,5}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$ is generated by $\bar{\kappa}\nu_1$, which

lifts to Smf/τ^6 , we therefore conclude that

$$\pi_{47,5} \text{Smf}/\tau^6 \cong \mathbf{Z}/4\langle \bar{\kappa}v_1 \rangle.$$

The only lifts of $2gh_2\Delta$ are therefore $\bar{\kappa}v_1$ and $3\bar{\kappa}v_1$. Because $2gh_2\Delta$ is the target of a d_5 -differential, it must have a τ^4 -torsion lift to Smf/τ^6 . Using the relation from Lemma 6.35, we see that their difference is τ^2 -divisible. In the same way as in Lemma 6.34, we can deduce from this that both lifts are τ^4 -torsion, so in particular, $\tau^4\bar{\kappa}v_1 = 0$. The proof of the equality $\tau^4v_5\bar{\kappa} = 0$ follows from the same arguments. ■

6.4.5 Lifts

We now turn to lifting powers of Δ times c . This is more difficult, as it involves ruling out a d_9 on them. We cannot use degree arguments: it turns out that the potential target for these d_9 's each support a d_{11} . Instead we give a Toda bracket argument to show that these elements lift.

Lemma 6.37.

- ◆ (32,2) $\langle v_1, v, \eta \rangle$ consists of one element in Smf/τ^{14} , which lifts $c\Delta$ in Smf/τ .
- ◆ (104,2) $\langle v, 2v_4, \eta \rangle$ consists of one element in Smf/τ^{14} , which lifts $c\Delta^4$ in Smf/τ .
- ◆ (128,2) $\langle v_1, v_4, \eta \rangle$ consists of one element in Smf/τ^{14} , which lifts $c\Delta^5$ in Smf/τ .

Proof. We use Proposition 3.15 to verify these claims. One can easily check that the indeterminacy of the mod τ reductions of these brackets vanishes, as well as compute the values of the corresponding Massey products. An application of Theorem 2.28 tells us that the reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{14} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ is injective in these bidegrees. This means that the Toda brackets in Smf/τ^{14} therefore also consist of singletons, ending the argument. ■

Notation 6.38.

- ◆ (32,2) We write $\varepsilon_1 = \langle v_1, v, \eta \rangle$ in $\pi_{32,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$.
- ◆ (104,2) We write $\varepsilon_4 = \langle v, 2v_4, \eta \rangle$ in $\pi_{104,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$.
- ◆ (128,2) We write $\varepsilon_5 = \langle v_1, v_4, \eta \rangle$ in $\pi_{128,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$.

6.4.6 Toda brackets

Lemma 6.39.

- ◆ (27,1) $v_1 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4v, 2v \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (51,1) $v_2 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4v_1, v \rangle$ in $\pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$.
- ◆ (123,1) $v_5 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4v, 2v_4 \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (147,1) $v_6 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4v_1, v_4 \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{12} .

- ◆ (39,3) $\eta_1\kappa = \langle \nu_1, \nu, \varepsilon \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} .
- ◆ (111,3) $\eta_4\kappa = \langle \nu, 2\nu_4, \varepsilon \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (135,3) $\eta_5\kappa = \langle \nu_1, \nu_4, \varepsilon \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} .
- ◆ (117,3) $\tau^2\eta_4\bar{\kappa} = \langle \nu, 2\nu_4, \kappa \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (20,4) $\pm 2\bar{\kappa} \in \langle \nu, \eta, \eta\kappa \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} .

Proof. The brackets for ν_1 and ν_5 are nonempty by Lemma 6.34. These brackets also have zero indeterminacy as $\pi_{7,-3}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ and $\pi_{103,-3}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ both vanish and $\pi_{24,0}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ and $\pi_{120,0}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$ are both 2ν -torsion. The associated Massey product on E_5 contains $2\nu\Delta$, so the synthetic version of Moss' theorem Theorem 3.16 shows that $\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4\nu, 2\nu \rangle = \nu_1$, our chosen lift of $2\nu\Delta$. Similarly, we see $\nu_5 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4\nu, 2\nu_4 \rangle$. The brackets for ν_2 and ν_6 follow similarly, except to see they are nonempty one refers to Corollary 6.36 and the proof of Lemma 6.37.

For the bracket expressions for $\eta_1\kappa$, $\eta_4\kappa$, and $\eta_5\kappa$, we use Proposition 3.15. One uses Theorem 2.28 to see that the appropriate reduction map to Smf/τ is injective in these degrees, so it suffices to work in Smf/τ . In this case, these brackets are easily seen to have no indeterminacy, and therefore follow from Proposition 5.12 by multiplication by a power of Δ . For $\tau^2\eta_4\bar{\kappa}$, we make the same arguments but only reduce to Smf/τ^4 .

For the last Toda bracket for $\pm 2\bar{\kappa}$, we again use Proposition 3.15 and the fact that the reduction map

$$\pi_{20,4}\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \longrightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$$

is injective by Theorem 2.28, and surjective as the generator g is hit by $\bar{\kappa}$ by Notation 5.10. We can now use Bauer's computation of $2g \in \langle h_2, h_1, h_1d \rangle$ from [Bau08, Appendix A] together with Proposition 3.15 and Proposition 4.3 which validates this relation in Smf/τ . ■

6.4.7 Hidden extensions

Lemma 6.40.

- ◆ (28,2) $\nu_1\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (35,3) $\nu_1\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta\kappa\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (41,3) $\nu_1\kappa = \tau^6\eta\bar{\kappa}^2$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (52,2) $\nu_2\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_1\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (59,3) $\nu_2\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_1\kappa\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{10} .
- ◆ (124,2) $\nu_5\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_4\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (131,3) $\nu_5\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_4\kappa\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .

- ◆ (137,3) $\nu_5\kappa = \tau^6\eta_4\bar{\kappa}^2$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (148,2) $\nu_6\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_5\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{12} .
- ◆ (155,3) $\nu_6\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_5\kappa\bar{\kappa}$ in Smf/τ^{10} .

Proof. The Toda bracket $\nu_1 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4\nu, 2\nu \rangle$ of Lemma 6.39, the shuffling formulas of Proposition 3.13, the naturality of Toda brackets of Lemma 3.9, and the Toda bracket $\varepsilon \in \langle \nu, 2\nu, \eta \rangle$ of Proposition 5.12 yield the relations

$$\nu_1\eta = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4\nu, 2\nu \rangle\eta = \bar{\kappa}\langle \tau^4\nu, 2\nu, \eta \rangle \supseteq \bar{\kappa}\tau^4\langle \nu, 2\nu, \eta \rangle \ni \tau^4\varepsilon\bar{\kappa}$$

in $\pi_{28,2}\text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$. Similarly, using the brackets $\nu_5 = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4\nu_4, 2\nu \rangle$ and $\varepsilon_4 = \langle \nu, 2\nu_4, \eta \rangle$ of Lemma 6.39 and Notation 6.38, we obtain $\nu_5\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_4\bar{\kappa}$.

The other extensions on ν_1 and ν_2 follow similarly, referring to Proposition 5.12 and Lemma 6.39 when necessary. The same goes for all of the extensions on ν_2 and ν_6 , referring to Lemma 6.39 and the definition of ε_1 and ε_5 from Notation 6.38. ■

6.5 Page 9

6.5.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.41.

- ◆ (49,1) $d_9(h_1\Delta^2) = cg^2$.^[3]
- ◆ (56,2) $d_9(c\Delta^2) = h_1dg^2$.
- ◆ (73,1) $d_9(h_1\Delta^3) = cg^2\Delta$.
- ◆ (81,2) $d_9(c\Delta^3) = h_1dg^2\Delta$.
- ◆ (145,1) $d_9(h_1\Delta^6) = cg^2\Delta^4$.
- ◆ (152,2) $d_9(c\Delta^6) = h_1dg^2\Delta^4$.
- ◆ (169,1) $d_9(h_1\Delta^7) = cg^2\Delta^5$.
- ◆ (176,2) $d_9(c\Delta^7) = h_1dg^2\Delta^6$.

Proof. From Proposition 6.33 it follows that also $\delta_4^{10}(\Delta^2) = u \cdot \nu_1\bar{\kappa}$ for some $u \in (\mathbf{Z}/8)^\times$. Using Lemma 6.40, we learn that

$$\delta_4^{10}(\eta\Delta^2) = \eta\delta_4^{10}(\Delta^2) = u \cdot \eta \cdot \nu_1\bar{\kappa} = u \cdot \tau^4\varepsilon\bar{\kappa}^2$$

^[3]As an alternative to the proof of this differential provided below, one can observe that

$$\tau^8\varepsilon\bar{\kappa}^2 = \tau^8\bar{\kappa}^2\langle \nu, \eta, \nu \rangle = \tau^4\bar{\kappa}\langle \tau^4\bar{\kappa}, \nu, \eta \rangle\nu = 0,$$

and then apply Theorem 2.21.

and

$$\delta_4^{10}(\varepsilon\Delta^2) = u \cdot \varepsilon v_1 \bar{\kappa} = u \cdot \tau^4 \eta \kappa \bar{\kappa}^2.$$

We obtain our desired d_9 on $h_1\Delta^2$ courtesy of Proposition 2.24. The other differentials follow similarly using the hidden extensions of Lemma 6.40. ■

6.5.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.42. *The condition of Proposition 6.5 holds for d_9 . Moreover, Δ^8 is a d_9 -cycle.*

Proof. The condition of Proposition 6.5 is checked directly as before. The class Δ^8 is a d_9 -cycle for degree reasons. ■

Proposition 6.43. *There are no line-crossing d_9 -differentials.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.31, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6, which implies we only need to check for line crossing differentials through the 32-stem. The only possible atomic d_9 's crossing the line in this range have source h_1 , c , $h_1\Delta$, or $c\Delta$. The first two are permanent cycles. The third is a d_9 -cycle because the only possible target supports a d_9 differential. Lastly, the d_9 on $c\Delta$ is excluded by the earlier Lemma 6.37 (combined with Theorem 2.21 (1)). ■

6.5.3 Lifts

In Lemma 6.22, we provided lifts η_1 , η_4 and η_5 to Smf/τ^8 . We will need further lifts of two of these elements.

Lemma 6.44 (25,1), (121,1). *The reduction maps $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^8$ induces an isomorphism on bigraded homotopy groups in degrees (25,1) and (121,1).*

Proof. Our computation of the d_9 -differentials shows that $h_1\Delta$ is a $d_{\leq 10}$ -cycle. By Theorem 2.21, it therefore lifts to Smf/τ^{10} ; since η_1 is by Lemma 6.22 the unique lift of $h_1\Delta$ to Smf/τ^8 , it follows that η_1 lifts to Smf/τ^{10} . It follows from Theorem 2.28 that the reduction map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^8$ is injective on bidegree (25,1), proving the claim. The case for bidegree (121,1) and lifting $h_1\Delta^5$ is the same. ■

Notation 6.45.

- ◆ We write $\eta_1 \in \pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ for the unique lift of $\eta_1 \in \pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^8$. In particular, it is also the unique lift of $h_1\Delta \in \pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$.
- ◆ We write $\eta_5 \in \pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ for the unique lift of $\eta_5 \in \pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^8$. In particular, it is also the unique lift of $h_1\Delta^5 \in \pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$.

Lemma 6.46 (116,4). *The reduction maps $\text{Smf}/\tau^{20} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ and $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ are injective on homotopy groups in degree (116,4). Moreover, the map $\pi_{116,4} \text{Smf}/\tau^{20} \rightarrow$*

$\pi_{116,4} \text{Smf}/\tau$ can be identified with

$$\mathbf{Z}/4\langle x \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8\langle g\Delta^4 \rangle, \quad x \mapsto 2g\Delta^4.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.28 that the maps are injective, so it remains to be shown that $2g\Delta^4$ lifts to Smf/τ^{20} , while $g\Delta^4$ does not lift to Smf/τ^{10} . The latter claim is clear, as it supports a d_7 . To see that $2g\Delta^4$ lifts to Smf/τ^{20} , it suffices to show that $\delta_4^{20}(2\bar{\kappa}\Delta^4) = 0$, for which it in turn suffices to show that $\pi_{115,9} \text{Smf}/\tau^{16}$ vanishes. This follows from another application of Theorem 2.28. ■

Notation 6.47. We write $\bar{\kappa}_4 \in \pi_{116,4} \text{Smf}/\tau^{20}$ for the unique lift of $2g\Delta^4 \in \pi_{116,4} \text{Smf}/\tau$. Note that it is also the unique lift to Smf/τ^{10} of $2g\Delta^4$.

6.5.4 Toda brackets

Lemma 6.48.

- ◆ (25,1) $\eta_1 \in \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, \eta \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} . This Toda bracket has indeterminacy given by the subgroup of $\pi_{25,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ spanned by the κ -torsion classes.
- ◆ (121,1) $\eta_5 \in \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v_4, \eta \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} . This Toda bracket has indeterminacy given by the subgroup of $\pi_{121,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ spanned by the κ -torsion classes.
- ◆ (116,4) $\pm\bar{\kappa}_4 \in \langle v_4, \eta, \eta\kappa \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{10} .

Proof. The first two brackets are nonempty by Lemma 6.34, and it straightforward to compute the indeterminacies. The Massey products on the E_5 -page associated with these Toda brackets contains $h_1\Delta$ and $h_1\Delta^5$, respectively, hence the synthetic Moss' theorem Theorem 3.16 shows that $\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, \eta \rangle$ contains η_1 and $\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v_4, \eta \rangle$ contains η_5 .

For the Toda bracket expression of $\pm\bar{\kappa}_4$, we note that by Notation 6.47, it suffices to show that this Toda bracket contains a lift of $\pm 2g\Delta^4$. We will show that $\pm 2g\Delta^4 \subseteq \langle h_2\Delta^4, h_1, h_1d \rangle$. It follows from Proposition 3.15 and the bracket $\pm 2\bar{\kappa} \in \langle v, \eta, \eta\kappa \rangle$ of Proposition 5.12 that $\pm 2g \in \langle h_2, h_1, h_1d \rangle$, and it follows that

$$\pm 2g\Delta^4 \in \pm\Delta^4 \langle h_2, h_1, h_1d \rangle \subseteq \langle h_2\Delta^4, h_1, h_1d \rangle. \quad \blacksquare$$

6.5.5 Hidden extensions

Lemma 6.49.

- ◆ (40,4) $\eta\eta_1\kappa = \pm\tau^4 2\bar{\kappa}^2$ in Smf/τ^{10} .
- ◆ (136,4) $\eta\eta_5\kappa = \pm\tau^4 \bar{\kappa}\bar{\kappa}_4$ in Smf/τ^{10} .

Proof. The formula for $\eta\eta_1\kappa$ follows from the Toda bracket expressions of Proposition 5.12 and Lemma 6.39:

$$\eta\eta_1\kappa = \langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, \eta \rangle \eta\kappa = \bar{\kappa} \langle \tau^4 v, \eta, \eta\kappa \rangle \supseteq \tau^4 \bar{\kappa} \langle v, \eta, \eta\kappa \rangle \ni \pm\tau^4 2\bar{\kappa}^2.$$

Here the second equality follows from the fact that the indeterminacy of $\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, \eta \rangle$ is all κ -torsion. The equality for $\eta\eta_5\kappa$ is the same, except we refer only to Lemma 6.39. ■

6.6 Page 11

6.6.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.50.

- ◆ (62,2) $d_{11}(d\Delta^2) = h_1g^3$.
- ◆ (158,2) $d_{11}(d\Delta^6) = h_1g^3\Delta^4$.

Proof. This follows from the total differentials in Proposition 6.33 and the κ -extensions of Lemma 6.40. ■

6.6.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.51. *The conditions of Proposition 6.5 hold for d_{11} . Moreover, Δ^8 is a d_{11} -cycle.*

Proof. The condition of Proposition 6.5 is checked directly as before. The class Δ^8 is a d_{11} -cycle for degree reasons. ■

Proposition 6.52. *There are no line-crossing d_{11} -differentials.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.42, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6, which implies we only need to check for line crossing differentials through the 40-stem. The only possible atomic d_{11} 's crossing the line in this range have sources c_4 or $h_1^3\Delta$, but the only possible target supports a d_{11} differential in both cases. ■

6.6.3 Hidden extensions

To establish the d_{13} -differentials, we need some hidden extensions. These turn out to require four-fold Toda brackets, and computing these is a delicate matter. We provide a very detailed and careful analysis of these in Section 6.10. Using these, we now apply various shuffling formulas to obtain the following.

Lemma 6.53.

- ◆ (54,2) $v_2 \cdot 2v = \tau^8 \bar{\kappa}^2 \tilde{d}$ in Smf/τ^{10} , where $\tilde{d} \in \pi_{14,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ is an element that is sent to d under the map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$.
- ◆ (150,2) $v_6 \cdot 2v = \tau^8 \bar{\kappa}^2 \tilde{d}_4$ in Smf/τ^{10} , where $\tilde{d}_4 \in \pi_{110,2} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$ is an element that is sent to $d\Delta^4$ under the map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$.

Proof. In Proposition 6.69, we show that

$$v_2 = \langle v, 2v\tau^4, v\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle \quad \text{in } \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}.$$

The Toda brackets $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4 \rangle$ and $\langle \nu, 2\nu, \nu\tau^4 \rangle$ are strictly zero, so that the four-fold Toda bracket $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle$ is nonempty and we may apply the shuffling formula of Proposition 3.13 to see that

$$2\nu \cdot \nu_2 = 2\nu \langle \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle = \langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle \bar{\kappa}^2.$$

In particular, we also see that the set $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle \bar{\kappa}^2$ is a singleton. Applying the shuffling formula of Proposition 3.13, one has

$$\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle \tau^8 \subseteq \langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu, \nu\tau^8 \rangle \subseteq \langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle.$$

Since $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle \bar{\kappa}^2$ is a singleton, we are reduced to showing that every element of $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle$ projects to $d \bmod \tau$. However, using Proposition 3.15, any class in $\langle 2\nu, \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle$ projects to $d \in \pi_{14,2} \text{Smf} / \tau$, as the latter has zero indeterminacy and contains d by [Bau08, Equation (7.14)].

The expression for $2\nu \cdot \nu_6$ follows by similar arguments. First, we use the Toda bracket expression

$$\nu_6 = \langle \nu_4, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle$$

of Proposition 6.69 and the shuffling formula of Proposition 3.13

$$2\nu \cdot \nu_6 = 2\nu \langle \nu_4, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle = \langle 2\nu, \nu_4, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle \bar{\kappa}^2.$$

Combining this with the containments

$$d\Delta^4 \in \Delta^4 \langle 2h_2, h_2, 2h_2, h_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle 2h_2\Delta^4, h_2, 2h_2, h_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle 2h_2, h_2\Delta^4, 2h_2, h_2 \rangle$$

in Smf / τ yields the result. ■

6.7 Page 13

6.7.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.54.

- ◆ (75,1) $d_{13}(2h_2\Delta^3) = dg^3$.
- ◆ (81,3) $d_{13}(h_2^3\Delta^3) = \pm 2g^4$.
- ◆ (171,1) $d_{13}(2h_2\Delta^7) = dg^3\Delta^4$.
- ◆ (177,3) $d_{13}(h_2^3\Delta^7) = \pm 2g^4\Delta^4$.

Proof. Recall the total differentials of Proposition 6.33

$$\delta_4^{14}(\Delta^3) = u \cdot 3\nu_2\bar{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_4^{14}(\Delta^7) = u \cdot 7\nu_6\bar{\kappa}$$

and the extensions of Lemma 6.53

$$\nu_2 \cdot 2\nu = \tau^8 \bar{\kappa}^2 \tilde{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_6 \cdot 2\nu = \tau^8 \bar{\kappa} \tilde{d}_4,$$

where \tilde{d} is some element whose mod τ reduction is d , and where \tilde{d}_4 is some element whose mod τ reduction is $d\Delta^4$. Together these give

$$\delta_4^{14}(2\nu\Delta^3) = 3u \cdot 2\nu \cdot \nu_2 \bar{\kappa} = 3u \cdot \tau^8 \tilde{d} \bar{\kappa}^3,$$

and similarly $\delta_4^{14}(2\nu\Delta^7) = 7u \cdot \tau^8 \tilde{d}_4 \bar{\kappa}^3$. Combining these equalities with Proposition 2.24 gives the differentials supported in degrees $(75, 1)$ and $(171, 1)$.

For the other two, first recall the classical relation $\nu^3 = \eta\varepsilon$ in the non-synthetic sphere; see [Koc, Theorem 3.3.15 (a)]. This relation immediately lifts to the synthetic sphere \mathbf{S} as there is no τ -power torsion in $\pi_{8,2} \mathbf{S}$, so we also have $\eta\varepsilon = \nu^3$ in Smf as well as $h_2^3 = h_1c$ in Smf/τ . Using the extensions

$$\varepsilon\nu_2 = \tau^4 \eta_1 \kappa \bar{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta\eta_1 \kappa = \pm \tau^4 2\bar{\kappa}$$

of Lemma 6.40 and Lemma 6.49, respectively, we obtain the total differential

$$\delta_4^{14}(\nu^3 \Delta^3) = 3u \cdot \eta\varepsilon \cdot \nu_2 \bar{\kappa} = 3u \cdot (\tau^4 \eta\eta_1 \kappa \bar{\kappa}) \bar{\kappa} = 3u \cdot \tau^4 \bar{\kappa}^2 (\eta\eta_1 \kappa) = \pm 2\tau^8 \bar{\kappa}^4.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\delta_4^{14}(\nu^3 \Delta^7) = 7u \cdot \eta\varepsilon \cdot \nu_6 \bar{\kappa} = 7u \cdot (\tau^4 \eta\eta_5 \kappa \bar{\kappa}) \bar{\kappa} = 7u \cdot \tau^4 \bar{\kappa}^2 (\eta\eta_5 \kappa) = \pm \tau^8 \bar{\kappa}_4 \bar{\kappa}^3$$

using Lemma 6.40 and Lemma 6.49. These two total differentials combined with Proposition 2.24 yield the remaining two atomic d_{13} 's. ■

6.7.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.55. *The condition of Proposition 6.5 holds for d_r for $13 \leq r \leq 21$. Moreover, Δ^8 is a d_{21} -cycle.*

Proof. The condition of Proposition 6.5 is checked directly as before. The class Δ^8 is a d_{21} -cycle for degree reasons. ■

Proposition 6.56. *There are no line-crossing d_r -differentials for $13 \leq r \leq 21$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.51, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6 for the case $r = 13$, which implies we only need to check for line-crossing differentials through the 46-stem, and there are no possibilities in this range.

For $15 \leq r \leq 21$, Proposition 6.55 implies we only need to check for line-crossing differentials through the 96-stem, and again there are no possibilities in this range. ■

6.7.3 Lifts

Before we start the computation of the E_{23} -page, we need to lift a relation from Smf/τ^{12} to Smf/τ^{24} .

Lemma 6.57 (23,1). $\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$ in Smf/τ^{24} .

Proof. We know this relation holds in Smf/τ^{12} by Lemma 6.34, so it suffices to show that the reduction map

$$\pi_{23,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{24} \longrightarrow \pi_{23,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{12}$$

is injective. This now follows from Theorem 2.28; the nontrivial item to check is that there is a d_9 hitting the class in filtration 19, and this is a consequence of Section 6.5.2. ■

6.8 Page 23

6.8.1 Atomic differentials

Proposition 6.58 (121,1). $d_{23}(h_1\Delta^5) = g^6$.

Proof. First, we claim that by Theorem 2.21, it suffices to show that $\tau^{22}\bar{\kappa}^6 = 0$ in Smf/τ^{24} . Indeed, the element g^6 is a $d_{\leq 22}$ -cycle and the only potential source of a differential to hit g^6 is $h_1\Delta^5$. Next, we claim that all of the proper sub-brackets of the four-fold Toda brackets

$$\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4, \kappa, 2, \eta \rangle$$

are equal to zero in Smf/τ^{24} . This computation for the first bracket is straightforward. For the second bracket, we need to use the key fact that $\pi_{95,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{24} = 0$. This follows from Theorem 2.28, using the d_7 on Δ^4 of Proposition 6.30. Lastly, we have that $\tau^2\bar{\kappa} \in \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle$ in Smf/τ^{24} , which follows from Corollary 5.11.

The fact that these sub-brackets are strictly zero allows us to apply the shuffling formula of Proposition 3.13 (1), and we obtain

$$\tau^{22}\bar{\kappa}^6 = \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4 \cdot (\tau^2\bar{\kappa}) \cdot \tau^4\bar{\kappa} \in \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4 \cdot \langle \kappa, 2, \eta, \nu \rangle \cdot \tau^4\bar{\kappa} = \langle \tau^{16}\bar{\kappa}^4, \kappa, 2, \eta \rangle \cdot \tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0,$$

where for the last equality we used the relation $\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$ from Lemma 6.57. ■

All other d_{23} 's in the connective region follow from the Leibniz rule as g , $[\Delta^8]$, and $[h_1\Delta]$ are $d_{\leq 23}$ -cycles.

6.8.2 Meta-arguments

Proposition 6.59. *The conditions of Proposition 6.5 hold for d_{23} .*

Proof. The condition is checked directly. ■

Proposition 6.60. *There are no line-crossing d_{23} -differentials.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.55, we may invoke the meta-argument of Proposition 6.6, which implies we only need to check for line crossing differentials through the 110-stem. There are no possible line-crossing d_{23} 's in this range. ■

Proposition 6.61. *The groups $E_{24}^{n,s}$ vanish for $-21 < n < 0$ and all s , and also for all (n, s) with $n \geq 0$ and $s > 23$. In particular, there is no nontrivial differential of length > 23 in the DSS whose source lives in bidegree (n, s) for $n > -21$, and Δ^8 is a permanent cycle.*

Proof. The region S of Definition 6.8 on E_{24} is Δ^8 -torsion free by Propositions 6.9 and 6.59. It therefore suffices to check the claim in the connective region, by multiplying any class with a power of Δ^8 . However, by inductively applying Proposition 6.5, every element in the connective region on E_{24} of filtration ≥ 24 is divisible by g^6 , which is zero by Proposition 6.58. ■

6.9 Stems below -21

The arguments above yield the DSS for Tmf in stems $n > -21$. In particular, the 2-primary Gap Theorem A follows from Proposition 6.61. In this section we compute the spectral sequence in stems $n \leq -21$. We do this in less detail, as this has no bearing on the Gap Theorem.

Strictly speaking, the differentials in all negative stems follow from the Δ^8 - and $\bar{\kappa}$ -linearity of differentials. However, one needs to take care, as crossing the line defined by $n + s \leq -12$ can cause d_r -differentials to turn into $d_{r\pm 2}$ -differentials. This phenomenon is only briefly touched upon in [Kon12], so we give some more details here as well as a synthetic interpretation of this stretching.

Outside the connective region — in particular, away from the image of Φ of Proposition 4.3 — we will use the notation of Konter [Kon12], which we now recall. From the decomposition of the stack $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}}$ as the pushout

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} = D(\Delta) \cup D(c_4)$$

and the discussion in Section 4.1, we obtain a pullback of \mathbf{E}_∞ - $C\tau$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Smf}/\tau)[\Delta^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ (\mathrm{Smf}/\tau)[c_4^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Smf}/\tau)[c_4^{-1}, \Delta^{-1}]. \end{array}$$

Notation 6.62. The above pullback yields a fibre sequence of synthetic spectra

$$\mathrm{Smf}/\tau \xrightarrow{(i,j)} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau[c_4^{-1}] \oplus \mathrm{Smf}/\tau[\Delta^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Smf}/\tau[c_4^{-1}, \Delta^{-1}].$$

The notation $[x]$ refers to the image of x under the boundary map

$$\pi_{n,s} \text{Smf}/\tau[c_4^{-1}, \Delta^{-1}] \longrightarrow \pi_{n-1,s+1} \text{Smf}/\tau$$

in the above cofibre sequence. We use the notation $\langle x \rangle$ to denote an element of $\pi_{n,s} \text{Smf}$ whose image under (i, j) is the element $(0, x)$, where x is c_4 -torsion. This means the symbol $\langle x \rangle$ in general does not denote a uniquely defined element, but it will in our cases of interest. In particular, notice that

$$|[x]| = |x| + (-1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle x \rangle| = |x|.$$

There are also generators in filtration 1 which double or quadruple to classes of the form $[x]$. For these generators we write $[\frac{1}{n}x]$, where $n = 2$ or 4 , where appropriate.

6.9.1 Page 3

We begin with the atomic d_3 's.

Proposition 6.63. *For $x \in \pi_{n,s} \text{Smf}/\tau$ of the form*

$$x = h_1^j [c_4^{-k} c_6^l \Delta^{-m}] \quad \text{for } j, k, m \geq 0 \text{ and } l = 0, 1,$$

such that $h_1^4 x \neq 0$ and $bx = 0$ (where b is the generator of $\pi_{5,1} \text{Smf}/\tau$), then

$$d_3(x) = h_1^{j+3} [c_4^{-k+1} \Delta^{-m}].$$

Konter states these differentials implicitly in [Kon12, page 34]. The proof below corrects some notation from his justification.

Proof. If x is a class of the above form and b in the nonzero class in degree $(5, 1)$, then the Leibniz rule states that

$$d_3(bx) = d_3(b)x + bd_3(x).$$

As $d_3(b) = h_1^4$ by Proposition 6.12, we see that for such classes x with $h_1^4 x \neq 0$ and $bx = 0$, we have $d_3(x) \neq 0$. In particular, x supports a d_3 whenever $xb \neq 0$ and $l = 1$ and $xb \neq 0$ as $c_6 b = 0$. This yields the desired d_3 -differential. \blacksquare

All other d_3 's in the nonconnective region follow from the Leibniz rule. As there are no charts of this, let us also note that classes of the form $h_1^j [c_4^{-k} \Delta^{-m}]$ for various $j \geq 2$ do not support d_3 's. The prototypical examples of this are $h_1^2 [c_4^{-1} \Delta^{-1}]$ in bidegree $(-31, 3)$ and $h_1^6 [c_4^{-2} \Delta^{-1}]$ in bidegree $(-35, 7)$. Indeed, if these classes did support differentials, then the Leibniz rule would imply that $d_3(h_1 [c_4^{-k} \Delta^{-m}]) = h_1^4 [c_4^{-k-2} c_6 \Delta^{-m}]$, a contradiction as these potential targets support differentials by Proposition 6.63.

This yields the E_5 -page of Figure A.3 and [Kon12, Figure 27].

6.9.2 Hidden extensions

The key to all higher differentials is a precise interpretation of the following nonconnective analogue of the extension $\tau^2\eta^3 = 4\nu$ from Lemma 6.16.

Lemma 6.64. *For $0 \leq a, b$, there are isomorphisms of abelian groups*

$$\pi_{-28-4a-24b, 2-4a} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 \cong \mathbf{Z}/8\langle [\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1-a} \bar{\kappa}^a] \rangle,$$

where $[\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1-a-b} \bar{\kappa}^a]$ is the unique lift to Smf/τ^4 of $[h_1 c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1-a-b} g^a]$.

The b -direction is Δ^{-b} -multiplication and the a -direction follows $\langle g \Delta^{-1} \rangle$ -multiplication along the line of slope -1 .

Proof. Let us focus on the case where $a = b = 0$ for simplicity; the other cases also follow by $(\bar{\kappa} \Delta^{-1})^a$ -multiplication. In this case, an application of Corollary 2.31 tells us $\text{Smf}/\tau^4 \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau$ is injective in degree $(-28, 4)$. Moreover, this reduction map is surjective, as the generator $\langle 2g \Delta^{-2} \rangle$ is a $d_{\leq 4}$ -cycle. We write $\langle 2\bar{\kappa} \Delta^{-2} \rangle$ for the unique generator of $\pi_{-28, 4} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^4$ whose mod τ reduction is $\langle 2g \Delta^{-2} \rangle$. Since $h_1^3 [c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1}] = 2h_2 \langle 2g \Delta^{-2} \rangle$ holds in Smf/τ , see [Kon12, page 32], we learn that

$$\eta^3 [\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1}] = 2\nu \langle 2\bar{\kappa} \Delta^{-2} \rangle.$$

Combining this with the relation $\tau^2\eta^3 = 4\nu$ of Lemma 6.16, we learn that in $\pi_{-25, 3} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$, we have

$$4\nu [\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1}] = \tau^2 \eta^3 [\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1}] = \tau^2 2\nu \langle 2\bar{\kappa} \Delta^{-2} \rangle.$$

This implies $2[\eta c_4^{-2} c_6 \Delta^{-1}] = \tau^2 \langle 2\bar{\kappa} \Delta^{-2} \rangle$ in $\pi_{-28, 2} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$, as desired. ■

6.9.3 Coatomic strip

All of the higher differentials in negative stems now boil down to the following region.

Definition 6.65. The **coatomic strip** is the region of the E_5 -page of the DSS for $\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$ given by $E_5^{n, s}$ where

$$-32 \leq n + s \leq -12 \quad \text{and} \quad 4s - n \leq 192.$$

In terms of a chart, this is the shape between the lines emanating from $(-32, 0)$ and $(-12, 0)$ of slope -1 and under the connective region shifted by Δ^{-8} . See [Kon12, Figure 27] for a chart of the negative stems for $-221 < n < 0$.

The coatomic strip is defined precisely so that all differentials in the DSS for Tmf in stems $n \leq -21$ follow from differentials in this region by iterated Δ^8 - or $\bar{\kappa}$ -multiplication; see Proposition 6.68.

The key computations in the nonconnective region occurs within the coatomic strip.

Table 6.1: Differentials in the coatomic strip. The column labelled *Change* indicates the length of the original differential the current one is obtained from. The notation $\nu_2(n)$ denotes the 2-adic valuation of n .

Diff.	Source	Bidegree	Target	Range	Change
d_5	$[\frac{1}{4}c_4^{-1}c_6\Delta^{-1}]$	$(-21, 1)$	$h_2\langle h_2g\Delta^{-2}\rangle$		
d_5	$\langle 2g^i\Delta^{-1-i}\rangle$	$(-24 - 4i, 4i)$	$4\langle h_2g^{1+i}\Delta^{-2-i}\rangle$	$i = 1, 5$	
d_5	$\langle 2g^j\Delta^{-1-j}\rangle$	$(-24 - 4j, 4j)$	$\pm 2\langle h_2g^{1+j}\Delta^{-2-j}\rangle$	$j = 2, 4, 6$	
d_5	$\langle h_2g^k\Delta^{-1-k}\rangle$	$(-21 - 4k, 1 + 4k)$	$h_2\langle h_2g^{1+k}\Delta^{-2-k}\rangle$	$k = 2, 4, 6$	
d_7	$h_1[c_4^{-2}c_6g^i\Delta^{-1-i}]$	$(-4i - 28, 2 + 4i)$	$2^{\nu_2(i+2)}\langle h_2g^{2+i}\Delta^{-3-i}\rangle$	$0 \leq i \leq 5$	
d_9	$\langle cg^j\Delta^{-1-j}\rangle$	$(-16 - 4j, 2 + 4j)$	$\langle h_1dg^{j+2}\Delta^{-3-j}\rangle$	$j = 0, 1, 4, 5$	
d_9	$\langle dg^k\Delta^{-2-k}\rangle$	$(-34 - 4k, 2 + 4k)$	$h_1^2[c_4^{-2}c_6g^{2+k}\Delta^{-3-k}]$	$k = 0, 4$	d_{11}
d_9	$\langle h_1dg^3\Delta^{-5}\rangle$	$(-45, 15)$	$h_1^3[c_4^{-2}c_6g^5\Delta^{-6}]$		d_{11}
d_{11}	$h_1^2[c_4^{-2}c_6g^i\Delta^{-1-i}]$	$(-27 - 4i, 3 + 4i)$	$\langle cg^{3+i}\Delta^{-4-i}\rangle$	$i = 0, 3, 4$	d_9
d_{13}	$h_2^2[\frac{1}{4}c_4^{-1}c_6g^j\Delta^{-1-j}]$	$(-15 - 4j, 3 + 4j)$	$2\langle g^{4+j}\Delta^{-4-j}\rangle$	$j = 0, 4$	
d_{13}	$[\frac{1}{2}c_4^{-1}c_6\Delta^{-1}]$	$(-21, 1)$	$\langle dg^3\Delta^{-4}\rangle$		
d_{13}	$2\langle h_2g^4\Delta^{-5}\rangle$	$(-37, 17)$	$\langle dg^7\Delta^{-8}\rangle$		
d_{25}	$[c_4^{-1}c_6\Delta^{-1}]$	$(-21, 1)$	$h_1^2\langle g^6\Delta^{-6}\rangle$		d_{23}
d_{25}	$h_1^{3-k}[c_4^{-2}c_6g^k\Delta^{-1-k}]$	$(-26 - 5k, 4 + 3k)$	$h_1^{1-k}\langle g^{7+k}\Delta^{-7-k}\rangle$	$k = 0, 1$	d_{23}

Proposition 6.66. *The differentials of Table 6.1 determine all differentials in the coatomic strip by h_1 - or h_2 -multiplication.*

Proof. The key to obtain all of the above differentials is to carefully analyse the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_{n,s} \text{Smf} / \tau^4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^t} & \pi_{n+20t, s+4t} \text{Smf} / \tau^4 \\
 \delta_4^{14} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_4^{14} \\
 \pi_{n-1, s+5} \text{Smf} / \tau^{10} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^t} & \pi_{n-1+20t, s+5+4t} \text{Smf} / \tau^{10}.
 \end{array} \tag{6.67}$$

Combining this diagram together with Proposition 2.24 and Lemma 6.64 yields all of the differentials in the coatomic strip.

In more detail, for the d_5 's, d_7 's, d_{13} 's, and also the d_9 's without any change, if (n, s) indicates the bidegree of the source of the differential in question, then the horizontal maps of (6.67) are isomorphisms for all $t \geq 0$ by Lemma 6.64. We then lift the elements in question from Smf / τ to Smf / τ^4 , and use the fact that we know the right-hand total differential in (6.67) from the prior computations in the positive stems by letting $t = 1$ or 2. One then immediately obtains the desired differentials using Proposition 2.24.

All other differentials also follow from this argument, but various multiplications by τ^2 lead to some d_r -differentials being deduced from $d_{r \pm 2}$ -differentials; this is indicated in Table 6.1 in the column labelled *Change*. Let us give the two prototypical examples of this phenomenon; the rest follow from the same arguments.

Consider $x = \langle d\Delta^{-2} \rangle$ in bidegree $(n, s) = (-34, 2)$, and consider (6.67) with $t = 1$. First, we lift x and $\langle dg\Delta^{-2} \rangle$ to classes $\langle \kappa\Delta^{-2} \rangle$ and $\langle \kappa\bar{\kappa}\Delta^{-2} \rangle$ in Smf/τ^4 . We already have the total differential expression $\delta_4^{14}(\langle \kappa\bar{\kappa}\Delta^{-2} \rangle) = \tau^6\eta\langle \bar{\kappa}^4\Delta^{-4} \rangle$ on the right from the d_{11} 's of Section 6.6. As $\bar{\kappa} \cdot \eta^2[\bar{\kappa}^2c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-3}] = \tau^2\eta\langle \bar{\kappa}^4\Delta^{-4} \rangle$ from Lemma 6.64, we obtain the total differential

$$\delta_4^{14}(\langle \kappa\Delta^{-2} \rangle) = \tau^4\eta^2[\bar{\kappa}^2c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-3}],$$

which implies that x supports a d_9 .

In the other direction, consider the class $y = h_1^2[c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-1}]$ and its lift to $\eta^2[c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-1}]$, which generates the group $\pi_{-27,3}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$. We know from Lemma 6.64 that

$$\bar{\kappa} \cdot \eta^2[c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-1}] = \tau^2\langle \eta\bar{\kappa}^2\Delta^{-2} \rangle,$$

and we also have the total differential $\delta_4^{14}(\langle \eta\bar{\kappa}^2\Delta^{-2} \rangle) = \tau^4\langle \varepsilon\bar{\kappa}^4\Delta^{-4} \rangle$. We then compute

$$\bar{\kappa} \cdot \delta_4^{14}(\eta^2[c_4^{-2}c_6\Delta^{-1}]) = \tau^2 \cdot \delta_4^{14}(\langle \eta\bar{\kappa}^2\Delta^{-2} \rangle) = \tau^6\langle \varepsilon\bar{\kappa}^4\Delta^{-4} \rangle,$$

which implies that y supports a d_{11} hitting $\langle \varepsilon\bar{\kappa}^3\Delta^{-4} \rangle$, as desired.

For the d_{25} 's we cannot reuse exactly (6.67), but rather use the analogous diagram with δ_4^{26} replacing δ_4^{14} . It is possible to compute such a total differential as once we are up to the d_{25} 's the spectral sequence is incredibly sparse. \blacksquare

The differentials of Proposition 6.66 also appear in [Kon12, Figure 27] although there is no proof. We do not know how to obtain these differentials in stems ≤ -21 without using synthetic techniques.

6.9.4 Propagation

All other differentials in the nonconnective region follow.

Proposition 6.68. *Let $r \geq 5$. All d_r -differentials in the DSS for Tmf with source of bidegree (n, s) with $n \leq -21$ follow from those of Proposition 6.66 by the Leibniz rule.*

The moral is that all differentials whose source has bidegree (n, s) with $n + s + 10 \geq 0$ follow from the differentials in the connective region by $g^a\Delta^{8b}$ -multiplication, and all of those with $n + s + 10 \leq 0$ follow from the differentials in the coatomic region for the same reason.

Proof. Suppose we want to compute $d_r(x)$ for a class x on the E_r -page of the DSS for Tmf in stem $n \leq -21$. If x has filtration $s \leq 27$, then for some $a, b \geq 0$, the element $xg^a\Delta^{8b}$ lies in the coatomic strip. If x has filtration $2 \leq s \leq 27$, for this choice of a and b , the multiplication by $g^a\Delta^b$ -map is an isomorphism on the E_r -page in degrees (n, s) and $(n - 1, s + r)$. Using that g and Δ^8 are permanent cycles, the latter by Proposition 6.61, this allows us to import the differentials straight from those in the coatomic strip of Proposition 6.66 by the Leibniz rule. If x has filtration $s = 1$, the same argument

works, except the multiplication by $g^a \Delta^b$ -map might only be an surjection. Regardless, the argument persists.

For classes x with filtration $s \geq 28$, we use the fact that there is a natural number c such that $x = g^c y$ for some unique class y of filtration $2 \leq s' \leq 21$. One then obtains the value of $d_r(x)$ from $d_r(y)$ using the argument above and the Leibniz rule. ■

This finishes our computation of the DSS for Tmf , so we have proven Theorem 6.1.

6.10 Rainchecked four-fold Toda brackets in Smf

Logically speaking, this section appears just after we have finished with the E_{11} -page computations above and just before our discussion of the E_{13} -page. To establish our earlier d_{13} 's, we needed to establish some hidden extensions. This used that the element v_2 (Notation 6.27) is contained in the bracket

$$\langle v, 2v\tau^4, v\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle \subseteq \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10},$$

which allowed us to determine the product $2v \cdot v_2$. We now compute this four-fold bracket. In the following discussion we work in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of modules in Syn over Smf/τ^{10} . In particular, we write $\mathbf{1}$ for Smf/τ^{10} .

A simple long exact sequence argument shows there is a diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1}^{7,-7} & \xrightarrow{\exists! v\tau^4} & C(2v\tau^4) \\ & \searrow v\tau^4 & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{1}^{4,-4}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is projection onto the top cell, and similarly with the roles of v and $2v$ replaced. Taking the cofibers with respect to the dashed maps gives the unique forms of the 3-cell complexes $C(v\tau^4, 2v\tau^4)$ and $C(2v\tau^4, v\tau^4)$, in the sense of Definition 3.3.

Proposition 6.69.

- ◆ (51,1) The set

$$\langle v, 2v\tau^4, v\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle \subseteq \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$$

is a singleton consisting of (the mod τ^{10} reduction of) the element v_2 from Notation 6.27.

- ◆ (147,1) The set

$$\langle v_4, 2v\tau^4, v\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle \subseteq \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$$

is a singleton consisting of (the mod τ^{10} reduction of) the element v_6 from Notation 6.27.

In a diagram, this proposition says the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\boxed{48, 0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^2} & \boxed{8, -8} \\
& & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 \\
& & \boxed{4, -4} \\
& & \downarrow 2\nu\tau^4 \\
\boxed{0, 0} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\nu}} & \boxed{-3, -1}
\end{array}$$

can be constructed uniquely and that it is sent to $\nu\Delta^2$ in Smf/τ^4 , which uniquely specifies $\nu_2 \in \pi_{51,1} \text{Smf}/\tau^{10}$; see Lemma 6.26.

Proof. To construct $\underline{\nu}$, we must extend the map ν over $C(2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4)$, which by Proposition 3.11 exists if

$$0 \in \langle \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4 \rangle.$$

This bracket is nonempty since $2\nu \cdot \nu = 0$ in $\pi_{*,*} \mathbf{1}$, and a degree check shows it has zero indeterminacy. Shuffling shows it contains $\tau^8 \langle \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle$, hence it suffices to show $0 \in \langle \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle$. This also has zero indeterminacy, and for degree reasons is either 0 or $\eta^2 c_4$. However, in the latter case, the shuffling formula

$$\langle \nu, 2\nu, \nu \rangle \eta = \nu \langle 2\nu, \nu, \eta \rangle = \nu \varepsilon = 0$$

would give a contradiction, as $\eta^3 c_4 \neq 0$. Two extensions $\underline{\nu}$ so constructed differ by an element in $\pi_{11,-7} \mathbf{1} = 0$, so this constructs $\underline{\nu}$ uniquely.

We construct $\bar{\kappa}^2$ analogously by constructing its dual. As before, we must show that

$$0 \in \langle 2\nu\tau^2, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle.$$

This bracket is nonempty since $2\nu \cdot \nu = 0$ and $\nu\tau^4 \bar{\kappa}^2 = 0$ by Corollary 5.11, and it lives in $\pi_{47,1} \mathbf{1}$, which is zero. A degree argument shows there is a unique extension of $\bar{\kappa}^2$ over $C(\nu\tau^4)$, and two further extensions over $C(\nu\tau^4, 2\nu\tau^4)$ differ by an element in $\pi_{48,0} \mathbf{1} \neq 0$, via the exact sequence

$$\pi_{48,0} \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \pi_{48,0} C(\nu\tau^4, 2\nu\tau^4) \longrightarrow \pi_{48,0} \Sigma^{4,-4} C(2\nu\tau^4)$$

so $\bar{\kappa}^2$ is not unique. However, the composite $\underline{\nu} \circ \iota = \nu$, and ν kills $\pi_{48,0} \mathbf{1}$, hence $\underline{\nu} \circ \bar{\kappa}^2$ is unique, which proves the set

$$\langle \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle$$

is a singleton.

To determine the image of $\langle \nu, 2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle$ under the map $\text{Smf}/\tau^{10} \rightarrow \text{Smf}/\tau^4$, we note that the primary attaching maps $2\nu\tau^4$ and $\nu\tau^4$ in $C(2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4)$ are sent to zero in Smf/τ^4 .

Therefore the attaching map for the middle cell in $C(2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4)$ is sent to zero, and the attaching map for the top cell in $C(2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4)$ factors through a map in $\pi_{7,-7} \text{Smf}/\tau^4 = 0$. Applying $-\otimes_1 \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ to the composite $\underline{\nu} \circ \bar{\kappa}^2$ therefore gives a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \boxed{48,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^2} & \boxed{8,-8} \\
 & \searrow^{f_2} & \\
 & & \boxed{4,-4} \\
 & \searrow^{f_1} & \\
 & & \boxed{0,0} \xrightarrow{\nu} \boxed{-3,-1}
 \end{array}$$

in modules over Smf/τ^4 , using that the components of $\underline{\nu}$ on the middle and top cell are zero for degree reasons. The composite is therefore given by $\nu \cdot f_1$, and it remains to identify f_1 with $\Delta^2 \in \pi_{48,0} \text{Smf}/\tau^4$ up to terms in the kernel of multiplication by ν .

We first construct a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma^{4,-4} C(\nu\tau^4) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{1}^{1,-1} \\
 \downarrow 2\nu & & \parallel \\
 \mathbf{1}^{1,-5} & \xrightarrow{\tau^4} & \mathbf{1}^{1,-1}.
 \end{array}$$

Here we choose the left-hand vertical map to be the unique extension of $2\nu: \mathbf{1}^{4,-4} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^{1,-5}$ over $C(\nu\tau^4)$. Taking fibers produces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(2\nu\tau^4, \nu\tau^4) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{4,-4} C(\nu\tau^4) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C(\tau^4) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{1}^{1,-5}
 \end{array} \tag{6.70}$$

where each of the horizontal maps crush the bottom cell. Applying the counterclockwise composite in this diagram to $\bar{\kappa}^2$ gives a composition that we may represent with the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \boxed{48,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^2} & \boxed{8,-8} \\
 & & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 \\
 & & \boxed{4,-4} \xrightarrow{2\nu} \boxed{1,-5} = \boxed{1,-5} \\
 & & \downarrow 2\nu\tau^4 \quad \downarrow \tau^4 \\
 & & \boxed{0,0} = \boxed{0,0}
 \end{array}$$

After tensoring down to Smf/τ^4 , the composite of the first two morphisms above has bottom component equal to f_1 and the diagram shows that $\delta_4^8(f_1) = 2\nu \cdot f_2$.

To determine $2\nu \cdot f_2$, we apply the clockwise composite in (6.70) to $\bar{\kappa}^2$, which we may represent with the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \boxed{48,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^2} & \boxed{8,-8} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \boxed{8,-8} \\
 & & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 & & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 \\
 & & \boxed{4,-4} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \boxed{4,-4} & \xrightarrow{2\nu} & \boxed{1,-5} \\
 & & \downarrow 2\nu\tau^4 & & & & \\
 & & \boxed{0,0} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

After tensoring down to Smf/τ^4 , the composite φ of the first two morphisms above has bottom component equal to f_2 , so we analyze φ carefully. One may construct a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \boxed{48,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}^2} & \boxed{8,-8} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \boxed{8,-8} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \boxed{5,-9} \\
 & & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 & & \downarrow \nu\tau^4 & & \downarrow \tau^4 \\
 & & \boxed{4,-4} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \boxed{4,-4} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \boxed{4,-4} \\
 & & \downarrow 2\nu\tau^4 & & & & \\
 & & \boxed{0,0} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where the composite of the first two maps is φ . After tensoring down to Smf/τ^4 , the component of this composite onto the cell of dimension $(4, -4)$ remains f_2 , so this diagram shows that $\delta_4^8(f_2) = \bar{\kappa}^2\nu$, which guarantees that $f_2 = \bar{\kappa}\Delta$.

Putting these facts together we conclude that $\delta_4^8(f_1) = 2\nu\bar{\kappa}\Delta$, which guarantees that $f_1 = \Delta^2 \in \pi_{*,*}\text{Smf}/\tau^4$ modulo ν -torsion, and we conclude that $\nu \cdot f_1 = \nu_2$.

The bracket for ν_6 follows from analogous arguments. ■

7 Computations away from the prime 2

Above we computed (most of) the signature spectral sequence of $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$, and here we would like to do the same for $\text{Smf}_{(3)}$ and $\text{Smf}_{[\frac{1}{6}]}$. All together, these three results yield the signature spectral sequence for Smf .

For any collection of primes J , the signature spectral sequence associated to $\text{Smf}[J^{-1}]$ is naturally identified with the DSS for $\text{Tmf}[J^{-1}]$. Indeed, by [CDN25, Proposition 4.16], the

natural map of synthetic E_∞ -rings

$$\mathrm{Smf}[J^{-1}] = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}})[J^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[J^{-1}])$$

is an equivalence. This is implicitly used below.

7.1 Computations at the prime 3

As is often the case for Tmf , the 3-local DSS computation is a vast simplification of the 2-local analogue discussed above. We implicitly work 3-locally in this subsection.

Theorem 7.1. *The signature spectral sequence of Smf , i.e., the DSS for Tmf , is determined below, and has precisely the form depicted in Figure A.1.*

The E_2 -page is computed using sheaf cohomology; see Figure A.1 or [Kon12, Figure 10]. We recommend the reader keeps these charts nearby throughout the following arguments.

There are only two atomic differentials.

Proposition 7.2.

- ◆ (24,0) $d_5(\Delta) = \pm\alpha\beta^2$.
- ◆ (51,1) $d_9(\alpha\Delta^2) = \pm\beta^5$.

Proof. Recall from Proposition 5.2 that $\alpha \in \pi_{3,1} \mathbf{S}$ has nonzero image in $\pi_{3,1} \mathrm{Smf}$. The class in the sphere $\beta \in \pi_{10,2} \mathbf{S}$ is defined as the Toda bracket $\langle \alpha, \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. From the Massey product structure of the 3-local cubic Hopf algebroid, combined with Proposition 3.15 and Proposition 4.3, we see that β hits the generator of $\pi_{10,2} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau \cong \mathbf{F}_3$, which we also call β ; see [Bau08, Equation (5.1)].

In the ANSS for \mathbf{S} , there is the classical Toda differential $d_5(\beta_{3/3}) = \pm\alpha\beta^3$; see [Rav04, Theorem 4.4.22]. As a result, the element $\alpha\beta^3$ in Smf/τ must also be hit by a differential. For degree reasons, the only possibility is $d_5(\pm\beta\Delta) = \alpha\beta^3$. From the Leibniz rule and the fact that β is a permanent cycle, we obtain $d_5(\Delta) = \pm\alpha\beta^2$. The Leibniz rule gives all other d_5 's in this spectral sequence.

For degree reasons, the next possible differential is a d_9 . To compute this atomic d_9 , we show that the relation

$$\tau^4\alpha\beta^2 = 0 \tag{7.3}$$

holds in Smf/τ^{14} . As the mod τ reduction $\alpha\beta^2 \in \pi_{23,5} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau$ is the target of a d_5 , Theorem 2.21 tells us that there exists a τ^4 -torsion lift to $\pi_{23,5} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau^{14}$. Using Corollary 2.31, we see that this lift is unique, proving that (7.3) holds.

Next, recall that the defining Toda bracket expression $\beta = \langle \alpha, \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ also holds in Smf/τ^{14} . Applying the usual juggling formula Proposition 3.13 and the relation (7.3), we find that

$$\tau^8\beta^5 = \tau^4\beta^2\langle \alpha, \alpha, \alpha \rangle\tau^4\beta^2 = \langle \tau^4\beta^2, \alpha, \alpha \rangle\tau^4\alpha\beta^2 = 0.$$

(Note that (7.3) also justifies that the second bracket is nonempty.) In other words, we have learned that β^5 is τ^8 -torsion, which by Theorem 2.21 means that its mod τ reduction $\beta^5 \in \pi_{50,10} \text{Smf}/\tau$ is hit by a $d_{\leq 9}$ -differential. As this class is a $d_{\leq 8}$ -cycle for degree reasons, it must be hit by d_9 . The only possibility is the desired $d_9(\alpha\Delta^2) = \pm\beta^5$. All other d_9 's follow from the Leibniz rule.^[4] ■

This spectral sequence then collapses with a horizontal vanishing line at $s = 8$. This yields the homotopy groups of Tmf , which can be read off from Figure A.1. In other words, we have proved Theorem 7.1.

7.2 Computations away from 6

Theorem 7.4. *There is an isomorphism of bigraded $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{6}, \tau]$ -modules*

$$\pi_{*,*} \text{Smf}[\frac{1}{6}] \cong \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{6}, \tau][c_4, \Delta] \otimes E(c_6) \oplus \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{6}, \tau] \left\{ c_4^i c_6^j \Delta^k \right\}_{\substack{i,k \leq -1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 1}}$$

where $E(-)$ denotes exterior algebra and

$$|c_4^i c_6^j \Delta^k| = \begin{cases} (8i + 12j + 24k, 0) & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ (8i + 12j + 24k - 1, 1) & \text{if } i \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, in nonnegative degrees, this is an isomorphism of rings.

This $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ -module structure on the synthetic homotopy groups shows that the signature spectral sequence of $\text{Smf}[\frac{1}{6}]$ converging to $\text{Tmf}[\frac{1}{6}]$ collapses on the E_2 -page.

Proof. By [CDN25, Construction 3.1], there is a synthetic DSS for this sheaf of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings that takes the form

$$E_2^{k,*,s} = H^s(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}[\frac{1}{6}], \omega^{k+s/2})[\tau] \implies \pi_{k,*} \text{Smf}[\frac{1}{6}].$$

As $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{ell}}[\frac{1}{6}]$ is the weighted projective stack $\mathbf{P}(4, 6)$, we see that in positive s -degree this spectral sequence is concentrated in filtration 0 and in negative s -degree in filtration 1; see [Kon12, Section 6]. This spectral sequence then collapses and we obtain the desired result. ■

8 Proofs of main theorems

With all of the computations out of the way, we can now prove our main theorems and corollaries, including the Gap Theorem (Theorem A) and a description of the DSS for Tmf

^[4]As an alternative to the computation of d_9 above, one can apply the synthetic Leibniz rule to $\delta_4^8(\Delta) = \pm\alpha\beta^2$ and use the extension $\alpha[\alpha\Delta] = \tau^4\beta^3$ to compute

$$\delta_4^8(\alpha\Delta^2) = \pm\alpha[\alpha\Delta]\beta^2 = \pm\tau^4\beta^5.$$

This directly shows $d_9(\alpha\Delta^2) = \pm\beta^5$.

(Theorem B). This removes the circularity discussed in Section 1.1 from the literature. As corollaries, we also compute the homotopy groups of Tmf (Corollary C), the ANSS for TMF (Corollary E), and the ANSS for tmf (Corollary D). For the convenience of the reader, we repeat the statements of these results below.

As emphasised in the introduction, the computation of the DSS for Tmf should be seen as the fundamental computation towards the homotopy groups of Tmf and tmf — there is no other path through the literature that is not circular.

We start with the Gap Theorem.

Theorem A. *The homotopy groups $\pi_n \mathrm{Tmf}$ vanish for $-21 < n < 0$.*

Proof. Using the usual fracture square for Tmf , it suffices to prove the Gap Theorem for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(p)}$ for each prime p . By Theorem 7.4, this holds for all primes $p \neq 2, 3$. By Theorem 7.1, also see Figure A.1, this also holds at the prime 3. At the prime 2, we do not need the whole DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$; the Gap Theorem follows from Proposition 6.61, which only relies on computations in stems $n > -21$. ■

Our proof of the Gap Theorem only requires the DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$ only in stems $n \geq -20$. The additional computations of Section 6.9 yield the entire DSS for Tmf .

Theorem B. *The DSS for Tmf of (1.1) takes the form depicted in Figures A.2 to A.6 at the prime 2, depicted in Figure A.1 at the prime 3, and collapses otherwise as detailed in Theorem 7.4.*

Proof. By [CDN25, Proposition 4.16], the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\mathrm{Smf}[J^{-1}] = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}})[J^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[J^{-1}])$$

is an equivalence for any set of primes J . In particular, we obtain the DSS for Tmf from the DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}[\frac{1}{6}]$ of Theorem 7.4, which collapses, the DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(3)}$ of Theorem 7.1, and the DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$ of Theorem 6.1. ■

We deduce the following, which will be helpful for later results.

Lemma 8.1.

- ◆ *The element $\Delta \in \pi_{24,0} \mathrm{Smf}[\frac{1}{6}] / \tau$ lifts to $\pi_{24,0} \mathrm{Smf}[\frac{1}{6}]$.*
- ◆ *The element $\Delta^3 \in \pi_{72,0} \mathrm{Smf}_{(3)} / \tau$ lifts to $\pi_{72,0} \mathrm{Smf}_{(3)}$.*
- ◆ *The element $\Delta^8 \in \pi_{192,0} \mathrm{Smf}_{(2)} / \tau$ lifts to $\pi_{192,0} \mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}$.*
- ◆ *The element $\Delta^{24} \in \pi_{576,0} \mathrm{Smf} / \tau$ lifts to $\pi_{576,0} \mathrm{Smf}$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.32, it suffices to show that the indicated powers of Δ are permanent cycles in the localised DSS for Tmf .^[5] This now follows from the computations

^[5]Our computations of the \mathbf{E}_∞ -pages for the 6-invertible, 3-primary and 2-primary cases in particular shows that the conditional convergence of the DSS for Tmf is in fact strong, so we can indeed apply this proposition.

of Sections 6 and 7. Indeed, when 6 is inverted, the class Δ is a permanent cycle; 3-locally, the power Δ^3 is a permanent cycle; 2-locally, the power Δ^8 is a permanent cycle. Their lowest common multiple is Δ^{24} , proving the final claim. \blacksquare

With the whole of the DSS for Tmf at hand, we have almost computed the homotopy groups of Tmf ; all that is left is to compute some extension problems. Most of these extension problems follow from rudimentary algebraic arguments given our computations so far. The lone exception is a 2-extension in degree 110, which instead follows from our knowledge of total differentials. This method is used in, e.g., [BHS23, Proposition A.20], and is further explained in [Isa+24, Method 2.17]. The application of this method to this hidden extension is due to [Isa+24, Proposition 4.5] (using a slight modification to the method stated in Method 2.17 of op. cit.).

Corollary C. *The homotopy groups of Tmf , and hence also those of $\mathrm{tmf} = \tau_{\geq 0}\mathrm{Tmf}$, are computed; see Theorem 7.4 away from 6, Figure A.1 at the prime 3, and Figures A.3 to A.6 at the prime 2.*

Proof. Away from 6, these homotopy groups are obtained from Theorem 7.4 by inverting τ . Localised at the prime 3, they follow immediately from Theorem 7.1 as there are no extension problems. At the prime 2, we use the DSS for Theorem 6.1, but there are some extension problems to solve.

First, let us deal with the positive stems. The 2-extensions which follow from Lemma 6.16 in $\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^4$ are clear, so we ignore these. There can be no extensions between the ko -patterns, indicated by solid diamonds on the 0-line of Figures A.3 to A.6; this can be checked on a case by case basis. Indeed, there cannot be any 2-extensions with source in filtration 0 for algebraic reasons, as these sources are torsion-free. All other parts of the ko -patterns are divisible by η , which cannot support multiplication by 2. Similar arguments discount many other potential extensions: there cannot be a 2-extension in stem 65 from filtration 3 to 9 as the source is divisible by the 2-torsion class κ . Using the lift of Δ^8 from Lemma 8.1, the above arguments reduce us to verifying 2-extensions in the following stems:

$$54, \quad 110, \quad 130, \quad 150.$$

The extension in the 110-stem implies the one in the 130-stem by $\bar{\kappa}$ -multiplication. In the 54-stem, this extension is precisely Lemma 6.53. This also gives the lower half of the extensions in the 150-stem, and the upper half follows from the ones in the 130-stem by $\bar{\kappa}$ -multiplication. We are reduced to the extension in degree 110. In this case, consider the exact sequence

$$\pi_{*,*}\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^{28} \longrightarrow \pi_{*,*}\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^4 \xrightarrow{\delta_4^{28}} \pi_{*-1, *+4}\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^{28} \xrightarrow{\tau^4} \pi_{*-1, *}\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^{28}.$$

We will show that there is an equality

$$2 \tau^8 \bar{\kappa}^3 \kappa_4 = \tau^{20} \eta_1^2 \bar{\kappa}^6 \quad \text{in } \pi_{170,6}\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^{28}, \quad (8.2)$$

where all of the elements displayed are their unique lifts to Smf/τ^{28} ; from this extension in the 170-stem, our desired extension in $\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$ in the 110-stem follows by $\bar{\kappa}^3$ -divisibility and from the fact that the DSS for $\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$ collapses on the E_{26} -page. The differentials

$$d_{13}(2h_2\Delta^7) = dg^3\Delta^4 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{23}(h_1^3\Delta^7) = h_1^2g^6\Delta^2$$

of Sections 6.7 and 6.8, respectively, show by Theorem 2.21 (3) that $\tau^8\kappa_4\bar{\kappa}$ and $\tau^{20}\eta_1^2\bar{\kappa}^6$ are both τ^4 -torsion (as they are the unique lift of the respective E_2 -elements). One then uses the exact sequence above to compute

$$\delta_4^{28}(2\nu\Delta^7) = \tau^8\kappa_4\bar{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_4^{28}(\tau^2\eta^3\Delta^7) = \tau^{20}\eta_1^2\bar{\kappa}^6.$$

In Smf/τ^4 we have $\tau^2\eta^3 = 4\nu$ courtesy of the mod τ^4 reduction of Lemma 6.16, which also yields $4\nu\Delta^7 = \tau^2\eta^3\Delta^7$. Combining what we have so far yields the desired equality (8.2):

$$2\tau^8\bar{\kappa}^3\kappa_4 = \delta_4^{28}(4\nu\Delta^7) = \delta_4^{28}(\tau^2\eta^3\Delta^7) = \tau^{20}\eta_1^2\bar{\kappa}^5.$$

We are reduced to extension problems in negative degrees. Again, there are no extension problems away from 2, so we are reduced to $\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$. In this case, all of the extension problems in negative degrees follow from their counterparts in positive degrees by Δ^{8t} -multiplication for large enough t . ■

Remark 8.3. The methods used to deduce the 2-extension in stem 110 from the proof of Corollary C above, adopted the argument from [Isa+24, Method 2.17, Proposition 4.5], generalise to capture many hidden extensions in the DSS for Tmf . For example, consider the total differentials

$$\delta_4^{28}(\eta\Delta^5) = \tau^{18}\bar{\kappa}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_4^{28}(\Delta^5) = u\nu_4\bar{\kappa}$$

in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^{28}$, where u is a unit of $\mathbf{Z}/8$; these can be computed from the computations of Section 6. From this one obtains

$$\eta\nu_4\bar{\kappa} = \eta \cdot \delta_4^{28}(\Delta^5) = \delta_4^{28}(\eta\Delta^5) = \tau^{18}\bar{\kappa}^6,$$

and hence the hidden η -extension

$$\eta\nu_4 = \bar{\kappa}^5 \quad \text{in } \pi_{100}\text{Tmf}_{(2)}$$

of [Bau08, Corollary 8.7 (2)]. These arguments completely avoid the use of six-fold Toda brackets seen in [Bau08].

Using the Gap Theorem, Mathew computed the Hopf algebroid computing the E_2 -page of the ANSS of tmf , which Bauer then used to compute the E_2 -page. We will now show how this, combined with our computation of the DSS for Tmf , computes the ANSS of tmf . This recovers Bauer's differentials of [Bau08] without any circularity issues.

Corollary D. *There is an inclusion of the ANSS for tmf into the DSS for Tmf as a retract of spectral sequences. In particular, the ANSS for tmf is the region under the blue line of Figures A.3 to A.6 at the prime 2 from the E_5 -page, the region under the blue line of Figure A.1 and 3, and the connective part of Theorem 7.4 away from 6.*

Proof. By [Mat16, Corollary 5.3], which in turn relies on the Gap Theorem, the E_2 -page of ANSS for tmf is isomorphic to the cohomology of the cubic Hopf algebroid of Section 4.1. By Proposition 4.3, this means that the composition of natural maps of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\nu \mathrm{tmf} \longrightarrow \nu \mathrm{Tmf} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Smf}$$

induces an isomorphism on $\pi_{n,s}(C\tau \otimes -)$ for $5s \leq n + 12$, and in general is a retract of bigraded abelian groups. At $p = 2$, the atomic d_3 in the signature spectral sequence for Smf lifts uniquely to the signature spectral sequence for $\nu \mathrm{tmf}$. This propagates to all other d_3 's using the Leibniz rule. From the E_5 -page on, there are no differentials whose source is in the connective region and whose target is outside the connective region (i.e., there are no line-crossing differentials à la Section 6.1.1). Therefore, we can safely import the differentials in the connective region of the signature spectral sequence of $\mathrm{Smf}_{(2)}$ to differentials in the signature spectral sequence of $\nu \mathrm{tmf}_{(2)}$.

The same is true at $p = 3$, i.e., there are no line-crossing differentials. Away from 6 this is tautological as $\mathrm{tmf}[\frac{1}{6}]$ is complex-oriented. It follows that the map $\nu \mathrm{tmf} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf}$ induces a retract of spectral sequences. ■

The DSS for TMF follows easily from Theorem B by inverting an appropriate power of Δ . In [CDN25, Corollary 4.17], we showed that if $\Delta^{24} \in \pi_{576,0} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau$ lifts in the manner of Lemma 8.1, then the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\mathrm{Smf}[\Delta^{-24}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{SMF} \tag{8.4}$$

is an equivalence. Using this, the computation of the DSS for TMF now follows.

Corollary E. *The ANSS for TMF is obtained from the DSS for Tmf by inverting Δ^{24} . Specifically, at the prime 2 it is obtained by inverting Δ^8 in Figures A.2 to A.6, at the prime 3 by inverting Δ^3 in Figure A.1, and away from 6 by inverting Δ in Theorem 7.4.*

Proof. By [CDN25, Theorem C], the signature spectral sequence of SMF is the DSS for TMF . As tensoring with $C\tau$ preserves colimits, the map (8.4) therefore identifies the E_2 -page of the DSS for TMF with the Δ -inversion of the E_2 -page of the DSS for Tmf . The $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta^\pm]$ -module $\pi_{*,*} \mathrm{SMF}/\tau$ is generated by the image of the connective region in $\pi_{*,*} \mathrm{Smf}/\tau$. This means that by inverting Δ on the DSS for Tmf , we obtain all of the differentials in the DSS for TMF . The ANSS for TMF then follows from the equivalence of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\nu \mathrm{TMF} \simeq \mathrm{SMF}$ of [CDN25, Theorem C], as $\nu \mathrm{TMF}$ implements the ANSS for TMF ; see [CDN25, Proposition 1.25]. ■

Remark 8.5. One can also directly compute the DSS for TMF using the techniques of this article; simply replace the meta-arguments with the fact that at the prime 2 the class Δ^8 acts (resp. at the prime 3 the class Δ^3 acts) by isomorphisms on each E_r -page, a fact which is inductively determined page-by-page. We leave the details up to an interested reader.

A Tables and charts

A.1 Tables

Tables A.1 to A.6 collect the lifts, hidden extensions, Toda brackets, and total differentials proved in the 2-primary computation of Section 6. Every entry in the table is accompanied with the location where the element is defined or the relation is proved.

In these tables, the term *validity* refers to the number k for which the element or relation lives in Smf/τ^k , where validity ∞ means it lives in Smf . However, we only list the validity that we prove (and that we require); listing a finite validity does not necessarily mean that it does not lift further. For total differentials, the validity is a pair of numbers (n, N) ; this refers to the total differential δ_n^N . We omit the unknown units in the formulas for the total differentials, and refer to the location where the differential is proved for the expression with units included. For the Toda brackets, if no indeterminacy is listed, this means it is zero.

Table A.1: Elements imported from the sphere to $\text{Smf}_{(2)}$.

Name	Degree	Detected by	Location	Comment
η	(1, 1)	h_1	5.2, 6.3	
ν	(3, 1)	h_2	5.2, 6.3	
ε	(8, 2)	c	5.2, 6.3	
κ	(14, 2)	d	5.4, 6.3	
$\bar{\kappa}$	(20, 4)	g	5.10, 6.3	Determined up to $\nu^2\kappa$ -multiples

Table A.2: Lifts of elements from $\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$ to $\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^k$.

Name	Degree	Validity	Lift of	Location
Δ	(24, 0)	4	Δ in Smf/τ	6.18
η_1	(25, 1)	8	$h_1\Delta$ in Smf/τ	6.23
		10		6.45
η_4	(97, 1)	8	$h_1\Delta^4$ in Smf/τ	6.23
η_5	(121, 1)	8	$h_1\Delta^5$ in Smf/τ	6.23
		10		6.45
ν_1	(27, 1)	14	$2\nu\Delta$ in Smf/τ^4	6.27
ν_2	(51, 1)	14	$\nu\Delta^2$ in Smf/τ^4	6.27
ν_4	(99, 1)	14	$\nu\Delta^4$ in Smf/τ^4	6.27
ν_5	(123, 1)	14	$2\nu\Delta^5$ in Smf/τ^4	6.27
ν_6	(147, 1)	14	$\nu\Delta^6$ in Smf/τ^4	6.27
ε_1	(32, 2)	12	$c\Delta$ in Smf/τ	6.38
ε_4	(104, 2)	12	$c\Delta^4$ in Smf/τ	6.38
ε_5	(128, 2)	12	$c\Delta^5$ in Smf/τ	6.38
$\bar{\kappa}_4$	(116, 4)	20	$2g\Delta^4$ in Smf/τ	6.47

Table A.3: A few τ -power torsion relations in $\pi_{*,*} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^k$.

Relation	Degree	Validity	Location
$\tau^4\nu\bar{\kappa} = 0$	(23, 1)	12	6.34
		24	6.57
$\tau^4\nu_1\bar{\kappa} = 0$	(47, 1)	12	6.36
$\tau^4\nu_4\bar{\kappa} = 0$	(119, 1)	12	6.34
$\tau^4\nu_5\bar{\kappa} = 0$	(143, 1)	12	6.36

Table A.4: Relations and hidden relations in $\pi_{*,*} \text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^k$.

Relation	Degree	Validity	Location
$4\nu = \tau^2\eta^3$	(3, 1)	14	6.16
$2\nu_1 = \tau^2\eta^2\eta_1$	(27, 1)	8	6.35
$2\nu_5 = \tau^2\eta^2\eta_5$	(123, 1)	8	6.35
$\nu_1\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon\bar{\kappa}$	(28, 2)	12	6.40
$\nu_1\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta\kappa\bar{\kappa}$	(35, 3)	12	6.40
$\nu_1\kappa = \tau^6\eta\bar{\kappa}^2$	(41, 3)	12	6.40
$\nu_2\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_1\bar{\kappa}$	(52, 2)	12	6.40
$\nu_2\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_1\kappa\bar{\kappa}$	(59, 3)	10	6.40
$\nu_5\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_4\bar{\kappa}$	(124, 2)	12	6.40
$\nu_5\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_4\kappa\bar{\kappa}$	(131, 3)	12	6.40
$\nu_5\kappa = \tau^6\eta_4\bar{\kappa}^2$	(137, 3)	12	6.40
$\nu_6\eta = \tau^4\varepsilon_5\bar{\kappa}$	(148, 2)	12	6.40
$\nu_6\varepsilon = \tau^4\eta_5\kappa\bar{\kappa}$	(155, 3)	10	6.40
$\nu_2 \cdot 2\nu = \tau^8\bar{\kappa}^2\tilde{d}$	(54, 2)	10	6.53
$\nu_6 \cdot 2\nu = \tau^8\bar{\kappa}^2\tilde{d}_4$	(150, 2)	10	6.53

Table A.5: Total differentials on $\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau$.

Source	Source degree	Target	Validity	Location
Δ	(24, 0)	$\nu\bar{\kappa}$	(4, 14)	6.29
Δ^2	(48, 0)	$\nu_1\bar{\kappa}$	(4, 14)	6.33
Δ^3	(72, 0)	$3\nu_2\bar{\kappa}$	(4, 14)	6.33
Δ^6	(144, 0)	$3\nu_5\bar{\kappa}$	(4, 14)	6.33
Δ^7	(168, 0)	$7\nu_6\bar{\kappa}$	(4, 14)	6.33

Table A.6: Toda brackets in $\text{Smf}_{(2)}/\tau^k$.

Name	Degree	Toda bracket	Indeterminacy	Validity	Location
η_1	(25, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, \eta \rangle$	κ -torsion classes	10	6.48
η_5	(121, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v_4, \eta \rangle$	κ -torsion classes	10	6.48
ν_1	(27, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, 2v \rangle$		12	6.39
ν_2	(51, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v_1, v \rangle$		12	6.39
		$\langle v, \tau^4 2v, \tau^4 v, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle$		10	6.69
ν_5	(123, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v, 2v_4 \rangle$		12	6.39
ν_6	(147, 1)	$\langle \bar{\kappa}, \tau^4 v_1, v_4 \rangle$		12	6.39
		$\langle v_4, \tau^4 2v, \tau^4 v, \bar{\kappa}^2 \rangle$		10	6.69
ε_1	(32, 2)	$\langle v_1, v, \eta \rangle$		12	6.38
ε_4	(104, 2)	$\langle v, 2v_4, \eta \rangle$		12	6.38
ε_4	(128, 2)	$\langle v_1, v_4, \eta \rangle$		12	6.38
$\eta_1 \kappa$	(39, 3)	$\langle v_1, v, \varepsilon \rangle$		10	6.39
$\eta_4 \kappa$	(111, 3)	$\langle v, 2v_4, \varepsilon \rangle$		12	6.39
$\eta_5 \kappa$	(135, 3)	$\langle v_1, v_4, \varepsilon \rangle$		10	6.39
$\tau^2 \eta_4 \bar{\kappa}$	(117, 3)	$\langle v, 2v_4, \kappa \rangle$		12	6.39
$\pm 2\bar{\kappa}$	(20, 4)	$\langle v, \eta, \eta \kappa \rangle$	not discussed	10	6.39
$\tau^2 \bar{\kappa}$	(20, 2)	$\langle \kappa, 2, \eta, v \rangle$	not discussed	∞	5.11
$\pm \bar{\kappa}_4$	(116, 4)	$\langle v_4, \eta, \eta \kappa \rangle$	not discussed	10	6.48

A.2 Descent spectral sequence charts

Here we display the descent spectral sequences for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(3)}$ and $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$. We use the following conventions.

- ◆ Black arrows are differentials. Black lines are multiplication by either α or β for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(3)}$ and either η or ν for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$. Under the blue line is the *connective region* (Definition 6.4) and right of the orange line is the *S-region* (Definition 6.8).
- ◆ Red lines indicate hidden extensions by α for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(3)}$ and by η, ν, ε , or κ for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$. We only include those hidden extensions needed in this article.
- ◆ Hollow squares refer to $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ and hollow circles to \mathbf{F}_p . For $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$, two enclosed circles represents $\mathbf{Z}/4$ and three enclosed circles represents $\mathbf{Z}/8$. Two symbols in the same bidegree represents their sum.

These charts correct some small oversights in those of [Kon12]. We would like to mention in particular the key d_{23} -differential of Proposition 6.58 is missing from Konter's chart of the DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(2)}$.




Figure A.1: The DSS for $\mathrm{Tmf}_{(3)}$. The lines represent multiplication by either α or β .




Figure A.2: E_3 -page of the DSS for $Tmf_{(2)}$.




Figure A.3: The DSS for $Tmf_{(2)}$ from the E_5 -page in the range $-24 \leq n \leq 40$.




Figure A.4: The DSS for $Tmf_{(2)}$ from the E_5 -page in the range $32 \leq n \leq 96$.




Figure A.5: The DSS for $Tmf_{(2)}$ from the E_5 -page in the range $88 \leq n \leq 152$.




Figure A.6: The DSS for $Tmf_{(2)}$ from the E_5 -page in the range $144 \leq n \leq 200$.

References

- [Ant24] B. Antieau. *Spectral sequences, décalage, and the Beilinson t -structure*. 14th Nov. 2024. arXiv: 2411.09115 [math]. Preprint.
- [BH21] T. Bachmann and M. Hoyois. *Norms in motivic homotopy theory*. English. Vol. 425. Astérisque. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2021. ISBN: 978-2-85629-939-5. DOI: 10.24033/ast.1147.
- [Bak91] A. Baker. ‘ A_∞ structures on some spectra related to Morava K -theories’. English. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser.* 42.168 (1991), pp. 403–419. ISSN: 0033-5606. DOI: 10.1093/qmath/42.1.403.
- [Bar23] S. Barkan. *Chromatic Homotopy Is Monoidally Algebraic at Large Primes*. 5th June 2023. arXiv: 2304.14457v2 [math]. Preprint.
- [Bau08] T. Bauer. ‘Computation of the homotopy of the spectrum tmf ’. In: *Groups, homotopy and configuration spaces (Tokyo 2005)*. Groups, homotopy and configuration spaces, in honour of Fred Cohen’s 60th birthday. Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 22nd Feb. 2008, pp. 11–40. DOI: 10.2140/gtm.2008.13.11.
- [BL10] M. Behrens and T. Lawson. *Topological automorphic forms*. English. Vol. 958. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2010. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4539-4. DOI: 10.1090/S0065-9266-09-00573-0.
- [BK24] E. Belmont and H. J. Kong. *A Toda Bracket Convergence Theorem for Multiplicative Spectral Sequences*. 16th Aug. 2024. arXiv: 2112.08689v2 [math]. Preprint.
- [BMMS] R. R. Bruner, P. J. May, J. E. McClure and M. Steinberger. *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*. Lecture notes in mathematics 1176. Springer, 1986. ISBN: 978-3-540-16434-0. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0075405.
- [BR] R. R. Bruner and J. Rognes. *The Adams Spectral Sequence for Topological Modular Forms*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs volume 253. American Mathematical Society, 2021. 690 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4704-5674-0. URL: <https://www.mn.uio.no/math/personer/vit/rognes/papers/surv-253.pdf>.
- [Bur22] R. Burklund. ‘Synthetic Cookware v0.2’. 17th Mar. 2022. URL: <https://burklund.github.io/cookware-v0.2.pdf>. Draft.
- [BHS22] R. Burklund, J. Hahn and A. Senger. *Galois reconstruction of Artin-Tate \mathbb{R} -motivic spectra*. 30th June 2022. arXiv: 2010.10325v2 [math]. Preprint.

- [BHS23] R. Burklund, J. Hahn and A. Senger. ‘On the Boundaries of Highly Connected, Almost Closed Manifolds’. *Acta Mathematica* 231.2 (2023), pp. 205–344. ISSN: 00015962, 18712509. DOI: 10.4310/ACTA.2023.v231.n2.a1.
- [BX25] R. Burklund and Z. Xu. ‘The Adams differentials on the classes h_j^3 ’. *Inventiones mathematicae* 239.1 (Jan. 2025), pp. 1–77. ISSN: 0020-9910, 1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-024-01298-6.
- [Car25] C. Carrick. *Slice spectral sequences through synthetic spectra*. Preprint, arXiv:2510.19501 [math.AT] (2025). 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.19501>.
- [CD26] C. Carrick and J. M. Davies. ‘A synthetic approach to detecting v_1 -periodic families’. English. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 379.3 (2026), pp. 1985–2031. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: 10.1090/tran/9526.
- [CDN25] C. Carrick, J. M. Davies and S. van Nigtevecht. ‘Descent spectral sequences through synthetic spectra’. English. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2025.15 (2025). Id/No rnaf216, p. 26. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnaf216.
- [Chu22] D. Chua. *The E_3 page of the Adams spectral sequence*. 1st Apr. 2022. arXiv: 2105.07628v2 [math]. Preprint.
- [Dav23] J. M. Davies. ‘Elliptic cohomology is unique up to homotopy’. English. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 115.1 (2023), pp. 99–118. ISSN: 1446-7887. DOI: 10.1017/S1446788722000209.
- [Dav24] J. M. Davies. ‘Hecke Operators on Topological Modular Forms’. *Advances in Mathematics* 452 (Aug. 2024), p. 109828. ISSN: 00018708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2024.109828.
- [Dav25] J. M. Davies. ‘On Lurie’s theorem and applications’. English. *Math. Z.* 309.2 (2025). Id/No 24, p. 53. ISSN: 0025-5874. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-024-03626-1.
- [DL25] J. M. Davies and S. Linskens. *On the derived Tate curve and global smooth Tate K-theory*. Preprint, arXiv:2503.04494 [math.AT] (2025). 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.04494>.
- [Del75] P. Deligne. ‘Courbes elliptiques: formulaire d’après J. Tate.’ French. In: *Modular functions of one variable. IV. Proceedings of the international summer school, University of Antwerp, RUCA, July 17 – August 3, 1972*. Berlin: Springer, 1975, pp. 53–73. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0097583.
- [DFHH] C. Douglas, J. Francis, A. Henriques and M. Hill, eds. *Topological Modular Forms*. Vol. 201. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 3rd Dec. 2014. ISBN: 978-1-4704-1884-7. DOI: 10.1090/surv/201.

- [Dua+24] Z. Duan, H. J. Kong, G. Li, Y. Lu and G. Wang. ‘ $RO(G)$ -Graded Homotopy Fixed Point Spectral Sequence for Height 2 Morava E -Theory’. *Peking Mathematical Journal* (27th May 2024). ISSN: 2096-6075, 2524-7182. DOI: 10.1007/s42543-024-00087-7.
- [GIKR21] B. Gheorghe, D. C. Isaksen, A. Krause and N. Ricka. ‘ \mathbb{C} -motivic modular forms’. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 24.10 (9th Nov. 2021), pp. 3597–3628. ISSN: 1435-9855, 1435-9863. DOI: 10.4171/jems/1171.
- [GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins. ‘Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra’. English. In: *Structured ring spectra*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004, pp. 151–200. ISBN: 0-521-60305-6.
- [GHMR05] P. Goerss, H.-W. Henn, M. Mahowald and C. Rezk. ‘A Resolution of the $K(2)$ -Local Sphere at the Prime 3’. *Annals of Mathematics* 162.2 (1st Sept. 2005), pp. 777–822. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2005.162.777.
- [Hed20] A. P. Hedenlund. ‘Multiplicative Tate Spectral Sequences’. PhD thesis. University of Oslo, 2020. URL: <https://www.mn.uio.no/math/personer/vit/rognesthesis/hedenlund-thesis.pdf>.
- [HL16] M. Hill and T. Lawson. ‘Topological Modular Forms with Level Structure’. *Inventiones mathematicae* 203.2 (Feb. 2016), pp. 359–416. ISSN: 0020-9910, 1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-015-0589-5.
- [Hop95] M. J. Hopkins. ‘Topological Modular Forms, the Witten Genus, and the Theorem of the Cube’. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*. Birkhäuser Basel, 1995, pp. 554–565. ISBN: 978-3-0348-9897-3. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-9078-6_49.
- [Isa19] D. Isaksen. ‘Stable Stems’. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 262.1269 (Nov. 2019). ISSN: 0065-9266, 1947-6221. DOI: 10.1090/memo/1269.
- [Isa+24] D. C. Isaksen, H. J. Kong, G. Li, Y. Ruan and H. Zhu. ‘The \mathbb{C} -motivic Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for topological modular forms’. *Advances in Mathematics* 458 (Oct. 2024), p. 109966. ISSN: 00018708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2024.109966.
- [IWX20] D. C. Isaksen, G. Wang and Z. Xu. ‘Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117.40 (6th Oct. 2020), pp. 24757–24763. ISSN: 0027-8424, 1091-6490. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2012335117.
- [IWX23] D. C. Isaksen, G. Wang and Z. Xu. ‘Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres: From Dimension 0 to 90’. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 137.1 (June 2023), pp. 107–243. ISSN: 0073-8301, 1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-023-00139-1.

- [Koc] S. O. Kochman. *Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*. Vol. 1423. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1990. ISBN: 978-3-540-52468-7. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0083795.
- [Kon12] J. Konter. *The Homotopy Groups of the Spectrum Tmf* . 14th Dec. 2012. arXiv: 1212.3656 [math]. Preprint.
- [Lau04] G. Laures. ' $K(1)$ -local topological modular forms'. English. *Invent. Math.* 157.2 (2004), pp. 371–403. ISSN: 0020-9910. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-003-0355-y.
- [Law24] T. Lawson. *Synthetic Spectra Are (Usually) Cellular*. 6th Feb. 2024. arXiv: 2402.03257 [math]. Preprint.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie. 'A survey of elliptic cohomology'. English. In: *Algebraic topology. The Abel symposium 2007. Proceedings of the fourth Abel symposium, Oslo, Norway, August 5–10, 2007*. Berlin: Springer, 2009, pp. 219–277. ISBN: 978-3-642-01199-3. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-01200-6_9. URL: dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/1/8895113/1/survey.pdf.
- [Lur15] J. Lurie. 'Rotation Invariance in Algebraic K-theory'. Sept. 2015. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Waldhaus.pdf>.
- [HA] J. Lurie. 'Higher Algebra'. 18th Sept. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Lur18] J. Lurie. 'Elliptic Cohomology II: Orientations'. 2018. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf>.
- [MR09] M. Mahowald and C. Rezk. 'Topological Modular Forms of Level 3'. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly* 5.2 (2009), pp. 853–872. ISSN: 15588599, 15588602. DOI: 10.4310/PAMQ.2009.v5.n2.a9.
- [Mar24] P. Marek. *HF_2 -synthetic homotopy groups of topological modular forms*. 22nd Feb. 2024. arXiv: 2202.11305v2 [math]. Preprint.
- [Mat16] A. Mathew. 'The homology of tmf '. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 18.2 (2016), pp. 1–29. ISSN: 15320073, 15320081. DOI: 10.4310/HHA.2016.v18.n2.a1.
- [Mei16] L. Meier. *Review of "The homology of tmf "*. 2016. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/article?mr=3515195>.
- [Mei20] L. Meier. 'Elliptic Homology and Topological Modular Forms'. Lecture Notes. 28th Sept. 2020. URL: <https://webspaces.science.uu.nl/~meier007/>.

- [Mei22] L. Meier. ‘Additive Decompositions for Rings of Modular Forms’. *Documenta Mathematica* 27 (2022), pp. 427–488. ISSN: 1431-0635, 1431-0643. DOI: 10.4171/dm/874.
- [Mos70] R. M. F. Moss. ‘Secondary Compositions and the Adams Spectral Sequence’. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 115.4 (1970), pp. 283–310. ISSN: 0025-5874, 1432-1823. DOI: 10.1007/BF01129978.
- [Nau07] N. Naumann. ‘The Stack of Formal Groups in Stable Homotopy Theory’. *Advances in Mathematics* 215.2 (Nov. 2007), pp. 569–600. ISSN: 00018708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2007.04.007.
- [Nig25] S. van Nigtevecht. *An Introduction to Filtered and Synthetic Spectra*. 25th Sept. 2025. arXiv: 2509.21127 [math]. Preprint.
- [Pst22] P. Pstrągowski. ‘Synthetic Spectra and the Cellular Motivic Category’. *Inventiones mathematicae* (20th Dec. 2022). ISSN: 0020-9910, 1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-022-01173-2.
- [PV22] P. Pstrągowski and P. VanKoughnett. ‘Abstract Goerss-Hopkins Theory’. *Advances in Mathematics* 395 (Feb. 2022), p. 108098. ISSN: 00018708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2021.108098.
- [Rav78] D. C. Ravenel. ‘A Novice’s Guide to the Adams-Novikov Spectral Sequence’. In: *Geometric Applications of Homotopy Theory II*. Vol. 658. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1978, pp. 404–475. ISBN: 978-3-540-08859-2. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0068728.
- [Rav04] D. C. Ravenel. *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*. 2nd ed. AMS Chelsea Publishing v. 347. AMS Chelsea Pub, 2004. 395 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-2967-7. DOI: 10.1090/chel/347.
- [Rez98] C. Rezk. ‘Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem’. English. In: *Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations. Proceedings of a conference on homotopy theory, Evanston, IL, USA, March 23–27, 1997*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1998, pp. 313–366. ISBN: 0-8218-0805-2.
- [Rez07] C. Rezk. ‘Supplementary Notes for Math 512 (Version 0.18)’. Lecture Notes. 19th July 2007. URL: <https://rezk.web.illinois.edu/512-spr2001-notes.pdf>.
- [Rob89] A. Robinson. *Obstruction theory and the strict associativity of Morava K-theories*. English. *Advances in homotopy theory, Proc. Conf. in Honour of I.M. James, Cortona/Italy 1988*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 139, 143-152 (1989). 1989.

- [ext-rs] Spectral Sequences Project. *ext-rs*. GitHub repository. 2024. URL: <https://github.com/SpectralSequences/sseq/tree/master/ext>.
- [Stacks] Stacks Project Authors. *The Stacks Project*. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Tod62] H. Toda. *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*. English. Vol. 49. Ann. Math. Stud. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1962. DOI: 10.1515/9781400882625.
- [WX17] G. Wang and Z. Xu. 'The Triviality of the 61-Stem in the Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres'. *Annals of Mathematics* 186.2 (1st Sept. 2017). ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2017.186.2.3.